

Consortia cultivation of the Desulfobacterota from macrophyte periphyton: tool for increasing the cultivation of microorganisms involved in mercury methylation

Diva Scuvée, Marisol Goñi, Claire Gassie, B.K. Hassani, Remy Guyoneaud

▶ To cite this version:

Diva Scuvée, Marisol Goñi, Claire Gassie, B.K. Hassani, Remy Guyoneaud. Consortia cultivation of the Desulfobacterota from macrophyte periphyton: tool for increasing the cultivation of microorganisms involved in mercury methylation. Microbiological Research, 2023, 273, pp.127415. 10.1016/j.micres.2023.127415. hal-04132256

HAL Id: hal-04132256 https://univ-pau.hal.science/hal-04132256v1

Submitted on 19 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Microbiological Research
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11 12	Consortia cultivation of the <i>Desulfobacterota</i> from macrophyte periphyton: tool for increasing the cultivation of microorganisms involved in mercury methylation
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	Diva SCUVEE, Marisol GOÑI-URRIZA, Claire GASSIE, Bahia KHALFAOUI-HASSANI, Remy GUYONEAUD *
22	
23	
24 25	Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, IPREM UMR5254, Environmental Microbiology and Chemistry, 64000 Pau (France)
26	
27	*Corresponding author: remy.guyoneaud@univ-pau.fr
28	
29	
30	
31	

Abstract (entre 150 et 250 mots)

Invasive macrophytes are a persistent environmental problem in aquatic ecosystems. They also cause potential health issues, since periphyton colonizing their aquatic roots are hot spot of mercury methylation. Because periphytons are at the base of the trophic chain, the produced methylmercury is bioamplified through the food webs. In this work, a consortia cultivation approach was applied in order to investigate methylators in the periphyton of *Ludwigia sp.*, an invasive macrophyte. Five growth conditions were used in order to favor the growth of different sulfate reducers, the major mercury methylators in this periphyton. A total of 33 consortia containing putative Hg methylators were obtained. Based on the amino acid sequences of HgcA (essential enzyme for Hg methylation), the obtained consortia could be subdivided into five main clusters, affiliated with *Desulfovibrionaceae*, *Desulfobulbaceae* and *Syntrophobacteraceae*. The main cluster, related to *Desulfovibrionaceae*, showed the highest sequence diversity; notwithstanding most of the sequences of this cluster showed no close representatives. Through the consortia approach, species thus far uncultivated were cultivated. The successful cultivation of these species was probably possible through the metabolites produced by other members of the consortium. The analysis of the microbial composition of the consortia uncover certain microbial interactions that may exist within this complex environment.

 Keywords: Periphyton; Consortia cultivation; Microbial diversity; *Desulfobacterota*; *hgcA* gene

64 Introduction

Mercury (Hg) can be found under different speciation forms in the environment (Streets et al. 2011). The methylmercury (MeHg) is one form of Hg (Bastos et al. 2006) known for its bio-accumulation and bio-amplification through the trophic chain (Lebel et al. 1997; Morel et al. 1998). The consumption of MeHg-contaminated fishes (Bastos et al. 2006) is threatening to human, since the consumption of high concentrations of MeHg causes several neurological diseases (Risher et al. 2002; Díez 2009). Hg methylation is mainly mediated by anaerobic microorganisms. In aquatic environments, the prevailing Hg methylators are the sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) affiliated with the *Desulfobacterota* (Compeau and Bartha 1984, 1985; Gilmour et al. 1992). Other *Desulfobacterota* participate to the Hg methylation process, such as iron-reducing bacteria (Fleming et al. 2006; Kerin et al. 2006; Si et al. 2015) and syntrophs (Gilmour et al. 2013; Bae et al 2014), as well as other prokaryotes, such as methanogenic Archaea (Wood 1968; Hamelin et al. 2011). Since the discovery of the genetic determinisms for Hg methylation (*hgcAB* genes) (Parks et al. 2013), other microorganisms were identified through metagenomic data analysis as potential Hg methylators (Gilmour et al. 2013; Jones et al. 2019; Capo et al. 2020; Gionfriddo et al. 2020; Azaroff et al. 2020; Vigneron et al. 2021).

Although MeHg production is performed by anaerobic microorganisms, Hg methylation in oxic environments was observed (Achà et al. 2005; Gentès et al. 2013, 2017; Bouchet et al. 2018; Gallorini and Loizeau 2021; Wang et al. 2022), including in periphyton of aquatic macrophytes. Periphytons are communities of microorganisms (microalgae, fungi, macroinvertebrate and prokaryotes) attached to dead or living substrates in the aquatic environments (Costerton et al. 1995). These biofilms are embedded in a matrix of secreted extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) (Costerton et al. 1995) that allow the adaptation of the microbial community to environmental changes (Davey and O'toole 2000). The aquatic plant periphyton is a biofilm that grows in oxic environments (i.e., the water) on living surfaces (i.e., the plants). The periphyton contains anaerobic microorganisms due to the anoxic microniches existing within this biofilm that are the consequence of an oxygen gradient (Achà et al. 2005; Desrosiers et al. 2006) or transient anoxic conditions (Costerton et al. 1995). There is a correlation between the plant architecture and the development of the microbial community within the periphyton. Indeed, microbial communities are dependent on the plant architecture; the more complex the plant structure is, the more complex the microbial community will become (dos Santos et al. 2013).

The periphyton growing on the roots of aquatic macrophytes is known to be a Hg methylation hot spot (Guimarães et al. 1998, 2000; Cleckner et al. 1999; Liu et al. 2009; Alanoca et al. 2016; Gentès et al. 2017; Bouchet et al. 2018). Since it is at the base of the food webs, the periphyton is an important way of introducing MeHg in the trophic chain (Cremona et al. 2009; Molina et al. 2010). First studies on Hg methylation in periphyton were mostly conducted through chemical analysis (Coelho-Souza et al. 2011) by using environmental tracers to detect the activity of Hg methylation. Few recent data are available about microbial diversity (based on 16S rDNA diversity) and activity of aquatic plant's periphyton. These data suggest the importance of sulfate-reducing microorganisms in the Hg methylation in this environment (Gentès et al. 2013, 2017; Bouchet et al. 2018). On the other hand, studies on the isolation of pure strains from Hg methylator periphytons are very scarce. Those studies lead to the isolation of representatives of the genus Desulfovibrio, an easy cultivated group of SRB (Gentès et al. 2017, 2020). Like many other studies related to Hg methylation, isolations from environmental samples mostly lead to the isolation of a wide variety of representatives of Desulfovibrio species, and explains the abundance of *Desulfovibrio*-related HgcA sequences in the databases (Gionfriddo et al. 2021).

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

In Sanguinet Lake, a temperate freshwater lake in the southwest of France, high levels of MeHg were found in carnivorous fishes. This lake is covered partially by the invasive macrophyte Ludwigia sp. The periphyton growing on this macrophyte showed high Hg methylation rates and was identified to be one of the most important Hg methylation spots in the lake (Gentes et al, 2013). Microbial studies identified SRB affiliated with the Desulfobacterota phylum, as the main actors of Hg methylation in this environment (Gentès et al. 2013). However, species belonging to this phylum are rare in the periphyton from Sanguinet Lake (about 1%) (Gentès et al. 2020), and therefore difficult to isolate. Only few strains affiliated with the *Desulfovibrionaceae* and able to methylate Hg, could be isolated from this periphyton (Gentès et al. 2017). In this work, we applied different cultural and molecular approaches than the earlier studies to describe the methylating community in the periphyton from Ludwigia sp. found in the Sanguinet lake. We first analyzed the composition of the microbial community in this periphyton by NGS. We developed a protocol of consortia cultivation and their diversity identification (NGS), in order to increase the diversity of putative Hg methylators through increasing their growth capacity. The consortia carrying microorganisms involved in Hg methylation were identified via the presence of hgcA gene, used as marker for Hg methylation.

