

Assessment of dietary Selenium and its role in Mercury fate in cultured fish rainbow trout with two sustainable aquafeeds

Claudia Marchán Moreno, Silvia Queipo-Abad, Warren Corns, Maïté Bueno, Florence Pannier, David Amouroux, Stéphanie Fontagné-Dicharry, Zoyne

Pedrero

► To cite this version:

Claudia Marchán Moreno, Silvia Queipo-Abad, Warren Corns, Maïté Bueno, Florence Pannier, et al.. Assessment of dietary Selenium and its role in Mercury fate in cultured fish rainbow trout with two sustainable aquafeeds. Food Chemistry, 2024, 447, pp.138865. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2024.138865 . hal-04509051

HAL Id: hal-04509051 https://univ-pau.hal.science/hal-04509051v1

Submitted on 17 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Assessment of dietary Selenium and its role in Mercury fate in cultured fish rainbow trout with two sustainable aquafeeds

3 Claudia Marchán-Moreno^a, Silvia Queipo-Abad^a, Warren T. Corns^c, Maite Bueno^a,

4 Florence Pannier^a, David Amouroux^a, Stéphanie Fontagné-Dicharry^b, Zoyne Pedrero^a*

- ⁵ ^a Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, IPREM, Institut des Sciences
- 6 Analytiques et de Physico-chimie pour l'Environnement et les Matériaux, Pau, France.

^b Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, INRAE, NUMEA, 64310, Saint-Pée-Sur-Nivelle,
 France.

- ^c PS Analytical, Arthur House, Crayfields Industrial Estate, Main Road, Orpington, Kent BR5 3HP,
 UK
- 11 ***Corresponding author**: zoyne.pedrerozayas@univ-pau.fr

12 Abstract

13 This study enhances the current limited understanding of the interaction between mercury (Hg) 14 and selenium (Se) species in fish. Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), a model aquaculture fish, 15 was exposed to Hg and Se species through controlled dietary conditions. Over a 6-month feeding 16 trial, the impact of dietary Se on Hg bioaccumulation in fish, including flesh, brain, and liver, was tracked. Twelve dietary conditions were tested, including plant-based diets (0.25 μ gSe g⁻¹) and 17 tuna byproduct diets (0.25 μ gHg g⁻¹, 8.0 μ gSe g⁻¹) enriched with methylmercury and/or Se as 18 19 selenite or selenomethionine. The tuna byproduct diet resulted in lower Hg levels than the plant-20 based diets, with muscle Hg content below the European Commission's safe threshold. This study 21 highlights the significant impact of specific Se compounds in the diet, particularly from tuna-based 22 aquafeed, on Hg bioaccumulation. These promising results provide a strong recommendation for 23 future use of fisheries byproducts in sustainable aquafeeds.

Keywords: methylmercury, tuna byproducts, aquaculture, selenoneine, biota, rainbow trout
 25

Synopsis: The interactions between Hg and Se species in biota are not completely understood yet.
This work discusses the fate of dietary Se species and their effect on Hg bioaccumulation in
rainbow trout, an aquaculture model fish.

29

30 Abstract art



32

31

33 **1. Introduction**

34 The main source of human intake of mercury (Hg) is via the consumption of fish and seafood 35 products (Córdoba-Tovar et al., 2022). Mercury is considered to be one of the top ten chemicals 36 of major public health concerns by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2021). In fish and 37 seafood, Hg is principally found as methylmercury (MeHg) (Lavoie et al., 2013; Wu & Zhang, 38 2023). MeHg levels are biomagnified through the trophic chain, resulting in serious social, 39 environmental, and human health effects. The U.S. Food and Drug Agency (U.S. FDA) have 40 established a MeHg limit in fish of 1 µgMeHg g⁻¹ wet weight (ww) (U.S. FDA, 2022). A more 41 restrictive criterion has been set by the European Commission, which depends on the species of 42 fish and in this case, it is defined as total Hg (EU, 2022). This maximum level varies from 0.30 µgHg g⁻¹ ww for fish/seafood species with a low content of Hg, such as the muscle of rainbow 43 trout, to 1.0 μ gHg g⁻¹ ww in the muscle of fish/seafood species with a high content of Hg such as 44 45 shark, swordfish, and tuna. For some other fishery products and crustaceans, the maximum level is set at 0.5 μ gHg g⁻¹ ww. 46

47 More advanced toxicity assessment criteria have been proposed in which consider not only the 48 MeHg level but also the selenium (Se) content (Plessl et al., 2019; Ralston et al., 2016). These 49 approaches rely on the potential antagonistic effect of Se against Hg toxicity in animals (Raymond 50 & Ralston, 2004). Mercury detoxification pathways involving the formation of tiemannite (HgSe) 51 a bioinert compound have been proposed and this species has been found in several organs of 52 vertebrates thereby supporting this theory (Lailson-Brito et al., 2012; Manceau et al., 2021). The 53 key role of Se species in this detoxification process is widely acknowledged but not fully 54 understood.

55 Aquaculture fish production has become an alternative industry to wild capture of fish for 56 providing seafood products. It plays a crucial role in global food security with production rates 57 growing at 7.5% per year since 1970 (FAO, 2020). Aquaculture management is currently facing 58 one of the world's greatest challenges. By 2050, more than 9 billion people will need reliable food 59 supplies in a context of climate change, economic and financial uncertainty, and growing 60 competition for natural resources. The UN Member States implemented the 2030 Agenda for 61 Sustainable Development to set aims for the contribution and conduct of fisheries and aquaculture 62 towards food security and nutrition.

63 Plant-based and fish byproducts diets appear as more cost-effective and ecofriendly aquafeed 64 solutions compared with traditional fishmeal diets from dedicated over fishing (FAO, 2022). The 65 preferred alternative protein sources for replacing fishmeal in aquaculture feeds are plant-based 66 proteins (Hasan & Tan, 2020). Some of the challenges and limitations on the use of plant-based diets are their amino acid, fatty acid, and mineral profiles, as well as their mycotoxin contents 67 68 which can affect the growth and health status of fish (Hasan & Tan, 2020). However, several 69 studies have already proved that aquaculture fish growth and performance are not affected with 70 plant-based diets and adequate micronutrient supplementation (Fontagné-Dicharry et al., 2015). 71 Fish byproducts represent up to 70% of processed fish and constitute a collection of fish parts such 72 as heads, viscera, skin, bones, and scales, which were usually discarded as waste (Coppola et al., 73 2021). These non-commercialized parts of large wild fish represent a significant source of 74 nutrients, rich in minerals and amino acids, and sustainable alternative ingredients for aquafeeds 75 (Kim et al., 2019). Catches of tuna and tuna-like species increase over the years, reaching their 76 highest levels in 2019 at about 8.2 million tons (FAO, 2022). The tuna canning industry discards 77 around 65% of byproducts, which represents a precious protein source for sustainable aquafeeds 78 production. Nevertheless, the principal disadvantage of the use of tuna byproducts-based diets is 79 their potential high content of heavy metals, such as mercury and cadmium (Kim et al., 2019).

Interestingly, tuna byproducts contain relatively high Se concentration levels (range 0.7-7.9 μ gSe g⁻¹ ww) (Donnarumma et al., 2021). Many of the current works on Hg toxicity in marine organisms include Se:Hg molar ratios as a valuable toxicology variable (Plessl et al., 2019; Ruelas-Inzunza et al., 2020), and these publications advise further investigation on the interaction between Hg and Se, for understanding Hg metabolic pathways (Albuquerque et al., 2020). Despite a large number of studies focusing on the effect of Se on Hg bioaccumulation, they are principally exclusively limited to the muscle (Amlund et al., 2015; Ribeiro et al., 2022). However, the understanding of such complex interactions in biota requires the analyses of other tissues, providing information about their fate in the whole organism. In addition, Se speciation in fish is still not fully understood. Selenoneine, a Se analogue of ergothioneine, was recently identified and therefore its presence and effect on Hg has not been considered (Achouba et al., 2019; El Hanafi et al., 2022; Ribeiro et al., 2022) despite its large presence in aquatic ecosystems.