Material and methods

Site and Sampling

The Sanguinet lake (58 km²) is located in the Landes area (southwest of France). The overall characteristics of the lake are given in Table 1. Its average depth is 9 m and the watershed surface is about 200 km². The average water residence time is 1587 days. Nitrogen concentrations are found up to 0.58 mg. L⁻¹ for ammonium and 0.44 mg. L⁻¹ for nitrate, characteristics of a mesotrophic lake. However, phosphate concentrations are found up to 0.023 mg. L⁻¹ and chlorophyll concentrations are varying between 1.5 and 4.9 μg. L⁻¹, characteristics of an oligotrophic lake (Gentès et al. 2013; Moreira et al. 2016; Canredon et al. 2019).

Ludwigia sp., an invasive macrophyte, covers partially the shores of the lake. Samples of sediments and *Ludwigia* floating roots covered by periphyton were collected on February, 9th, 2020 and on September, 11th, 2020 in Ispe Bay (Ip) (sampling site GPS coordinates (DMS type): 44° 26' 22.126" W 1° 11' 27.397"). Samples were collected, put in sterile falcons and stored at 4°C for cultivation experiments and -20°C for diversity analyses.

Taxonomic diversity of periphyton through MiSEQ 16S rDNA sequencing and analysis

DNA from *in situ* samples was extracted using the DNeasy UltraClean Microbial Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and stored at -20°C. Extracted DNA were quantified using QUBIT dsDNA high sensibility (HS) Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Oregon, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. PCR was performed using specific primers, 515F (GTG YCA GCM GCC GCG GTA) and 928R (CCC CGY CAA TTC MTT TRA GT) to amplify V4-V5 region of the 16S rDNA gene, tagged with MiSEQ adaptors. PCR was performed using ampliTaq Gold 360 master mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), 0.4 μM of each primer and between 10 to 15 ng of extracted DNA were used. PCR cycles were applied as following: 10 min of initial denaturation at 95°C, 30 cycles of 30 s of denaturation at 95°C, 30 s annealing at 65°C, 40 s of elongation at 72°C with 7 min final elongation at 72°C. The PCR products were sequenced in Genome Transcriptome Platform of Bordeaux (PGTB), using MiSEQ technology. The data have been deposited in GenBank under accession number PRJNA906750.

Sequence analysis was done with the pipeline FROGS from the Galaxy portal of the Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees bioinformatics platform (Escudié et al. 2018). After a preprocessing step including quality filter, read trimming and read assembly, sequences were clustered with Swarm (Mahé et al. 2014) with an aggregation distance of 3 and a denoising clustering step. Operational taxonomic units (OTU) with abundance lower than 0.005% were removed (Bokulich et al. 2013). The SILVA database 128 was used to perform the OTU affiliations (Quast et al. 2013).

Consortia cultivation and taxonomic identification

The cultures were conducted in a minimal medium containing the following (in g.L⁻¹, unless otherwise indicated): NH₄Cl, 0.25; NaCl, 1; KH₂PO₄, 0.2; MgSO₄, 0.4; MgCl₂, 0.4; CaCl₂.2H₂O, 0.1; KCl, 0.5; Hepes, 10 mM; trace element SL12 (modified from Overmann et al. 1992), 1 mL.L⁻¹; selenite/tungstate (Widdel and Bak 1992), 1 mL.L⁻¹. The pH of the culture medium was adjusted to 7.0. Oxygen in the culture medium was depleted using N₂ gas-flow right after the autoclaved and filtered V7 vitamin solution (Pfennig and Trüper 1992) was added. Specific substrates were added in the culture medium to favor the growth of different bacterial populations: i) Na₂SO₄, 10 mM and lactate-Na, 10 mM for *Desulfovibrio*, ii) Na₂SO₄, 10 mM and propionate-Na, 10 mM for *Desulfobulbaceae*, iii) Na₂SO₄; 10 mM and acetate-Na, 10 mM for *Desulfobacteaceae*, iv) Propionate-Na, 20 mM and Fe₂O₃, 1 mM for *Syntrophus*. Culture media containing Na₂SO₄ were supplemented with FeSO₄ (1 mM) to follow the growth of SRB by the development of a black iron sulfide precipitate (FeS). Each culture medium was supplemented with 100 μM of Na₂SO₂O₄ to reduce the medium.

The protocol used for the selection and growth of the consortia is modified from Colin et al, (Colin et al. 2017). Briefly, 2 g of sediment or biofilm were added to 20 ml of culture medium. Then, successive dilutions were performed from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁵ in sterile penicillin bottles. The content of the bottles was distributed in 96-wells plates in an anoxic chamber. Plates was sealed with Alumaseal CS TM film and incubated in Gas-Pack pouches in the dark, at 18°C for three weeks to three months. When growth was observed, the wells were randomly selected and diluted for a second time in the 96-wells plates, from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁸ and incubated in similar way. The consortia that grew in the most diluted wells were selected and inoculated in 6 ml of culture medium, in vacuette tubes. For some dilution series, two or three wells were

recultivated because a late growth in more diluted wells from the one already recultivated was observed.

Consortia have stored at -80°C whereas DNA from consortia was extracted using DNeasy UltraClean Microbial Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and stored at -20°C. Identification of consortia composition was done by 16S rDNA sequencing using the same protocol as performed for *in situ* identification of the periphyton community (see above). The data were deposited in GenBank under accession number PRJNA910502.

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

Isolation of pure strain and identification through 16S rDNA sequencing

Two methods for isolation of pure strains from consortia were applied: a liquid medium technique and a deep agar dilution procedure. For the isolation attempt in liquid medium, the culture media were unchanged and prepared as described before. Consortia were diluted in microplates and incubated at 30°C for 14 days. The content of three wells, among the highest dilutions where growth was detected, were sub-cultured in a new 96-well microplates in the same conditions. Once the growth was observed, cultures were regrown in higher volume and stored at -80°C with 25% of glycerol. For deep agar dilutions series, the protocol described by Pfennig (1978) was used (Pfennig 1978). Isolated colonies were picked and recultured in liquid medium (as described above).

For isolates identification, wells showing cell growth and isolates from deep agar tubes were resuspended in 100 µL of water. PCR amplification of the 16S rDNA gene was performed using universal primers (63F 1387F CAGGCCTAACACATGCAAGTC3'; 5'-GGGCGGWGTGTACAAGCC-3') (Marchesi et al. 1998), the ampliTaq Gold 360 master mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), 0.5 µM of each primer and 1 µL of cellular suspension. PCR cycling was performed following manufacturer's instructions, with annealing temperature of 58°C. 16S rDNA amplicons were quantified by QUBIT dsDNA high sensibility (HS) Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Oregon, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol before Sanger sequencing (Eurofins, Germany). Quality of sequences were verified using Unipro UGENE Software (Okonechnikov et al. 2012) and a Blast search was performed to identify the closest sequences in NCBI database. The sequences of the pure strains were deposited under numbers from OQ053276 to OQ053279.

Functional identification through *hgcA* cloning

Identification of consortia that carry *hgcA* gene was performed by PCR amplification using *hgcAB* primers ORNL-HgcAB-uni-32R (CAG GCN CCG CAY TCS ATR CA) and ORNL- HgcAB-uni-F (AAY GTC TGG TGY GCN GCV GG) (Gionfriddo et al. 2020), the ampliTaq Gold 360 master mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), 0.5 μM of each primer and 1 ng of extracted DNA. A touchdown PCR protocol was set up, as follow: after 2 min of initial denaturation at 95°C, 5 touchdown cycles of 30 s denaturation at 95°C, 30 s of annealing at 68°C (decreasing 1°C at each cycle), and 30 s of elongation at 72°C. PCR was followed by 30 cycles of 30 s of denaturation at 95°C, 30 s annealing at 63°C, 1 min of elongation at 72°C with 7 min final elongation at 72°C. The higher annealing temperatures reduce nonspecific PCR products and promote specific amplifications at the start of the PCR.

PCR products were purified using IllustraTM GFX PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification kit (GE Healthcare, Chicago, Illinois, US) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified amplicons were quantified by QUBIT dsDNA high sensibility (HS) Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Oregon, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Because the sequencing of these PCR products was unsuccessful when performed on the purified PCR products, a cloning step was necessary to obtain isolated DNA fragments accessible for sequencing. Purified PCR products were ligated into a TOPO vector with TOPOTM TA CloningTM Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), used to transform One ShotTM TOP10 competent E. coli. Transformed cells were grown on LB broth agar plates with 50 µg. mL⁻¹ ampicillin, 40 mg. mL⁻¹ X-gal and 100 mM IPTG. Colony-PCR was performed with M13 primers: M13F (GTA AAA CGA CGG CCA G) and M13R (CAG GAA ACA GCT ATH AC). AmpliTag Gold 360 master mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), 0,2 µM of each primer were used to carry out the PCR following manufacturer's instructions, with annealing temperature of 54°C. PCR products with the expected sizes were sequenced (Eurofins Genomics, Germany). The resulting sequences obtained were translated into amino acid sequences with ExPASy, and aligned with a HgMATE database containing known hgcA sequences (Gionfriddo et al. 2021). Finally, a HgcA sequences-based phylogenetic tree was constructed using MegaX (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) with the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method. The sequences were deposited under numbers from OQ282917 to OQ282952.

Results

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

The Sanguinet lake is defined as oligo-mesotrophic lake (OCDE 51982) based on its main physical and chemical characteristics (Table 1). Sulfate concentration in the lake is low, although the existing concentration is enough for sulfate reduction to occur (Gilmour et al. 1992).

Diversity of sediments and Ludwigia's periphyton of through MiSeq 16s rDNA sequencing

The microbial diversity was determined in sediments and periphyton from winter and late summer sampling campaigns based on 16S rDNA MiSEQ sequencing. Alpha diversity metrics (Table 2) revealed that the richness in the sediment was more important than the richness in the biofilm for both campaigns. The global richness was higher in late summer than in winter both in periphyton and sediment samples, with Chao1 index ranging from 606 to 875 for the biofilms and from 886 to 1182 species for the sediments. The comparison of Hill numbers (Chao et al. 2014) revealed that the distribution (the relative abundance of individuals) was different between campaigns and between periphyton and sediment. Periphyton from the winter campaign had the lowest value for the highest Hill number (2 D: inverse Simpson) with 7.18 \pm 2.25, highlighting a very low evenness. Sediment from late summer campaign exhibited the highest evenness, probably because of the environmental parameters favoring a higher global activity and thus more active populations than in winter.

The Proteobacteria and the Bacteroidetes dominated the sediment and the periphyton microbial communities in both sampling periods. They both represented up to 76% of the relative abundance (Figure 1). The relative abundance of the firmicutes decreased in the summer (less than 0.08%) compared to the winter (up to 20% of the relative abundance). Cyanobacteria represented near 6% of the relative abundance in the samples of summer campaigns and winter periphyton samples, but their relative abundance was much less (0.4%) in the winter sediment samples. The *Desulfobacterota* represented less than 3% of the overall community and only 0.7% in biofilm sample in summer campaign (Figure 1). Among the Desulfobacterota, populations affiliated with the Desulfovibrionaceae, Desulfobacteraceae, the Desulfobulbacaea, the Geobacteraceae and the Syntrophobacteraceae were detected (data not shown). The sulfate reducers affiliated with the Desulfobacterota (i.e: Desulfovibrionaceae, Desulfobacteraceae, and Desulfobulbacaea) represented between 0.014% (summer periphyton sample) and 1.25% (winter periphyton sample). The Desulfovibrionaceae were dominant in the winter campaign representing 100% of the sulfate

reducing community whereas the *Desulfobacteraceae* and the *Desulfobulbaceae* accounted for 60% of the sulfate reducing community in summer.

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

288

289

Consortia cultivation and *hgcA* screening

Previous studies demonstrated that sulfate reducing microorganisms from the *Desulfobacterota* were the main mercury methylators in Sanguinet lake (Gentès et al. 2013). In order to investigate these microorganisms in the *Ludwigia sp.* periphyton and the sediments, consortia were cultivated using different specific substrates to favor the selection of different metabolic groups among the *Desulfobacterota*. A total of 142 consortia (Table 3) were first obtained. PCR using DNA from 81 of these consortia resulted in a positive amplification (out of the 142) using specific primers for *hgcA* gene. To confirm the presence of *hgcA* genes in the consortia, PCR fragments were cloned and sequenced. Only 36 from the 81 consortia were confirmed to contain the *hgcA* gene (Table 3).

HgcA amino acid sequences from consortia were translated and a phylogenetic tree was built using the Hg-MATE database (Gionfriddo et al, 2020) (Figure 2). Among these 36 consortia, three (BSL262, BP321 and BP322) were not considered because they were redundant (same dilution series and same hgcA sequence) to BSL261 and BP323 (see Material and Methods, Consortia cultivation and taxonomic identification). Among the 33 remaining sequences, six different clusters were identified. The sequence of the first one was related to HgcA from Syntrophorhabdus aromaticivorans UI DSM 1771. The sequence of the second group was related to the *Pseudodesulfovibrio hydrargyri* BerOc1. The sequence of the third group was related to HgcA sequence of *Desulforhopalus singaporensis* DSM 12130. The fourth group was a group of four sequences close to the sequence of Desulfobulbus propionicus DSM 2032. The sequence of the fifth group was close to HgcA sequence of Desulfohalovibrio reitneri L21-Syr-AB. Lastly, the sixth group contained the greatest number of sequences, and the closest match was the HgcA amino acid sequences found in Fundidesulfovibrio putealis DSM 16056. However, based on phylogenetic tree clustering, this group of sequences could be divided in three subgroups and only the sequence from the first sub-group (from the consortium named SSL37) was very close to the HgcA sequence of F. putealis. The other two sub-groups were, to some extent, distant to this reference sequence. Among the two other sub-groups, the one containing 14 sequences could further be subdivided into four parts and the one containing 13 sequences could be subdivided into two parts (Figure 2). The sixth group was thus highly

diverse with no known representatives. While each of these different sub-parts seems to contain sequences distantly related to *F. putealis*, the exact species or strains, to which these sequences were related, could not be determined.