92 The present work aims to advance the current limited understanding of the Hg and Se (species) 93 interaction in fish through dietary exposure. A model aquaculture fish, rainbow trout 94 (Oncorhynchus mykiss) was dietarily exposed to Hg and Se species under controlled conditions. 95 The dietary Se effect on Hg bioaccumulation in flesh, and other key organs like brain and liver 96 were studied. Mercury and Se species were kinetically tracked during a 6-month feeding trial, 97 where fish were exposed to plant and tuna byproducts-based diets. The reported outcomes of this 98 work could help the aquaculture industry in setting new guidelines for aquaculture feeding 99 practices to avoid fish contamination with toxic forms of Hg and to ensure proper bio assimilation 100 of its antagonist Se species. In addition, this study constitutes a solid basis for the evaluation of 101 tuna byproducts as an alternative protein source for the development of sustainable aquafeeds.

102

2. Materials and Methods

103 **2.1 Feeding trial with rainbow trout juveniles**

104 The feeding and sample collection was performed at the French National Research Institute for 105 Agriculture Food and Environment (INRAE). All experimental procedures complied with the 106 European Directive 010/63/EU for the protection of animals used for scientific purposes, and the 107 French Decree no. 2013-118 for animal experimentation (project agreement number: 108 APAFIS#27846-2020102812241350v2). Rainbow trout juveniles (mean initial body weight: $26 \pm$ 109 1 g) were fed with Se and Hg supplemented experimental diets for 24 weeks. Fish were hand-fed 110 twice a day in the morning and afternoon to apparent visual satiation (i.e., feed distributed until 111 the fish stop displaying active feeding). The experimental feeds consisted of 6 plant-based diets 112 and 6 tuna meal-based diets (Table S1), with different dietary Se and Hg species and concentrations 113 (Table 1). The two control diets (PC, TC) with basal content of Se and Hg, were supplemented with 1.5 µgSe g⁻¹ ww either in the form of sodium selenite (Se(IV)) (PI, TI) or as L-114

115 selenomethionine (SeMet) (from Excential Selenium 4000, Orffa, Breda, The Netherlands) (PO, TO), or with 2.0 µgHg g⁻¹ in the form of methylmercury(II) chloride (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-116 117 Quentin-Fallavier, France) (PH, TH). For each control diet, a combination of both Se, either 118 inorganic or organic, and Hg supplementation was also included. Liver, kidney, muscle, brain, and 119 blood tissues of rainbow trout juveniles were collected after 7, 21, 84, and 168 days of feeding 120 trial. Tissue samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for further 121 analyses. Three tissue replicates from three different individuals were lyophilized and stored at 122 room temperature for determination of Se and Hg. A comprehensive description of the feeding 123 trial setting is presented elsewhere (Bidon et al., 2023).

Table 1 Dietary Se and Hg concentrations in the plant-based and tuna meal-based diets (in $\mu g g^{-1}$ ww,

		Plant-based diets			Tuna meal-based diets		
Diets	ID	µgSe g⁻¹	µgHg g ⁻¹	ID	µgSe g⁻¹	µgHg g⁻¹	
Control	PC	0.25 ± 0.02	< LOD ^a	ТС	8.0 ± 0.3	0.25 ± 0.02	
Se(IV)	PI	3.5 ± 0.2	< LOD	TI	10.3 ± 0.7	0.19 ± 0.04	
SeMet	PO	2.3 ± 0.1	< LOD	ТО	10.6 ± 1.5	0.21 ± 0.02	
MeHg	PH	0.28 ± 0.01	2.6 ± 0.2	TH	7.8 ± 0.3	2.13 ± 0.05	
Se(IV) + MeHg	PHI	2.3 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.1	THI	11.2 ± 1.7	2.2 ± 0.1	
SeMet + MeHg	PHO	2.2 ± 0.1	$2.4\pm0.2^{\:b}$	THO	10.3 ± 1.1	2.0 ± 0.1	

125 uncertainty represents the standard deviation of 3 sample replicates).

126

127 ^a LOD: Limit of detection

^b Standard deviation of only two samples

129 **2.2 Se and Hg quantification**

Freeze-dried and homogenized rainbow trout tissues (0.02 - 0.2 g) were pre-digested overnight at room temperature with concentrated HNO₃ (0.5-5 mL) using a sample to acid ratio of 1:25 in a borosilicate-glass tube covered with Teflon caps. Total digestion was performed in a singlereaction chamber system (UltraWAVE, Milestone Srl, Italy) following the procedure described in Table S2. The resulting sample digests were diluted in 2% (v/v) HNO₃ and 5% (v/v) HCl for analyses of Se and Hg, respectively.

136 Selenium was determined with an Agilent 7500 ICP-MS (Agilent Technologies, Tokyo, Japan)

137 instrument equipped with an octopole collision/reaction cell following the parameter settings of

138 Table S3. External calibration with sodium selenite (Se(IV)) standard solution (SCP SCIENCE,

- 139 France) was performed. Stock standard solutions containing $50 \mu gSe L^{-1}$ were prepared in 4% (v/v)
- 140 HNO₃ and stored at -4 °C. Working standard solutions were daily prepared by dilution in 2% (v/v)
- 141 HNO₃. Milli-Q (ultrapure) water (18.2 MΩ cm, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) was used for all
- 142 dilution preparation. Trace Metal Grade conc. HNO₃ (67% w/w) and Instra-analysed grade conc.
- 143 HCl (36–38.5% w/w, J.T. Baker) acids, were purchased from Fisher Scientific, Illkirch, France.
- 144 Mercury quantification was performed by cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry (CV-
- 145 AFS) (10.025, P S Analytical, Kent, UK) by reduction with 3% (m/v) SnCl₂ (Scharlab, Barcelona,
- 146 Spain) in 10% (v/v) HCl as described elsewhere (Queipo-Abad et al., 2022). The quantification
- 147 was performed by external calibration.
- 148 Detection limits defined as three times the standard deviation on blank analyses (2% (v/v) HNO3
- 149 for Se and 5% (v/v) HCl for Hg) were 0.08-0.7 ngSe g^{-1} and 0.06 ngHg g^{-1} for fish tissues (dry
- 150 weight). Measurement validation was performed by analysis of certified reference materials
- 151 (DOLT-5, ERM-CE101, BCR-464 and TORT-2) (Table S4).

152 **2.3 Statistical analyses**

Data are expressed as means of three sample replicates \pm standard deviation (SD). Unless otherwise stated, the element concentration is presented as μ g(Element) g⁻¹ of dry weight (dw) sample. One-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's honestly significance test was used for the comparison of Se and Hg concentration data. Statistical significance level was defined as p < 0.05(95% confidence).

158 **2.4 Bioaccumulation rate**

159 Selenium and Hg bioaccumulation rate constant (k_{uptake} in day⁻¹) was calculated using a one 160 compartment first-order kinetic model (Equation (1)) (Wang & Tan, 2019).

$$\frac{[\text{Element}]_{\text{tissue}}}{[\text{Element}]_{\text{diet}}} = \frac{k_{\text{uptake}}}{k_{\text{elimination}}} \times (1 - e^{-(k_{\text{elimination}} \times t)})$$
(1)

where [Element]_{tissue} (μ g(Element) g⁻¹) represents the mean values of concentration of the element in tissues of three individuals at each sampling time (t, in days). Mass of Se and Hg in tissues were independent of growth therefore element concentration values were used. [Element]_{diet} (μ g(Element) g⁻¹) is the average concentration of each element in each diet. k_{uptake} and k_{elimination} 166 (in day ⁻¹) corresponds to the constant of uptake and elimination rate respectively. $k_{elimination}$ was 167 obtained from the slope of the kinetic model and used for calculation of k_{uptake} . Values represent 168 $k_{uptake} \pm$ standard error (95% confidence interval).

169 **3. Results and Discussion**

170 **3.1 Selenium bioaccumulation in rainbow trout organs**

171 **3.1.1 Plant-based diets exposure**

172 Control plant-based diets resulted in constant Se concentrations (p > 0.05) during the 6-month 173 feeding period in all tissues (Table S5). Fortification with Se (as Se(IV) or SeMet) led to an 174 increase of Se levels in all the organs. The biggest increase was observed in the liver of fish fed 175 with Se(IV) where final Se concentration was 65-fold higher than in the control group (Figure 1). 176 The final Se concentration in kidneys and blood was independent of the specific dietary Se species 177 (Figure 1). In contrast, the Se content in muscle and brain was 5- and 2-fold higher respectively 178 when fish were fed with SeMet than with inorganic Se, illustrating a Se species specific 179 bioaccumulation in the mentioned organs (discussion in section 3.3).

The Se bioaccumulation rate in kidneys was strongly dependent of the Se species dietarily supplemented, contrasting with the rest of the organs where values were on the same range for both Se sources (Table S6). In kidneys, SeMet enriched diets led to a higher Se bioaccumulation rate than when fed with Se(IV). Meanwhile, the liver exhibited the highest bioaccumulation rate (up to 10 times more than in the other tissues), independently of the supplemented Se species.

In general, the simultaneous dietary exposure of trout to MeHg and both Se species does not affect the Se concentrations and bioaccumulation kinetics (Figure 1, Tables S5 and S6) previously described. A singular trend is observed in the liver of fish simultaneously co-exposed to Se(IV) and MeHg. Despite similar Se hepatic concentration profiles, at the end of the trial the Se levels where 2-times higher when fish were exposed to Se(IV)+MeHg than exclusively to Se(IV) (discussion in section 3.3).

191 **3.1.2 Tuna meal-based diets exposure**

192 Dietary exposure to the control tuna meal-based diets (TC, Table 1) resulted in the increase of Se 193 levels in all the rainbow trout tissues. It should be highlighted that the Se basal level on the tuna 194 byproduct-based diet was 7.9 μ gSe g⁻¹ ww. Liver exhibited the highest Se levels reaching 70 μ gSe 195 g^{-1} dw when exposed to tuna-meal control diet (Figure 1). Muscle, kidney, brain, and blood Se 196 concentration values were not significantly different (p > 0.05) (Table S5).

197 The addition of Se(IV) to the tuna based aquafeeds did not significantly induce any variation on 198 the final Se concentration in comparison to the control group (p > 0.05). In contrast, SeMet-199 enriched tuna aquafeeds induced higher Se levels in the muscle, brain, and blood tissues than in 200 the control tuna-based aquafeed group (Figure 1). The most important Se content raise was 201 observed in muscle, reaching Se levels 4-times higher than with TC and TI diets (Table S5).

Addition of MeHg in the absence of Se fortification (TH, Table 1) does not have any significant effect on the Se bioaccumulation rates (Table S6), or on the Se biodistribution among the rainbow trout tissues in comparison to fish fed with control diet TC (p > 0.05). However, the simultaneous dietary supplementation of MeHg with Se(IV) (THI) led to some specific increases of final Se hepatic levels in comparison to those obtained without MeHg dietary exposure (Figure 1, Table S5) (discussion in section 3.3).

208 The simultaneous diet supplementation with MeHg and both Se species led to a different kinetic 209 trend of the Se content in brain compared to the trend when dietary MeHg was absent. Specifically, 210 in the absence of dietary MeHg, SeMet and Se(IV) enriched diets lead to a maximum Se level at 211 84 days followed either by a 45% decrease or by a plateau, respectively (Figure 1). The decrease 212 of brain Se concentration from 84 to 168 days when fish were fed with SeMet-tuna aquafeeds was 213 not observed when MeHg was simultaneously added with this Se-amino acid. In this case, the Se 214 content in brain remains constant during the last 3 months of the feeding trial. With Se(IV)-tuna 215 aquafeeds, the co-addition of MeHg results in the continuous increase of the Se content in brain 216 after 84 days, to reach similar concentration levels compared to fish fed with MeHg+SeMet-tuna 217 based diet. Interestingly, the addition of MeHg to the control tuna-based diet does not affect the 218 Se content found in brain (Table S5). The high standard deviation on Se-kuptake values (Table S6) 219 hampers a complete comparison of the different dietary conditions.



Figure 1 Selenium bioaccumulation in fish tissues after 0, 7, 21, 84 and 168 days of feeding trial with plant and tuna meal-based diets with (triangles) and without Hg (circles) and two Se species supplementation. Control and only MeHg-spiked diets are represented by continuous lines, while dotted and discontinuous lines correspond to the addition of Se(IV), and SeMet respectively. Selenium concentrations corresponds to the mean value \pm SD (dw) of 3 samples replicates.

221 **3.2** Mercury bioaccumulation in rainbow trout organs

222 **3.2.1** Plant-based diets exposure

223 Feeding with plant-based diets fortified with 2.5 µgMeHg g⁻¹ ww with or without simultaneous Se 224 addition, gradually increased Hg levels in all tissues of rainbow trout except liver and kidney 225 (Figure 2A). Blood exhibited the highest Hg content in comparison to the rest of tissues, reaching 226 more than 20 μ gHg g⁻¹ dw (Figure 2A, Table S5). In all tissues, irrespective of the dietary 227 conditions, the increase of Hg concentration was much higher during the first 21 days compared 228 to the following weeks, in agreement with previous studies (Amlund et al., 2015; Vieira et al., 229 2021). The specific trend observed during the initial Hg dietary exposure (first 21 days) may likely 230 be followed by detoxification strategies, leading to a less pronounced Hg bioaccumulation after 231 the 21-day period.

The Hg concentration in kidneys, muscle, and brain was not affected by co-supplementation with either Se-species. Meanwhile Hg levels in kidneys remained constant from 21 exposure days, Hg content in brain and muscle increased until the end of the trial. Supplementation with Se(IV) and SeMet reduced Hg levels in this organ by 84 and 62%, respectively (Table S5). Despite the observed decrease, the final Hg hepatic concentrations reached similar values with or without a Se supplemented diet (Figure 2A, Table S5).

Mercury bioaccumulation rates mostly exhibited large standard deviations (higher than calculated k_{uptake} values), probably due to the low concentration in the tissues or to the scattered time evolution. Therefore, not all conditions/organs could be compared. However, Hg-k_{uptake} was around 3-fold higher in blood and kidney in comparison to muscle and brain tissues (Table S6). The same trend of bioaccumulation rate was observed independently in the presence of dietary SeMet.