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

320

321

322

Microbial composition of *hgcA*-containing consortia

The richness in the consortia varied from two to 44 OTUs. All the consortia contained populations belonging to different groups of the *Desulfobacterota* phylum (Figure 3), expected to carry *hgcA* gene. More importantly, other microorganisms such as representatives of the genera *Dechloromonas*, *Aeromonas*, *Paludibacter* or *Pseudomonas* were frequently detected in the consortia, with relatively high abundances. The composition of consortia cultivated from sediments were different from consortia cultivated from biofilms. Indeed, we found strict anaerobes, such as *Paludibacter*, mainly in the sediments. Conversely, microorganisms with aerobic or facultative anaerobic lifestyles, such as *Stenotrophomonas* or *Acinetobacter*, were commonly found in consortia from biofilms.

Desulfobacterota diversity obtained in this work using consortia cultivation was compared with the previous *Desulfobacterota* diversity obtained by Gentes et al. (Gentès et al. 2017, 2020) using isolation approaches (Table 4). Ten new populations were obtained in this work and were not closely related to the obtained strains in both other studies. Four populations found in the other studies were not obtained in this work. Thanks to the consortia culture, a broader Desulfobacterota diversity was attained. From, the Desulfobacterota sequences retrieved in the *in situ* samples in this study, only four populations could not be retrieved in consortia (Table 4). A phylogenetic tree was built using 16S sequences from Gentes et al (Gentès et al. 2017, 2020) and sequences related to the *Desulfobacterota* obtained in this work (consortia and in situ samples) (Supp data S1). Consortia containing HgcA sequence close to Syntrophorhabdus aromaticivorans UI DSM 1771 contain a 16S sequence close to Syntrophobacter fumaroxydans found in the other studies. Consortia containing HgcA sequences close to Desulfobulbaceae contain 16S sequences close to Desulfobulbus elongatus Consortia containing HgcA sequence or Desulfobulbus propionicus. Pseudodesulfovibrio hydrargyri BerOc1 contain a 16S sequences close to the same strain, thoroughly invariable between both affiliations. In contrast, the consortia containing HgcA sequences close to F. putealis did not all contain the 16S sequences corresponding to F. putealis. Indeed, while the HgcA sequences of BP23, BSA33, SP32, SSP271, BSP341, BP332,

BP33, BC36, BSA17, SP272, BP31, BP323-2-1 and BSA321 consortia showed HgcA of *F. putealis* as the closest relative, the 16S analysis showed the absence of *F. putealis* in the populations present in these consortia. Instead, populations contained in these consortia affiliated with the *Desulfobacterota* phylum were close to *Solidesulfovibrio aerotolerans* DvO5 AY46987, *Solidesulfovibrio burkinensis* HDv AF053752, *Solidesulfovibrio carbinolicus* DSM 3852 or *Humidesulfovibrio articus* B15 whose HgcA sequences are not known.

<u>Isolation</u> and identification of pure strains

Attempts to isolate strains from consortia containing different populations involved in the methylation of Hg were performed. For that, consortia were selected based on the presence of *hgcA* gene and their position on the phylogenetic tree. The focus was on consortia close to members of *Desulfobulbus*, *Dechloromonas* and *Syntrophobacter* genera, because few relatives have been already isolated. Additionally, some consortia that formed an important group at the bottom of the tree and contained other well-represented microbial groups were also selected for isolation. The isolation attempts were done on the following consortia: SP11; SSA241. BSA232; BSL262; BSP32; SSP271; BSA33; BP462; SSL37 and BSA321. Only *F. putealis* was isolated as pure strain from a restricted number of consortia.

Discussion:

The level of nutritional elements (nitrate, phosphate) found in Sanguinet lake allows the development of microorganisms, including primary producers as shown by the chlorophyll content (Table1). The presence of cyanobacteria and microalgae in sediments from summertime illustrate their photosynthetic activity, characteristic of such environments. Like all the lakes from this area, Sanguinet lake was very well studied, because of the presence of invasive aquatic plants. Among the invasive plants, *Ludwigia* species are found in the lake (Zuazo et al. 2019). Interestingly, periphytons colonizing the floating roots of *Ludwigia* plants are known to be a hotspot of mercury methylation (Gentès et al. 2013), at a higher rate in the late summer compared to springtime (Carlier 2016). The difference in the mercury methylation rates between seasons is probably caused by the increase of primary production in summertime, classically observed in periphytons (Lázaro et al. 2019). The methylation in the periphyton is mainly due to sulfate reducers belonging to the *Desulfobacterota* as shown by inhibition

experiments with molybdate (Gentès et al. 2013). Sulfate in aquatic environment allows the presence of SRB, and consequently the production of MeHg, especially in the lakes from the south west of France (Canredon et al. 2019). The sulfate concentration in Sanguinet lake (about $100~\mu M$) corresponds to the concentration where the maximum of methylation activity occurs for sulfate reducers as demonstrated in Gilmour et al. (1992).

In the present study, we investigated microbial diversity in *Ludwigia* periphyton and sediments. Differences in the diversity and evenness observed between the sediment and biofilm can be explained by the fact that the biofilm is colonized by microbial populations originated from the sediments but also from the water column. The cyanobacteria colonizing the periphyton provide primary production within the biofilms, which also benefit from exudate of the plants as source of organic carbon (Srivastava et al. 2016), generating thus a specific microecosystem. *Proteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes*, the most abundant phyla, includes heterotrophs able to use a wide range of carbonaceous substrates. The presence of anaerobic bacteria is explained by the cohabitation of different microbial communities and the formation of anoxic micro-niches via the consumption of oxygen by aerobes and facultative anaerobes (Ito et al. 2002). Previous studies showed the presence of the same dominant phyla i.e., *Proteobacteria*, *Cyanobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* in the periphyton from the same lake (Gentès et al. 2017, 2020) as well as from periphyton found in lake Titicaca (Bouchet et al. 2018).

Previous studies, aiming to determine the main mercury methylators in periphyton, showed that sulfate reducing bacteria and methanogens are the main players in Hg transformations in periphytons (Hamelin et al. 2011; Gentès et al. 2013; Bouchet et al. 2018). Microbial community composition is thus an important factor controlling Hg transformations. In our study, no methanogenic Archaea known for their Hg methylating activity could be found in the periphyton, in agreement with the key role of sulfate reducers in Hg(II) methylation already observed in this biofilm (Gentès et al. 2017). However, the anaerobic sulfate reducing bacteria belonging to the *Desulfobacterota* in the microbial community of the periphyton are minor populations. Their presence is explained by the sulfate found in this lake, which they use as electron acceptor in sulfate respiration (Gilmour et al. 1992). Thus, the Hg transformations in periphyton is mediated by SRB, but these transformations are also driven by others parameters such as the microbial community present in the periphyton (primary production and global activity), the environmental parameters (temperature, light, ...) or nychthemeral parameters (Frohne et al. 2012).