243 3.2.2 Tuna meal-based diets exposure

Dietary exposure to the natural Hg content in tuna byproducts (Figure 2C), resulted in an enhancement of Hg levels in most tissues, reaching the highest content $(0.73 \pm 0.04 \,\mu\text{gHg g}^{-1} \,\text{dw})$ in the kidney at the end of the trial (Table S5). Mercury bioaccumulation rates among organs of trout exposed to Hg originated from tuna byproducts exhibited the following trend: Hg-k_{uptake} in blood > kidney > brain, which could be attributed to the metabolic fate of Hg. Although these pathways are yet to be fully characterized, it is acknowledged that ingested MeHg is primarily transported from the blood to target organs potentially involved in Hg detoxification processes (Li et al., 2022; Pedrero Zayas et al., 2014) such as the liver and kidneys (Feng et al., 2015; QueipoAbad et al., 2022; Renedo et al., 2021). The lowest Hg-uptake value in the brain could be
understood as a protective mechanism against the neurotoxicity of this element. The tracking of
Hg kinetics through isotopic analyses in different animal species, including fish and seabirds,
supports these hypotheses (Feng et al., 2015; Queipo-Abad et al., 2022; Renedo et al., 2021).

In respect of the kinetic profile of Hg levels in kidneys and muscle, a plateau was observed from 21 to 84 days of exposure and the final values were 4-fold higher than at the beginning of the experiment. Meanwhile in liver, blood and brain, the Hg content increased during the first 21 days of exposure, followed by a noticeable depletion at 168 days (Figure 2C). This Hg depletion with time represented a reduction of 47 and 69% the Hg concentration in blood and brain respectively, reaching the Hg values on these organs at initial time.

The Hg concentrations in the muscle were reduced from $0.59 \pm 0.07 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$ dw with the control diet to 0.45 ± 0.03 and $0.38 \pm 0.02 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$ dw with SeMet and Se(IV) fortified tuna aquafeeds respectively (Table S5, Figure 2C). The 6-month dietary exposure to tuna meal-based diets (without MeHg supplementation) resulted in muscle Hg concentrations from 0.11 to 0.17 \ \mu g Hg \ g^{-1} (ww), which was below the limit for human consumption (0.3 \ \mu g Hg \ g^{-1} ww) (EU, 2022). The dietary supplementation with SeMet reduced by 44% the Hg bioaccumulation rate in blood, in comparison with the control tuna group of animals (Table S6).

269 The addition of MeHg to the tuna meal aquafeeds (TH, THI, THO, Figure 2B) resulted in an almost 270 linear increase of Hg levels in all tissues in comparison with the control group (TC, Figure 2C). 271 Among organs, liver and brain showed the highest increases (Table S5). The tuna meal-diet co-272 supplementation with MeHg and Se species did not induce any significant changes (p > 0.05) in 273 most of the final Hg concentrations in comparison with MeHg single addition (Figure 2C). Hepatic 274 Hg levels were slightly higher exclusively when Se(IV) was added to MeHg supplemented tuna 275 diet. Among tissues, Hg bioaccumulation rate values were higher in blood under the three dietary 276 conditions (MeHg, MeHg+Se(IV) and MeHg+SeMet) (Table S6), meanwhile Hg-kuptake in blood 277 of fish exposed to MeHg with and without SeMet was identical. Hg bioaccumulation rate in trout 278 co-exposed to MeHg+Se(IV) was reduced by 40%.



Figure 2 Mercury bioaccumulation in fish tissues after 0, 7, 21, 84 and 168 days of feeding trial with plant (A) and tuna meal-based diets with 2.5 μ gMeHg g⁻¹ ww (B) and without (C) supplementation. Control and only MeHg-spiked diets are represented by continuous lines, while dotted and discontinuous lines correspond to the addition of Se(IV), and SeMet respectively. Hg concentrations corresponds to the mean value \pm SD (dw) of 3 samples replicates.

280 **3.3** Fate of dietary selenium in rainbow trout: plant vs. tuna-based aquafeeds

281 This work compares for the first time the fate of Se in fish fed with plant and tuna-based diets. 282 Although both diets were fortified with the same amount of Se in the form of Se(IV) or SeMet, it 283 should be highlighted that Se level naturally present in the tuna based aquafeeds contributed to 284 80% of the total Se content in this diet. Therefore, fish fed with tuna based aquafeeds were exposed 285 to concentrations 5-times higher than those exposed to plant-based diets. The differences of final 286 Se concentrations in organs of fish fed with both Se supplemented diets were much lower than its 287 variation in basal diets (Table S5). These results suggest that the Se-species naturally present in 288 tuna meal-based diets might regulate the Se accumulation in the investigated rainbow trout tissues. 289 Selenoneine, a selenoamino acid reported for the first time in 2010 is considered to be a major Se 290 fraction in tuna (muscle, blood and other organs) (Yamashita et al., 2010, 2011, Tohfuku et al., 291 2021). Therefore, its presence in the aquafeeds prepared from tuna byproducts is to be expected. 292 At the end of the feeding trial, the increase of Se concentrations in the fish fed with tuna basal diet 293 in comparison to plant basal diet and to the initial values, demonstrates the bioaccumulation of 294 naturally present Se in tuna-byproducts in all tissues except for the brain.

295 Selenium bioaccumulation in juvenile rainbow trout strongly depends on the Se species from 296 dietary sources and varies among organs. Dietary SeMet supplementation of both diets leads to 297 increased Se bioaccumulation specifically in muscle and to a lesser extent in the blood. The higher 298 capacity of SeMet, in comparison to Se(IV), to increase muscle Se levels was already reported in rainbow trout broodstocks at lower supplementation levels (+ 0.3 μ gSe g⁻¹ dw, Wischhusen et al., 299 2019). At the fry stage, rainbow trout also exhibit higher whole-body Se level when exposed to 300 301 SeMet compared to Se(IV) (Fontagné-Dicharry et al., 2015, 2020; Godin et al., 2015). Considering 302 that the muscle represents about 75% of the whole-body, the higher Se increases could be related 303 to the enhanced dietary SeMet of Se in this specific organ.

Selenium bioaccumulation in blood of fish fed with tuna byproducts, with or without Sesupplementation, exhibits a similar trend with maximum values measured at 84 days, followed by a decrease by half at the end of the trial (Figure 1). Despite the much higher Se levels in tunabased diets in comparison to plant-based diets, both groups of fish exhibited similar Se blood content. These specific results strongly suggest a blood regulation of the Se naturally present in tuna. 310 As previously mentioned, selenoneine is expected to be one of the main Se species in tuna 311 byproducts. The trophic transfer of the mentioned Se-compound has been evoked (Achouba et al., 312 2019; El Hanafi et al., 2022; Klein et al., 2011; Little et al., 2019). However, the fate and roles of 313 this compound has been scarcely investigated so far and are still not fully understood. Some studies 314 mentioned its potential impact on MeHg demethylation (Achouba et al., 2019; Little et al., 2019; 315 Queipo-Abad et al., 2022; Y. Yamashita et al., 2013) and protection of the nervous system (El 316 Hanafi et al., 2022). So far, the fate of dietary selenoneine in fish has only been exclusively 317 addressed in a 2 months feeding trial of juvenile amberjacks (Tohfuku et al., 2021). The juvenile 318 animals were dietarily exposed to selenoneine (purified from tuna blood) at two different levels 0.5 and 1 μ gSe g⁻¹. Interestingly, at the end of the selenoneine feeding trial, a dose-dependence 319 320 was observed in Se bioaccumulation in blood. In contrast, final Se levels in muscle and 321 hepatopancreas were not significantly different despite the different selenoneine supplementation 322 doses, suggesting a regulation of this tuna Se-compound. In the current study, the observed trends 323 of Se bioaccumulation in several tissues of fish fed with tuna byproducts (Figure 1) are in good 324 agreement with these results using selenoneine-spiked aquafeeds (Tohfuku et al., 2021).

SeMet supplementation of plant based aquafeeds leads to higher Se concentrations in brain than 325 326 Se(IV) supplemented diets (Figure 1). This result is evidence of the preferential bioaccumulation 327 of dietary SeMet in this organ, in comparison to the inorganic Se form, which is in good agreement 328 with early studies (Salbe & Levander, 1990; Whanger, 2001). The reasons for the specific 329 enhancement of Se bioaccumulation in the brain of fish exposed to SeMet through their diet are 330 yet to be elucidated. Some current hypotheses are associated with compensating for a methionine 331 deficiency in the nervous system and/or the significant involvement of specific Se species in 332 neurological functions (Attaran et al., 2019; El Hanafi et al., 2022; Solovyev et al., 2021). 333 Interestingly, final Se concentrations in brain of fish exposed to tuna-based diets (without MeHg 334 exposure) was independent of the addition of SeMet and/or Se(IV). This is evidence that Se brain 335 bioaccumulation is dominated by the Se naturally present in tuna byproducts. A recent Se study 336 on the brains of seabirds (El Hanafi et al., 2022) revealed that this organ exhibited the highest 337 percentage of Se as selenoneine. Despite the role of this Se compound in biota being unknown, the 338 proposed trend supports the hypothesis of its key involvement in the nervous system.

Selenium bioaccumulates in the kidney irrespective of supplemented Se species in plant-based diets (Figure 1), reaching in both cases to a concentration of $8 \pm 2 \ \mu gSe \ g^{-1}$ dw. The lack of specificity (Se(IV)/SeMet) on Se bioaccumulation in this organ was already reported in Atlantic salmon supplemented with such Se species for 64 days (Philip et al., 2020). In contrast, Se bioaccumulation in this organ of individuals exposed to tuna (control and Se supplemented) aquafeeds seems to be principally dominated by the Se naturally present in tuna byproducts. Studies on Se bioaccumulation in the kidney of animals are scarce.

346 The liver exhibited the highest Se concentrations among all the investigated tissues. During the 347 first 84 days of exposure, the fish fed with tuna-based diets (supplemented with or without Se) 348 displayed similar Se bioaccumulation. This result strongly suggests that Se naturally present in 349 tuna byproducts is the primary source of the Se bioaccumulated in this organ. In the tuna control 350 group, from 84 days until the end of the trial, the Se values remained constant indicating a potential 351 saturation in the tissue (Figure 1) (Ribeiro et al., 2022). In contrast, during the second half of the 352 feeding trial (84-168 days), Se supplementation leads to an increase of Se bioaccumulation, 353 principally when added as SeMet. On the other hand, the liver of individuals exposed to Se-354 enriched plant-based aquafeeds exhibited a contrasting kinetic trend. Dietary supplementation of 355 plant-based diets with Se(IV) led to the highest Se liver concentrations with a linear increase until 356 84 days, followed by a stabilization of the values probably due to the saturation of this organ. The 357 distinct bioaccumulation patterns of Se in the liver of fish exposed to SeMet and Se(IV) require 358 comprehensive Se speciation studies to unveil the resulting chemical form of Se in this crucial 359 organ. While the literature on Se speciation in the liver is still limited, some previous studies on 360 the liver of fish and marine mammals have identified the presence of Se nanoparticles, selenoneine, 361 and various Se proteins (Achouba et al., 2019; El Hanafi et al., 2022; Pedrero Zayas et al., 2014). 362 The specific Se species present in the liver of trout and its correlation with the dietary Se species 363 should be further investigated.

Dietary SeMet supplementation induced similar Se hepatic bioaccumulation for both diets. Hepatic Se levels were thus higher in the fish exposed to Se(IV)-supplemented plant diet than tuna-based aquafeeds despite the dietary Se content in the last one being 5-times higher. This specific result is evidence that the regulation of natural Se present in tuna by the liver. At lower supplementation levels (0.3 μ gSe g⁻¹), hepatic Se content in juvenile and broodstock trout reached similar levels for both Se dietary sources, SeMet and Se(IV) supplemented in plant-based aquafeeds (Fontagné-Dicharry et al., 2020; Wischhusen et al., 2019). In contrast, in a 3-month feeding trial with Atlantic salmon, a Se(IV) dietary dose of 15 μ g g⁻¹ ww led to a higher Se bioaccumulation in this organ than the same dose of SeMet (Berntssen et al., 2017). The difference on critical aspects like the exposure conditions (dietary Se levels, time, etc.), age, tissues samples, and precise animal species of the previous studies makes it difficult to compare studies.

The dietary co-exposure of MeHg with Se species does not affect Se bioaccumulation trends previously described in tissues except for liver. In both groups of trout, fed with plant and tunabased diets, the addition of MeHg exclusively affects the Se hepatic accumulation when simultaneously administrated with Se(IV) (Figure 2). Final Se concentration was similar (around 350 μ gSe g⁻¹ dw) when using both types of based diets, suggesting that it depends on the dietary Se(IV) and not on the Se naturally present in the tuna aquafeeds.

381 **3.4** Effect of dietary selenium species on mercury bioaccumulation

The effect of dietary SeMet and Se(IV) supplementation on the bioaccumulation of Hg was investigated in a variety of organs and tissues (liver, kidney, muscle, brain, and blood) of rainbow trout during this 6-month feeding trial. To the best of our knowledge, such relevant aspects are until now under-investigated. Previous studies that focused on the potential effect of Se on Hg bioaccumulation, relied on shorter-term observations of fish muscle (Amlund et al., 2015; Ribeiro et al., 2022), which limited our understanding of its fate within the entire organism.

388 The primary effect observed corresponds to the divergence on Hg bioaccumulation in trout's fed 389 with plant or tuna byproducts aquafeeds. Apart from brain, Hg accumulation in individuals fed 390 with tuna byproducts were usually lower than in those fed with plant-based diets despite identical 391 dietary MeHg exposure levels in both MeHg-spiked aquafeeds (Figure 2A and B). Notably, the 392 most pronounced difference of Hg levels between the two categories of aquafeeds was observed 393 in blood. Fish exposed to tuna byproducts exhibit a Hg content 50% lower than those fed with 394 plants diets (Figure 2A and B). The observed trend in blood may be due to the reduced Hg 395 digestibility of the tuna byproducts used in the current study, which was approximately 30% lower 396 compared to plant-based diets (Bidon et al., 2023). The reduced bioaccumulation of Hg observed 397 in other fish organs when fed with tuna byproducts, compared to those fed with plant-based diets,

could be attributed to the mentioned pattern in blood tissues. It is acknowledged that Hg istransported through the bloodstream to the rest of the internal organs (Li et al., 2022).

400 An alternative hypothesis concerning the decrease Hg bioaccumulation due to the naturally 401 occurring Se species in tuna byproducts, could involve an enhancement of Hg excretion by fish, 402 as a detoxification mechanism. This hypothesis is supported by the difference in Hg fecal content, 403 which is significantly higher in fish exposed to tuna-based diets than those fed with plant-based 404 ones (366 pg kg⁻¹ in TH, 96 pg kg⁻¹ in PH) reported Bidon et al. (2023). Naturally present seleno-405 compounds in the tuna byproducts, accounting for 80% of total dietary Se, such as selenoneine 406 (M. Yamashita et al., 2013; Y. Yamashita & Yamashita, 2010), could play a key role in the 407 regulation of Hg in rainbow trout while masking the effects of supplemented Se species.

A singular similarity of Hg levels was found in the brain of both groups of trout fed with tuna
byproducts and plant-based diets (Figure 2, Table S5). Given the pronounced neurotoxicity of
MeHg, the regulation of Hg levels could be a result of the protective mechanisms taking place in
this organ (Li et al., 2022; Pan et al., 2022).