In order to broaden the cultivated SRB involved in HgII methylation in the periphyton, a consortia culturing approach coupled to the detection of hgcA gene was applied. The phylogenetic tree built based on HgcA amino acid sequences found in the consortia showed a dispersion of HgcA sequences that does not strictly follow the 16S rDNA-based taxonomy (Figure 2). Based on this phylogenetic tree, six main clusters of HgcA sequences could be obtained. The cluster containing a sequence close to Syntrophorhabdus aromaticivorans UI DSM 1771 from Syntrophorhabdaceae family showed the importance of consortia cultivation approach, since representatives of this syntrophic species do not grow in pure culture (Qiu et al. 2008). Although the HgcA sequence is known, the ability to methylate mercury of Desulforhopalus singoporensis was not investigated until now. The sequences found close to Pseudodesulfovibrio are in agreement with this well studied genus known to be involved in HgII methylation (Gilmour et al. 2011; Goñi-Urriza et al. 2015; Barrouilhet et al. 2022) but are in disagreement with the halophilic character of these organisms. Another sequence was also found closely related to the halophilic Desulfohalovibrio reitneri organism. Some Desulfobulbaceae from the genus Desulfobulbus, known to be involved in HgII methylation (Benoit et al. 2001), were cultivated in this work. However, some of the HgcA amino acid sequences obtained in this work that belong to the Desulfobulbaceae family, belong to populations never been cultivated before, highlighting again the advantage of consortia cultures.

Up to 26 from 33 HgcA sequences were retrieved form a quite diverse cluster that could be separate in two subclusters. One was close to HgcA sequence from *F. putealis* while the second subgroup appeared more distant to any known sequences. *F. putealis* is a sulfate reducing bacterium from *Desulfovibrionaceae* family constantly found in biofilm samples from this lake (Gentès et al. 2017, 2020). This species contain *hgcA* gene but the implication of the strains affiliated with this species in HgII methylation was never studied. Regarding HgcA sequences from the sub-group distantly related to *F. putealis*, the data were not sufficient to assert that the amino acid sequences of this sub-group were linked to *F. putealis*. Our results pointed out that the catalogue of HgcA amino acid sequences did not match all the HgcA sequences found in the obtained consortia. For example, it seemed that some HgcA sequences close to *F. putealis* come from *Humidesulfovibrio articus* or *Solidesulfovibrio aerotolerans*. However, these species are not identified as mercury methylators and their genomes has not been sequenced. Further genomic analyses is necessary to investigate the strains from the consortia containing these categories of HgcA amino acid sequences.

The results obtained in this work showed that a wide variety of microorganisms involved in HgII methylation could be obtained using consortia cultivation compared to pure culture isolation (Gentès et al. 2017, 2020). Here, we identified microorganisms from *Desulfovibrionaceae* but also from *Desulfobulbaceae* and syntrophic organisms, difficult to obtain in pure culture. The growth of these microorganisms was possible due to the presence of other microorganisms from different groups, whose metabolisms and growing factors are probably the key parameters to the production of substrates allowing the cooperation (Bryder 1981) and the maintenance of the populations of interest. This also explains why some *Desulfobacterota* found in consortia are not those expected when using specific substrates (Fig. 3) for consortia selection. In the attempt to isolate new microorganisms from selected consortia, only *F. putealis* affiliated strains were isolated so far, confirming that the culture in consortia protect and maintain microorganisms for which the pure strain approach is extremely difficult, if not impossible, to reach.

Consortia composition analysis also revealed the presence of some aerobes or facultative anaerobic microorganisms participating to the biogeochemical cycles in these environments. These microorganisms are more abundant in the biofilm, which is an oxic environment. The analysis of global diversity supports the presence of these aerobic microorganisms promoted by the presence of cyanobacteria capable of producing oxygen and organic matter suitable for heterotrophic microorganisms. These microorganisms consume the oxygen coming from the in situ production or diffusion of oxygen from the water, favoring the appearance of anaerobic micro-niches. The facultative anaerobic microorganisms present in the consortia such as representatives of the genera Aeromonas, Pseudomonas or Dechloromonas may also switch to a fermentative or denitrifying metabolism (Silby et al. 2011; Taubert et al. 2022) favoring the production of electron donors such as acetate, ethanol, lactate or even the production of hydrogen used by other microorganisms including members of the Desulfobacterota. As shown by Matheron and Baulaigue (1976) cooperation can take place between different microorganisms through the production of substrates by fermentation that can be used by SRBs. The sulfide produced by the SRBs can be re-oxidized by the anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria. Our in situ analysis showed the presence of purple non-sulfur phototrophic bacteria known to have a versatile metabolism and able to oxidize the sulfide produced by SRBs. These anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria are also able to reduce HgII (Grégoire and Poulain 2016) affecting thus mercury methylation and transformations. Our consortia were not cultivated for the purpose to isolate anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria (absence of light) but demonstrated once again the advantages of the consortia cultivation in terms of increasing the diversity and enhancing the cooperation/interaction between microorganisms.

Recent studies using metagenomic approaches in several environments (Gionfriddo et al. 2016; Capo et al. 2020; McDaniel et al. 2020; Vigneron et al. 2021) discovered several Metagenomic Assembled Genomes (MAGs) carrying *hgcA* genes (with no cultivated relatives) that are not considered to date as implicated in Hg methylation. Those studies are important since they increase the diversity of putative Hg methylators but remain quite descriptive. It will be interesting to determine if representative of these MAGs are capable to methylate Hg. In this regard, a cultivable approach is necessary, and consortium culture approach could be a way to overcome culture limitations.

Author contributions

- MG was in charge of the funding, RG collected the samples, DS and CG generated the dataset, DS and
- 494 GC analyzed the data, DS wrote the manuscript with contributions from MSG, RG and BKH.

495 Acknowledgements

- 496 This work was supported by the Excellence Initiative of Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour I-
- 497 Site E2S UPPA [Hub MeSMic], a French "Investissements d'Avenir" program.

Competing Interests

499 Authors declare no competing interest

References Achà et al. (2005) Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria in Floating Macrophyte Rhizospheres from an Amazonia Floodplain Lake in Bolivia and Their Association with Hg Methylation
04 O5 Achà et al. (2005) Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria in Floating Macrophyte Rhizospheres from an Amazonia
Achà et al. (2005) Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria in Floating Macrophyte Rhizospheres from an Amazonia
https://journals.asm.org/doi/epub/10.1128/AEM.71.11.7531-7535.2005. Accessed 1 Oc 2022
Alanoca L, Amouroux D, Monperrus M, et al (2016) Diurnal variability and biogeochemical reactivity of mercury species in an extreme high-altitude lake ecosystem of the Bolivian Altiplano. Envirol Sci Pollut Res 23:6919–6933. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5917-1
Azaroff A, Goñi Urriza M, Gassie C, et al (2020) Marine mercury-methylating microbial communitie from coastal to Capbreton Canyon sediments (North Atlantic Ocean). Environ Pollu 262:114333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114333
Bae et al (2014) Syntrophs Dominate Sequences Associated with the Mercury Methylation-Related Gene hgcA in the Water Conservation Areas of the Florida Everglades Applied and Environmental Microbiology. https://journals.asm.org/doi/full/10.1128/AEM.01666-14 Accessed 14 Jan 2023
Barrouilhet S, Monperrus M, Tessier E, et al (2022) Effect of exogenous and endogenous sulfide on the production and the export of methylmercury by sulfate-reducing bacteria. Environ Sci Pollu Res Int. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-22173-y
Bastos WR, Gomes JPO, Oliveira RC, et al (2006) Mercury in the environment and riverside population in the Madeira River Basin, Amazon, Brazil. Sci Total Environ 368:344–351 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.09.048
Benoit JM, Gilmour CC, Mason RP (2001) The Influence of Sulfide on Solid-Phase Mercur Bioavailability for Methylation by Pure Cultures of Desulfobulbus propionicus (1pr3). Enviror Sci Technol 35:127–132. https://doi.org/10.1021/es001415n
Bokulich NA, Subramanian S, Faith JJ, et al (2013) Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimate from Illumina amplicon sequencing. Nat Methods 10:57–59 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2276
Bouchet S, Goñi-Urriza M, Monperrus M, et al (2018) Linking Microbial Activities and Low-Molecular Weight Thiols to Hg Methylation in Biofilms and Periphyton from High-Altitude Tropical Lake in the Bolivian Altiplano. Environ Sci Technol 52:9758–9767 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b01885
Bryder (1981) Interrelationships between sulphate-reducing bacteria and other bacterial groups in a anoxic estuarine sediment - ProQuest https://www.proquest.com/openview/e35a63549d33aed63ba32e56453c8473/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=51922&diss=y. Accessed 2 Feb 2023

Canredon A, Anschutz P, Buquet D, et al (2019) Lake sediment mercury biogeochemistry controlled by

basin.