412 A lack of effect of Se supplementation in Hg accumulation levels has been previously described 413 in the testicles of male catfish (Ibrahim et al., 2019), internal organs of black seabreams (X. Wang 414 & Wang, 2017), liver of Atlantic cod (Olsvik et al., 2015), and also in model mice (Moniruzzaman et al., 2021). The simultaneous diet supplementation with SeMet (5 μ g g⁻¹ ww) and MeHg (0.2 μ g 415 g^{-1} ww) was reported to not affect Hg concentration in rainbow trout flesh (Ribeiro et al., 2022). 416 417 Although Se might not always reduce Hg bioaccumulation, it could play a toxicity antagonist effect. Se supplementation (0.1 μ g g⁻¹ as Se(IV)) had a protective effect in the reproductive system 418 419 of a male catfish species after 30 days of exposure to sublethal Hg concentrations lower than 0.12 $\mu g g^{-1}$ (Ibrahim et al., 2019). Dietary SeMet and vitamin E supplementation, were positively 420 421 correlated with survival, growth, and weight gain of juvenile olive flounder exposed to induced MeHg toxicity (20 μ g g⁻¹ ww) (Moniruzzaman et al., 2017). In the current research, the dietary 422 423 inclusion of Se(IV) and SeMet led to enhanced growth performance and reduced inflammatory 424 effects, which were only evident when using MeHg-enriched aquafeed (Bidon et al., 2023).

425 It is important to highlight that Hg muscle levels in rainbow trout fed with tuna byproducts, without
426 Se supplementation, were below the threshold established for human consumption by the European

Commission (EU Marine Affairs and Fisheries Division) (0.3 µgHg g⁻¹ ww, EU, (2022)). Further 427 428 investigation is needed to identify Se, MeHg and Hg-Se-binding species. This research should 429 encompass both diets and tissues of farmed fish to enhance our understanding of the interactions 430 and metabolic pathways involving Se and Hg. Fish exposed to natural tuna byproducts resulted in 431 muscle Hg levels lower than the maximum recommended by food safety agencies such as the U.S. 432 Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), and 433 Se:Hg molar ratios higher than one. Several authors agree to include Se in the risk assessment 434 criterion for fish consumption, currently exclusively based on MeHg concentration (Ralston & 435 Raymond, 2018). An excess of molar Se in comparison to Hg (Se:Hg molar ratio >1) is usually 436 related with a Hg toxicity reduction (Zampetti & Brandt, 2023). The mentioned ratio in trout flesh 437 is much higher in fish fed with tuna byproducts than with plant based aquafeeds (Table S7).

438

439 **3.4.1** Environmental and socio-economic impact

440 The rapid production growth of the aquaculture industry (FAO, 2020) in a global context of 441 population increase, climate change and growing competition for natural resources, leads to a 442 demand for new sustainable aquaculture strategies. Fish byproducts emerged as an ecofriendly 443 aquafeed alternative to the traditional fishmeal from dedicated over fishing (Hasan & Tan, 2020). 444 Nevertheless, one of the main limitations for its use is the Hg content in these raw products. Better 445 understanding of Hg and Se bioaccumulation in fish and their interaction could contribute to 446 answering currently unresolved questions of socioeconomic and health interest. The present work 447 provides necessary data for bridging this fundamental knowledge gap, which represents a necessity 448 for policy makers to establish more realistic scenarios to estimate human health risk and benefits 449 of seafood consumption. Natural tuna byproduct-based diets induced high Se:Hg molar ratios and 450 muscle Hg content lower than the maximum recommended by food safety agencies (EU, 2022; 451 U.S. FDA, 2022), which set the basis for the future use of these aquafeeds as an alternative protein 452 source for the development of sustainable aquafeeds.

453 **4.** Conclusions

This study sheds light on the kinetics of Hg and Se bioaccumulation in key organs/tissues of a model aquaculture fish. The comprehensive investigation reveals the potential role of naturally occurring basal Se (species) in tuna byproducts, effectively reducing Hg bioaccumulation. 457 Notably, in most organs, except for the brain, individuals fed with tuna byproducts exhibited lower 458 Hg accumulation compared to those on plant-based diets, despite both groups having identical 459 MeHg exposure levels in their diets. Fish exposed to natural tuna byproducts resulted in muscle 460 Hg levels lower than the maximum recommended by food safety agencies (0.3 μ gHg g⁻¹ ww). 461 Furthermore, this work constitutes a pioneering investigation into Se bioaccumulation from tuna 462 byproduct-based aquafeeds. The resulting Se levels in fish fed Se-supplemented diets were 463 significantly lower than those in basal diets, indicating the potential regulation of Se species 464 naturally present in tuna meal-based diets within rainbow trout tissues.

Further studies, focusing on the kinetic fate of Hg and Se species through speciation and isotopic analyses, constitute the main perspectives of this work to overcome current limitations in understanding their interaction mechanisms.

468

469 **Credit authorship contribution statement:**

470 Claudia Marchán-Moreno: Data curation; Formal analysis; Writing - original draft, Silvia
471 Queipo-Abad: Data curation; Formal analysis, Warren T. Corns: Writing - review & editing;
472 Resources, Maite Bueno: Supervision; Validation; Writing - review & editing, Florence
473 Pannier: Writing - review & editing, David Amouroux: Supervision; Writing - review & editing,
474 Stéphanie Fontagné-Dicharry: Methodology; Resources; Supervision; Writing - review &
475 editing, Zoyne Pedrero: Funding acquisition; Supervision; Visualization; Writing - review &
476 editing.

477

478 Supporting Information: Additional experimental and results details, including methods
479 conditions and extensive data results (.docx).

480

481 **Ethics:**

The feeding trial with all-female diploid rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) was conducted in accordance with the European directive 2010/63/EU and the French decree n° 2013–118 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. The protocol was approved by the Ethical 485 Committee C2EA-73 and the French Ministry of Higher Education and Research (reference
486 number APAFIS#27846–2020102812241350 v2). For sampling, fish were overnight starved
487 during 16 h, anaesthetized with benzocaine (30 mg/L) then killed with an overdose of benzocaine
488 (60 mg/L).

489 Acknowledgements

490 The authors thank the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (MERSEL Project no. ANR-18-CE34-491 0004-01) and the Communauté d'Agglomération Pau Béarn Pyrénées (MERISOTOP) for their 492 financial support. This project has also received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 493 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement no. 494 101007962". S. Queipo Abad, C. Marchan Moreno, and M. Bidon acknowledge their postdoctoral 495 and Ph.D. fellowships, respectively, funded by the Région Nouvelle Aquitaine (Project 496 BENESEL) and I-site E2S UPPA (contract 2020-32). The authors would like to acknowledge PS 497 Analytical for their instrumental and technical support. They are also grateful to Marine 498 Biotechnology Products (Port-Louis, Mauritius) and Orffa (Breda, The Netherlands) for the kind 499 supply of tuna meal and selenomethionine, respectively.

References

- Achouba, A., Dumas, P., Ouellet, N., Little, M., Lemire, M., & Ayotte, P. (2019). Selenoneine is a major selenium species in beluga skin and red blood cells of Inuit from Nunavik. *Chemosphere*, 229(August), 549–558. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.04.191
- Albuquerque, F. E. A., Minervino, A. H. H., Miranda, M., Herrero-Latorre, C., Barrêto Júnior, R. A., Oliveira, F. L. C., Sucupira, M. C. A., Ortolani, E. L., & López-Alonso, M. (2020). Toxic and essential trace element concentrations in fish species in the Lower Amazon, Brazil. *Science* of the Total Environment, 732(August), 138983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138983
- Amlund, H., Lundebye, A.-K., Boyle, D., & Ellingsen, S. (2015). Dietary selenomethionine influences the accumulation and depuration of dietary methylmercury in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Aquatic Toxicology, 158(January), 211–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.11.010
- Attaran, A., Salahinejad, A., Crane, A. L., Niyogi, S., & Chivers, D. P. (2019). Chronic exposure to dietary selenomethionine dysregulates the genes involved in serotonergic neurotransmission and alters social and antipredator behaviours in zebrafish (Danio rerio). *Environmental Pollution*, 246, 837–844. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.12.090
- Berntssen, M. H. G., Sundal, T. K., Olsvik, P. A., Amlund, H., Rasinger, J. D., Sele, V., Hamre, K., Hillestad, M., Buttle, L., & Ørnsrud, R. (2017). Sensitivity and toxic mode of action of dietary organic and inorganic selenium in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). *Aquatic Toxicology*, 192(November), 116–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.09.014
- Bidon, M., Philip, A. J. P., Braun, A., Herman, A., Roy, J., Pedrero-Zayas, Z., & Fontagné-Dicharry, S. (2023). Interaction between dietary selenium and methylmercury on growth performance, deposition and health parameters in rainbow trout fed selenium-rich tunabased diets or selenium-poor plant-based diets. *Aquaculture*, 572(July), 739550. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2023.739550
- Coppola, D., Lauritano, C., Palma Esposito, F., Riccio, G., Rizzo, C., & de Pascale, D. (2021). Fish waste: from problem to valuable resource. *Marine Drugs*, *19*(2), 1–39. https://doi.org/10.3390/md19020116
- Córdoba-Tovar, L., Marrugo-Negrete, J., Barón, P. R., & Díez, S. (2022). Drivers of biomagnification of Hg, As and Se in aquatic food webs: A review. *Environmental Research*, 204(July 2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112226
- Donnarumma, D., La Tella, R., Vento, F., Salerno, T. M. G., Micalizzi, G., Rigano, F., & Mondello, L. (2021). Evaluation of the level of toxic contaminants and essential molecules in the context of the re-use of tuna fishery industry by-products. *Food Analytical Methods*, *14*(10), 2161–2174. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-021-02045-w
- El Hanafi, K., Pedrero, Z., Ouerdane, L., Marchán-Moreno, C., Queipo-Abad, S., Bueno, M., Pannier, F., Corns, W. T., Cherel, Y., Bustamante, P., & Amouroux, D. (2022). First time identification of selenoneine in seabirds and its potential role in mercury detoxification. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 56(5), 3288–3298. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04966
- EU. (2022). Commission Regulation (EU) 2022/617 of 12 April 2022 amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 as regards maximum levels of mercury in fish and salt. In Official Journal of the European Union L115 (Vol. 65, pp. 60–63). http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legalcontent/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:L:2014:015:FULL&from=EN

- FAO. (2020). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. In *Contributing to food security* and nutrition for all. Rome. FAO. https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9229en
- FAO. (2022, June 28). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2022. *Towards Blue Transformation. Rome, FAO.* https://doi.org/10.4060/cc0461en
- Feng, C., Pedrero, Z., Gentès, S., Barre, J., Renedo, M., Tessier, E., Berail, S., Maury-Brachet, R., Mesmer-Dudons, N., Baudrimont, M., Legeay, A., Maurice, L., Gonzalez, P., & Amouroux, D. (2015). Specific pathways of dietary methylmercury and inorganic mercury determined by mercury speciation and isotopic composition in zebrafish (Danio rerio). *Environmental Science and Technology*, 49(21), 12984–12993. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b03587
- Fontagné-Dicharry, S., Godin, S., Liu, H., Philip, A. J. P., Bouyssière, B., Bueno, M., Tacon, P., Médale, F., & Kaushik, S. J. (2015). Influence of the forms and levels of dietary selenium on antioxidant status and oxidative stress-related parameters in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) fry. British Journal of Nutrition, 113(12), 1876–1887. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515001300
- Fontagné-Dicharry, S., Véron, V., Larroquet, L., Godin, S., Wischhusen, P., Aguirre, P., Terrier, F., Richard, N., Bueno, M., Bouyssière, B., Philip, A. J. P., Tacon, P., & Kaushik, S. J. (2020). Effect of selenium sources in plant-based diets on antioxidant status and oxidative stress-related parameters in rainbow trout juveniles under chronic stress exposure. *Aquaculture*, 529(February), 735684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735684
- Godin, S., Fontagné-Dicharry, S., Bueno, M., Tacon, P., Philip, A. J. P., Kaushik, S., Médale, F., & Bouyssiere, B. (2015). Influence of dietary selenium species on selenoamino acid levels in rainbow trout. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *63*(28), 6484–6492. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b00768
- Hasan, A., & Tan, J. (2020). *The current state of plant-based proteins in aquaculture feed*. Animal Nutrition and Health. https://www.biomin.net/science-hub/the-current-state-of-plant-based-proteins-in-aquaculture-feed/
- Ibrahim, A. T. A., Banaee, M., & Sureda, A. (2019). Selenium protection against mercury toxicity on the male reproductive system of Clarias gariepinus. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology, 225*(June), 108583. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2019.108583
- Kim, K., Park, Y., Je, H., Seong, M., Damusaru, J. H., Kim, S., Jung, J., & Bai, S. C. (2019). Tuna byproducts as a fish-meal in Tilapia aquaculture. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 172(October), 364–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.01.107
- Klein, M., Ouerdane, L., Bueno, M., & Pannier, F. (2011). Identification in human urine and blood of a novel selenium metabolite, Se-methylselenoneine, a potential biomarker of metabolization in mammals of the naturally occurring selenoneine, by HPLC coupled to electrospray hybrid linear ion trap-orbital ion tra. *Metallomics*, 3(5), 513–520. https://doi.org/10.1039/c0mt00060d
- Lailson-Brito, J., Cruz, R., Dorneles, P. R., Andrade, L., Azevedo, A. de F., Fragoso, A. B., Vidal, L. G., Costa, M. B., Bisi, T. L., Almeida, R., Carvalho, D. P., Bastos, W. R., & Malm, O. (2012). Mercury-selenium relationships in liver of Guiana dolphin: The possible role of Kupffer cells in the detoxification process by tiemannite formation. *PLoS ONE*, 7(7), e42162. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042162
- Lavoie, R. A., Jardine, T. D., Chumchal, M. M., Kidd, K. A., & Campbell, L. M. (2013). Biomagnification of mercury in aquatic food webs: A worldwide meta-analysis. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 47(23), 13385–13394.