Geochem

104:135-145.

Appl

drainage

input

from

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2019.03.023

539

540

542 543 544	Capo E, Bravo AG, Soerensen AL, et al (2020) Deltaproteobacteria and Spirochaetes-Like Bacteria Are Abundant Putative Mercury Methylators in Oxygen-Deficient Water and Marine Particles in the Baltic Sea. Front Microbiol 11:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.574080
545 546 547	Carlier E (2016) Methylmercury Production and Associated Microbial Diversity Within an Oligotrophic Temperate Freshwater Lake (Cazaux-Sanguinet Lake, South-Western France). Proc 18th Int Conf Heavy Met Environ. https://doi.org/10.21825/ichmet.71222
548 549 550	Chao A, Gotelli N, Hsieh TC, et al (2014) Rarefaction and extrapolation with Hill numbers: A framework for sampling and estimation in species diversity studies. Ecol Monogr 84:45–67. https://doi.org/10.1890/13-0133.1
551 552 553 554	Cleckner et al. (1999) Mercury methylation in periphyton of the Florida Everglades - Cleckner - 1999 - Limnology and Oceanography - Wiley Online Library. https://aslopubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.4319/lo.1999.44.7.1815. Accessed 1 Oct 2022
555 556 557	Coelho-Souza SA, Guimarães JRD, Miranda MR, et al (2011) Mercury and flooding cycles in the Tapajós river basin, Brazilian Amazon: The role of periphyton of a floating macrophyte (Paspalum repens). Sci Total Environ 409:2746–2753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.03.028
558 559 560	Colin Y, Goñi-Urriza M, Gassie C, et al (2017) Distribution of Sulfate-Reducing Communities from Estuarine to Marine Bay Waters. Microb Ecol 73:39–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-0842-5
561 562 563	Compeau G, Bartha R (1984) Methylation and demethylation of mercury under controlled redox, pH and salinity conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol 48:1203–1207. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.48.6.1203-1207.1984
564 565 566	Compeau GC, Bartha R (1985) Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria: Principal Methylators of Mercury in Anoxic Estuarine Sediment. Appl Environ Microbiol 50:498–502. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.50.2.498-502.1985
567 568	Costerton JW, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell DE, et al (1995) Microbial Biofilms. Annu Rev Microbiol 49:711–745. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.mi.49.100195.003431
569 570 571	Cremona F, Hamelin S, Planas D, Lucotte M (2009) Sources of organic matter and methylmercury in littoral macroinvertebrates: a stable isotope approach. Biogeochemistry 94:81–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-009-9309-9
572 573	Davey ME, O'toole GA (2000) Microbial Biofilms: from Ecology to Molecular Genetics. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 64:847–867. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.64.4.847-867.2000
574 575	Desrosiers M, Planas D, Mucci A (2006) Mercury Methylation in the Epilithon of Boreal Shield Aquatic Ecosystems. Environ Sci Technol 40:1540–1546. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0508828
576 577	Díez S (2009) Human Health Effects of Methylmercury Exposure. In: Whitacre DM (ed) Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. Springer, New York, NY, pp 111–132
578 579 580 581	dos Santos TR, Ferragut C, de Mattos Bicudo CE (2013) Does macrophyte architecture influence periphyton? Relationships among Utricularia foliosa, periphyton assemblage structure and its nutrient (C, N, P) status. Hydrobiologia 714:71–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-013-1531-8

582 583 584	Escudié et al. (2018) FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution Bioinformatics Oxford Academic. https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/34/8/1287/4708232. Accessed 27 Jan 2023
585 586	Felsenstein J (1985) CONFIDENCE LIMITS ON PHYLOGENIES: AN APPROACH USING THE BOOTSTRAP. Evol Int J Org Evol 39:783–791. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x
587 588 589	Fleming EJ, Mack EE, Green PG, Nelson DC (2006) Mercury Methylation from Unexpected Sources: Molybdate-Inhibited Freshwater Sediments and an Iron-Reducing Bacterium. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:457–464. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.457-464.2006
590 591 592	Frohne T, Rinklebe J, Langer U, et al (2012) Biogeochemical factors affecting mercury methylation rate in two contaminated floodplain soils. Biogeosciences 9:493–507. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-493-2012
593 594	Gallorini A, Loizeau J-L (2021) Mercury methylation in oxic aquatic macro-environments: a review. J Limnol. https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2021.2007
595 596 597	Gentès S, Monperrus M, Legeay A, et al (2013) Incidence of invasive macrophytes on methylmercury budget in temperate lakes: Central role of bacterial periphytic communities. Environ Pollut 172:116–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.08.004
598 599 600	Gentès S, Monperrus M, Legeay A, et al (2020) Influence of Macrophyte and Gut Microbiota on Mercury Contamination in Fish: A Microcosms Study. Appl Sci 10:1500. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10041500
601 602 603	Gentès S, Taupiac J, Colin Y, et al (2017) Bacterial periphytic communities related to mercury methylation within aquatic plant roots from a temperate freshwater lake (South-Western France). Environ Sci Pollut Res 24:19223–19233. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9597-x
604 605 606	Gilmour CC, Elias DA, Kucken AM, et al (2011) Sulfate-reducing bacterium Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ND132 as a model for understanding bacterial mercury methylation. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:3938–3951. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02993-10
607 608 609	Gilmour CC, Henry EA, Mitchell R (1992) Sulfate stimulation of mercury methylation in freshwater sediments. In: ACS Publ. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/es00035a029. Accessed 1 Oct 2022
610 611	Gilmour CC, Podar M, Bullock AL, et al (2013) Mercury Methylation by Novel Microorganisms from New Environments. Environ Sci Technol 47:11810–11820. https://doi.org/10.1021/es403075t
612 613 614	Gionfriddo CM, Wymore AM, Jones DS, et al (2020) An Improved hgcAB Primer Set and Direct High- Throughput Sequencing Expand Hg-Methylator Diversity in Nature. Front Microbiol 11:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.541554
615	Gionfriddo et al. (2021) Hg-MATE-Db.v1.01142021
616 617	Gionfriddo et al. (2016) Microbial mercury methylation in Antarctic sea ice Nature Microbiology. https://www.nature.com/articles/nmicrobiol2016127. Accessed 10 Feb 2023
618 619	Goñi-Urriza M, Corsellis Y, Lanceleur L, et al (2015) Relationships between bacterial energetic metabolism, mercury methylation potential, and hgcA/hgcB gene expression in Desulfovibrio