https://doi.org/10.1021/es403103t

- Li, M.-L., Kwon, S. Y., Poulin, B. A., Tsui, M. T.-K., Motta, L. C., & Cho, M. (2022). Internal dynamics and metabolism of mercury in biota: A review of insights from mercury stable isotopes. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 56(13), 9182–9195. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c08631
- Little, M., Achouba, A., Dumas, P., Ouellet, N., Ayotte, P., & Lemire, M. (2019). Determinants of selenoneine concentration in red blood cells of Inuit from Nunavik (Northern Québec, Canada). Environment International, 127(November), 243–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.11.077
- Manceau, A., Gaillot, A.-C., Glatzel, P., Cherel, Y., & Bustamante, P. (2021). In vivo formation of HgSe nanoparticles and Hg–tetraselenolate complex from methylmercury in seabirds implications for the Hg–Se antagonism. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 55(3), 1515– 1526. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c06269
- Moniruzzaman, M., Lee, S., Park, Y., Min, T., & Bai, S. C. (2021). Evaluation of dietary selenium, vitamin C and E as the multi-antioxidants on the methylmercury intoxicated mice based on mercury bioaccumulation, antioxidant enzyme activity, lipid peroxidation and mitochondrial oxidative stress. *Chemosphere*, 273(June), 129673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.129673
- Moniruzzaman, M., Park, G., Yun, H., Lee, S., Park, Y., & Bai, S. C. (2017). Synergistic effects of dietary vitamin E and selenomethionine on growth performance and tissue methylmercury accumulation on mercury-induced toxicity in juvenile olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus (Temminck et Schlegel). *Aquaculture Research*, 48(2), 570–580. https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12904
- Olsvik, P. A., Amlund, H., Sæle, Ø., Ellingsen, S., & Skjaerven, K. H. (2015). Impact of dietary selenium on methylmercury toxicity in juvenile Atlantic cod: A transcriptional survey. *Chemosphere*, 120(February), 199–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.06.036
- Pan, J., Li, X., Liu, H., Wang, C., Xu, S., Xu, B., Deng, Y., Yang, T., & Liu, W. (2022). Exploring the endoplasmic reticulum molecular mechanisms underlie the stress-mediated methylmercury-induced neuronal developmental damage. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 245(October), 114099. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.114099
- Pedrero Zayas, Z., Ouerdane, L., Mounicou, S., Lobinski, R., Monperrus, M., & Amouroux, D. (2014). Hemoglobin as a major binding protein for methylmercury in white-sided dolphin liver. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 406(4), 1121–1129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-013-7274-6
- Philip, A. J. P., Holen, E., Espe, M., Silva, M. S., Holme, M.-H., Hamre, K., Lock, E.-J., & Waagbø, R. (2020). Dietary selenium required to achieve body homeostasis and attenuate proinflammatory responses in Atlantic salmon post-smolt exceeds the present EU legal limit. *Aquaculture*, 526(October), 735413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735413
- Plessl, C., Gilbert, B. M., Sigmund, M. F., Theiner, S., Avenant-Oldewage, A., Keppler, B. K., & Jirsa, F. (2019). Mercury, silver, selenium and other trace elements in three cyprinid fish species from the Vaal Dam, South Africa, including implications for fish consumers. *Science of The Total Environment*, 659(April), 1158–1167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.442
- Queipo-Abad, S., Pedrero, Z., Marchán-Moreno, C., El Hanafi, K., Bérail, S., Corns, W. T., Cherel, Y., Bustamante, P., & Amouroux, D. (2022). New insights into the biomineralization of

mercury selenide nanoparticles through stable isotope analysis in giant petrel tissues. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 425(March), 127922. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127922

- Ralston, N. V. C., Ralston, C. R., & Raymond, L. J. (2016). Selenium health benefit values: Updated criteria for mercury risk assessments. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 171(2), 262–269. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-015-0516-z
- Ralston, N. V. C., & Raymond, L. J. (2018). Mercury's neurotoxicity is characterized by its disruption of selenium biochemistry. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta General Subjects*, 1862(11), 2405–2416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2018.05.009
- Raymond, L. J., & Ralston, N. V. C. (2004). Mercury : selenium interactions and health implications. *Seychelles Medical and Dental Journal*, 7(1), 72–77. https://amalgam-informationen.de/dokument/SECIIIC.pdf
- Renedo, M., Pedrero, Z., Amouroux, D., Cherel, Y., & Bustamante, P. (2021). Mercury isotopes of key tissues document mercury metabolic processes in seabirds. *Chemosphere*, 263(January), 127777. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127777
- Ribeiro, M., Zephyr, N., Silva, J. A. L., Danion, M., Guérin, T., Castanheira, I., Leufroy, A., & Jitaru, P. (2022). Assessment of the mercury-selenium antagonism in rainbow trout fish. *Chemosphere*, 286(August), 131749. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131749
- Ruelas-Inzunza, J., Amezcua, F., Coiraton, C., & Páez-Osuna, F. (2020). Cadmium, mercury, and selenium in muscle of the scalloped hammerhead Sphyrna lewini from the tropical Eastern Pacific: Variation with age, molar ratios and human health risk. *Chemosphere*, 242(March), 125180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125180
- Salbe, A. D., & Levander, O. A. (1990). Comparative toxicity and tissue retention of selenium in methionine-deficient rats fed sodium selenate or L-selenomethionine. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 120(2), 207–212. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/120.2.207
- Solovyev, N., Drobyshev, E., Blume, B., & Michalke, B. (2021). Selenium at the Neural Barriers: A Review. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 15(February), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2021.630016
- Tohfuku, T., Ando, H., Morishita, N., Yamashita, M., & Kondo, M. (2021). Dietary intake of selenoneine enhances antioxidant activity in the muscles of the Amberjack seriola dumerili grown in aquaculture. *Marine Biotechnology*, 23(6), 847–853. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-021-10067-y
- U.S. FDA. (2022). *Fish and fishery products hazards and controls guidance june 2022 edition* (pp. 113–120). https://www.fda.gov/media/80637/download?attachment
- Vieira, H. C., Rodrigues, A. C. M., Soares, A. M. V. M., Abreu, S., & Morgado, F. (2021). Mercury Accumulation and Elimination in Different Tissues of Zebrafish (Danio rerio) Exposed to a Mercury-Supplemented Diet. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 9(8), 882. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse9080882
- Wang, W., & Tan, Q. (2019). Applications of dynamic models in predicting the bioaccumulation, transport and toxicity of trace metals in aquatic organisms. *Environmental Pollution*, 252(September), 1561–1573. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.06.043
- Wang, X., & Wang, W.-X. (2017). Selenium induces the demethylation of mercury in marine fish. *Environmental Pollution*, *231*(December), 1543–1551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.09.014

- Whanger, P. D. (2001). Selenium and the brain: A review. *Nutritional Neuroscience*, 4(2), 81–97. https://doi.org/10.1080/1028415X.2001.11747353
- WHO. (2021). *Minamata Convention on Mercury: Annotated bibliography of WHO information*. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240022638
- Wischhusen, P., Parailloux, M., Geraert, P. A., Briens, M., Bueno, M., Mounicou, S., Bouyssiere, B., Philip, A. J. P., Kaushik, S. J., Fauconneau, B., & Fontagné-Dicharry, S. (2019). Effect of dietary Se in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) broodstock on antioxidant status, its parental transfer and oxidative status in the progeny. *Aquaculture*, 507(December), 126– 138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.04.006
- Wu, P., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Toward a global model of methylmercury biomagnification in marine food webs: Trophic dynamics and implications for human exposure. *Environmental Science* & Technology, 57(16), 6563–6572. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c01299
- Yamashita, M., Yamashita, Y., Ando, T., Wakamiya, J., & Akiba, S. (2013). Identification and determination of selenoneine, 2-selenyl-N α, N α, N α -trimethyl-l-histidine, as the major organic selenium in blood cells in a fish-eating population on remote japanese islands. Biological Trace Element Research, 156(Novembre), 36–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-013-9846-x
- Yamashita, Y. (2010). Discovery of the strong antioxidant selenoneine in tuna and selenium redox metabolism. *World Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 1(5), 144. https://doi.org/10.4331/wjbc.v1.i5.144
- Yamashita, Y., Amlund, H., Suzuki, T., Hara, T., Hossain, M. A., Yabu, T., Touhata, K., & Yamashita, M. (2011). Selenoneine, total selenium, and total mercury content in the muscle of fishes. *Fisheries Science*, 77(4), 679–686. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-011-0360-9
- Yamashita, Y., & Yamashita, M. (2010). Identification of a novel selenium-containing compound, selenoneine, as the predominant chemical form of organic selenium in the blood of bluefin tuna. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 285(24), 18134–18138. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C110.106377
- Yamashita, Y., Yamashita, M., & Iida, H. (2013). Selenium content in seafood in Japan. *Nutrients*, 5(2), 388–395. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu5020388
- Zampetti, C. J., & Brandt, J. E. (2023). Co-considering selenium concentrations alters mercurybased fish and seafood consumption advice: A data compilation and critical assessment. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters*, 10(2), 179–185. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00925