620 621	dechloroacetivorans BerOc1. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:13764–13771. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4273-5
622 623	Grégoire DS, Poulain AJ (2016) A physiological role for HgII during phototrophic growth. Nat Geosci 9:121–125. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2629
624	Guimarães JRD, Meili M, Hylander LD, et al (2000) Mercury net methylation in five tropical flood plain
625	regions of Brazil: high in the root zone of floating macrophyte mats but low in surface
626	sediments and flooded soils. Sci Total Environ 261:99–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-
627	9697(00)00628-8
628	Guimarães JRD, Meili M, Malm O, Maria de Souza Brito E (1998) Hg methylation in sediments and
629	floating meadows of a tropical lake in the Pantanal floodplain, Brazil. Sci Total Environ
630	213:165–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(98)00089-8
631	Hamelin S, Amyot M, Barkay T, et al (2011) Methanogens: Principal Methylators of Mercury in Lake
632	Periphyton. Environ Sci Technol 45:7693–7700. https://doi.org/10.1021/es2010072
633	Ito T, Okabe S, Satoh H, Watanabe Y (2002) Successional development of sulfate-reducing bacterial
634	populations and their activities in a wastewater biofilm growing under microaerophilic
635	conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:1392–1402. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.3.1392-
636	1402.2002
030	1402.2002
637	Jones DS, Walker GM, Johnson NW, et al (2019) Molecular evidence for novel mercury methylating
638	microorganisms in sulfate-impacted lakes. ISME J 13:1659–1675.
639	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0376-1
640	Kerin EJ, Gilmour CC, Roden E, et al (2006) Mercury methylation by dissimilatory iron-reducing
641	bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:7919–7921. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01602-06
642	Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, et al (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across
643	Computing Platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547–1549. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096
043	Computing Flationns. Wor bior Evor 33.1347 1343. https://doi.org/10.1033/moibev/msy030
644	Lázaro WL, Díez S, Bravo AG, et al (2019) Cyanobacteria as regulators of methylmercury production in
645	periphyton. Sci Total Environ 668:723–729. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.233
646	Lebel J, Roulet M, Mergler D, et al (1997) Fish Diet and Mercury Exposure in a Riparian Amazonian
647	Population. Water Air Soil Pollut 97:31–44. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018378207932
648	Liu G, Cai Y, Mao Y, et al (2009) Spatial Variability in Mercury Cycling and Relevant Biogeochemical
649	Controls in the Florida Everglades. Environ Sci Technol 43:4361–4366.
650	https://doi.org/10.1021/es803665c
C=4	Mah (5 Day and T. O. Sang Carlot (2014) Consequents and find all all all all and another discount in a least
651	Mahé F, Rognes T, Quince C, et al (2014) Swarm: robust and fast clustering method for amplicon-based
652	studies. PeerJ 2:e593. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.593
653	Marchesi JR, Sato T, Weightman AJ, et al (1998) Design and Evaluation of Useful Bacterium-Specific
654	PCR Primers That Amplify Genes Coding for Bacterial 16S rRNA. Appl Environ Microbiol
655	64:795–799. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.64.2.795-799.1998
CE C	
656	Matheron R, Baulaigue R (1976) Bactéries fermentatives, sulfato-réductrices et phototrophes
657	sulfureuses en cultures mixtes. Arch Microbiol 109:319–320.
658	https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00446645

659 660 661	McDaniel EA, Peterson BD, Stevens SLR, et al (2020) Expanded Phylogenetic Diversity and Metabolic Flexibility of Mercury-Methylating Microorganisms. mSystems 5:e00299-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00299-20
662 663 664	Molina CI, Gibon F-M, Duprey J-L, et al (2010) Transfer of mercury and methylmercury along macroinvertebrate food chains in a floodplain lake of the Beni River, Bolivian Amazonia. Sci Total Environ 408:3382–3391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.04.019
665 666 667	Moreira et al. (2016) Rapport d'activité du suivi scientifique des plans d'eau de Carcans-Hourtin, Lacanau, Cazaux-Sanguinet et Parentis-Biscarrosse : Année 2015 - Archive ouverte HAL. https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02606125. Accessed 2 Feb 2023
668 669	Morel FMM, Kraepiel AML, Amyot M (1998) The Chemical Cycle and Bioaccumulation of Mercury. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 29:543–566
670 671 672	Okonechnikov K, Golosova O, Fursov M, the UGENE team (2012) Unipro UGENE: a unified bioinformatics toolkit. Bioinformatics 28:1166–1167. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts091
673 674 675	Overmann J, Fischer U, Pfennig N (1992) A new purple sulfur bacterium from saline littoral sediments, Thiorhodovibrio winogradskyi gen. nov. and sp. nov. Arch Microbiol 157:329–335. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00248677
676 677	Parks et al. (2013) The Genetic Basis for Bacterial Mercury Methylation Science. https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.1230667. Accessed 18 Oct 2022
678 679 680 681	Pfennig (1978) Rhodocyclus purpureus gen. nov. and sp. nov., a Ring-Shaped, Vitamin B12-Requiring Member of the Family Rhodospirillaceae Microbiology Society. https://www.microbiologyresearch.org/content/journal/ijsem/10.1099/00207713-28-2-283?crawler=true. Accessed 10 Feb 2023
682 683 684	Pfennig N, Trüper HG (1992) The Family Chromatiaceae. In: Balows A, Trüper HG, Dworkin M, et al. (eds) The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria: Ecophysiology, Isolation, Identification, Applications. Springer, New York, NY, pp 3200–3221
685 686 687 688	Qiu Y-L, Hanada S, Ohashi A, et al (2008) Syntrophorhabdus aromaticivorans gen. nov., sp. nov., the First Cultured Anaerobe Capable of Degrading Phenol to Acetate in Obligate Syntrophic Associations with a Hydrogenotrophic Methanogen. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:2051. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02378-07
689 690 691	Quast et al. (2013) The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools - PubMed. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23193283/. Accessed 2 Feb 2023
692 693 694	Risher JF, Murray HE, Prince GR (2002) Organic mercury compounds: human exposure and its relevance to public health. Toxicol Ind Health 18:109–160. https://doi.org/10.1191/0748233702th138oa
695 696	Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4:406–425. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454

697 698 699	Si Y, Zou Y, Liu X, et al (2015) Mercury methylation coupled to iron reduction by dissimilatory iron-reducing bacteria. Chemosphere 122:206–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.11.054
700 701	Silby MW, Winstanley C, Godfrey SAC, et al (2011) Pseudomonas genomes: diverse and adaptable. FEMS Microbiol Rev 35:652–680. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00269.x
702 703 704	Srivastava JK, Chandra H, Kalra SJS, et al (2016) Plant—microbe interaction in aquatic system and their role in the management of water quality: a review. Appl Water Sci 3:1079–1090. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-016-0415-2
705 706	Streets DG, Devane MK, Lu Z, et al (2011) All-Time Releases of Mercury to the Atmosphere from Human Activities. Environ Sci Technol 45:10485–10491. https://doi.org/10.1021/es202765m
707 708 709	Tamura K, Nei M, Kumar S (2004) Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor- joining method. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:11030–11035. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404206101
710 711 712	Taubert M, Overholt WA, Heinze BM, et al (2022) Bolstering fitness via CO2 fixation and organic carbon uptake: mixotrophs in modern groundwater. ISME J 16:1153–1162. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01163-x
713 714 715	Vigneron A, Cruaud P, Aubé J, et al (2021) Transcriptomic evidence for versatile metabolic activities of mercury cycling microorganisms in brackish microbial mats. Npj Biofilms Microbiomes 7:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-021-00255-y
716 717	Wang K, Liu G, Cai Y (2022) Possible pathways for mercury methylation in oxic marine waters. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 52:3997–4015. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2021.2008753
718 719 720	Widdel F, Bak F (1992) Gram-Negative Mesophilic Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria. In: Balows A, Trüper HG, Dworkin M, et al. (eds) The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria: Ecophysiology, Isolation, Identification, Applications. Springer, New York, NY, pp 3352–3378
721 722	Wood (1968) Synthesis of Methyl-mercury Compounds by Extracts of a Methanogenic Bacterium Nature. https://www.nature.com/articles/220173a0. Accessed 1 Oct 2022
723 724 725	Zuazo A, Fournier L, Dutartre A, Bertrin V (2019) Les macrophytes indigènes et exotiques : mieux connaître pour mieux gérer. Dyn Environnementales J Int Géosciences L'environnement 50–73. https://doi.org/10.4000/dynenviron.3613
726 727 728	

729 Table 1: Main characteristics of Cazaux Sanguinet lake.

730

731

732

Watershed surface (km²)b,c	200	
Area (km²) ^{a,b,c}	58	
Max depth (m) ^{b,c}	23	
Average depth (m) ^b	9	
Volume (million of m³) ^{b,c}	500	
Average water residence time (day) ^b	1587	
T°C ^{a,b}	6 – 25	
pH ^{a,b}	7.5 – 8.0	
Ammonium (mg.L ⁻¹) ^c	0 – 0.58	
Nitrate (mg.L ⁻¹) ^c	0 - 0.44	
Phosphate (mg.L ⁻¹) ^a	0.023	
Sulfate (mg.L ⁻¹) ^{b,c}	7.7 11.5	
Chlorophyll a (μg.L ⁻¹) ^c	1.5 – 4.9	

a : Gentès, et al. (2013), b : Canredon, et al. (2019), c : Moreira, et al. (2016).

Table 2 : Alpha diversity metrics from periphytic biofilm and sediment

	February 2020		September 2020	
	Periphyton	Sediment	Periphyton	Sediment
Chao1	607 ± 43	886 ± 75	875 ± 1	1183 ± 12
Observed species richness	416 ± 49	735 ± 71	738 ± 26	1049 ± 23
¹ D(a)	28 ± 9	102 ± 42	134 ± 32	284 ± 23
² D ^(b)	7 ± 2	25 ± 11	40 ± 12	60 ± 11

^{735 (}a), ¹D is the exponential of Shannon entropy index ; (b), ²D is the Inverse of Simpson index

Table 3: Number of consortia obtained from February and September campaigns from periphyton and sediments, and number of positive amplicons for *hgcA* gene and confirmed by amplicon sequencing

	Winter			Summer		
Substrate	Consortia	Positive	Confirmed	Consortia	Positive	Confirmed
	obtained	amplicons	by	obtained	amplicons	by
		(hgcA)	sequencing ^(a)		(hgcA)	sequencing
Sulfate + Lactate	28	18	7 (2)	12	8	2
Sulfate + Propionate	17	6	3 (2)	17	12	2
Sulfate + Acetate	4	3	2 (1)	14	7	7
Propionate	23	16	10 (4)	15	7	2
Crotonate	5	1	1	7	3	0
Total	77	44	23 (9)	65	37	13

a: Numbers in brackets indicate consortia from sediment

Table 4 : *Desulfobacterota* (closest relative) obtained in the consortia and the *in situ* analyses compared to those obtained by Gentes et al., 2017, 2020

	Populations		Strains	
Closest relative taxonomic name	This study This study		Gentes et	Gentes et al
(strain number)	(In situ	(Consortia	al 2017	2020
	samples)	culture)		
Fundidesulfovibrio putealis	Х	X	X	
(DSM16056)				
Desulfovibrio butyraliphilus		X		
(BSY)				
Solidesulfovibrio alcoholivorans		X		
(DSM5433)				
Solidesulfovibrio burkinensis	Х	X	X	Χ
(HDv)				
Solidesulfovibrio carbinolicus	Х			
(DSM3852)				
Solidesulfovibrio aerotolerans	Χ	X		
(DvO5)				
Desulfovibrio carbinoliphilus	Χ		Χ	Χ
(FW-101-2B)				
Humidesulfovibrio mexicanus	Х	X	X	
(DSM13116)				
Desulfovibrio vulgaris				Χ
(Hildenborough M34399)				
Desulfovibrio desulfuricans			X	Χ
(ATCC 29577)				
Desulfocapsa thiozymogenes		Χ		
(Bra2)				
Desulfopila aestuarii		Χ		
(MSL86)				
Oryzomonas japonica	Х	Χ		
(Red 96)				
Syntrophobacter fumaroxidans	Х	X		
(MPOB)				
Desulfomonile tiedjei				Х
(DSM6799)				
Desulfobulbus elongatus	Χ	X		
(FP)				
Desulfobulbus propionicus	Χ	X		X
(DSM 2032)				
Humidesulfovibrio articus	Χ	X		
(B15)				
Unidentified SRB		Χ		X
Unidentifed SRB		X		
Desulfatirhabdium sp.	Χ			
(SVa 0081)				
Desulfocastanea catecholica	X			
(NZva20)				

Figure Captions

750

749

- Figure 1: Relative abundance of phyla detected in the periphyton of aquatic roots of *Ludwigia*
- sp. and sediments of Sanguinet lake in (A) February 2020 and in (B) September 2020. The data
- show the mean of three replicates.

754

- 755 **Figure 2**: Phylogenetic trees based on HgcA sequences retrieved in consortia. Blue: sequences
- 756 from consortia obtained from biofilms in winter. Red: sequences from consortia obtained from
- biofilm in summer. Green: sequences from consortia obtained from winter sediments.
- 758 Blue: sequences from consortia obtained from biofilms in winter. Red: sequences from consortia
- obtained from biofilm in summer. Green: sequences from consortia obtained from winter sediments.
- 760 The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. The optimal tree is shown.
- 761 The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test
- 762 (500 replicates) are shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the
- same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary
- distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the
- number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 171 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions
- included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair
- 767 (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1038 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary
- analyses were conducted in MEGA X (Felsenstein 1985; Saitou and Nei 1987; Tamura et al. 2004;
- 769 Kumar et al. 2018).

770

- Figure 3: Heat map of the microbial composition of consortia. B: Biofilm, S: Sediment. C:
- 772 Crotonate, P, Propionate, SA: sulphate and acetate, SL: sulphate and lactate, SP: sulphate and
- propionate. C1: winter samples, C2: summer samples. Consortia in red are consortia used for
- isolation attempts and stars represents the consortia whose isolation has given results (pure
- 775 strains).
- Supplementary data: Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rDNA from this study and study from
- Gentes 2017 and 2020 (Clones and strains).
- 778 The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. The optimal tree is shown.
- The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test
- 780 (500 replicates) are shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the
- same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary
- distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the
- number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 171 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions
- included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair
- 785 (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1038 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary
- analyses were conducted in MEGA X (Felsenstein 1985; Saitou and Nei 1987; Tamura et al. 2004;
- 787 Kumar et al. 2018).

Figure 1





























