

Consortia cultivation of the Desulfobacterota from macrophyte periphyton: tool for increasing the cultivation of microorganisms involved in mercury methylation

Diva Scuvée, Marisol Goñi, Claire Gassie, B.K. Hassani, Remy Guyoneaud

▶ To cite this version:

Diva Scuvée, Marisol Goñi, Claire Gassie, B.K. Hassani, Remy Guyoneaud. Consortia cultivation of the Desulfobacterota from macrophyte periphyton: tool for increasing the cultivation of microorganisms involved in mercury methylation. Microbiological Research, 2023, 273, pp.127415. 10.1016/j.micres.2023.127415. hal-04132256

HAL Id: hal-04132256 https://univ-pau.hal.science/hal-04132256

Submitted on 19 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Microbiological Research
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11 12	Consortia cultivation of the <i>Desulfobacterota</i> from macrophyte periphyton: tool for increasing the cultivation of microorganisms involved in mercury methylation
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	Diva SCUVEE, Marisol GOÑI-URRIZA, Claire GASSIE, Bahia KHALFAOUI-HASSANI, Remy GUYONEAUD *
22	
23	
24 25	Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, IPREM UMR5254, Environmental Microbiology and Chemistry, 64000 Pau (France)
26	
27	*Corresponding author: remy.guyoneaud@univ-pau.fr
28	
29	
30	
31	

32 Abstract (entre 150 et 250 mots)

Invasive macrophytes are a persistent environmental problem in aquatic ecosystems. They also cause potential health issues, since periphyton colonizing their aquatic roots are hot spot of mercury methylation. Because periphytons are at the base of the trophic chain, the produced methylmercury is bioamplified through the food webs. In this work, a consortia cultivation approach was applied in order to investigate methylators in the periphyton of Ludwigia sp., an invasive macrophyte. Five growth conditions were used in order to favor the growth of different sulfate reducers, the major mercury methylators in this periphyton. A total of 33 consortia containing putative Hg methylators were obtained. Based on the amino acid sequences of HgcA (essential enzyme for Hg methylation), the obtained consortia could be subdivided into five main clusters, affiliated with Desulfovibrionaceae, Desulfobulbaceae and Syntrophobacteraceae. The main cluster, related to Desulfovibrionaceae, showed the highest sequence diversity; notwithstanding most of the sequences of this cluster showed no close representatives. Through the consortia approach, species thus far uncultivated were cultivated. The successful cultivation of these species was probably possible through the metabolites produced by other members of the consortium. The analysis of the microbial composition of the consortia uncover certain microbial interactions that may exist within this complex environment. **Keywords**: Periphyton; Consortia cultivation; Microbial diversity; *Desulfobacterota*; hgcA gene

64 Introduction

Mercury (Hg) can be found under different speciation forms in the environment (Streets 65 et al. 2011). The methylmercury (MeHg) is one form of Hg (Bastos et al. 2006) known for its 66 bio-accumulation and bio-amplification through the trophic chain (Lebel et al. 1997; Morel et 67 68 al. 1998). The consumption of MeHg-contaminated fishes (Bastos et al. 2006) is threatening to human, since the consumption of high concentrations of MeHg causes several neurological 69 diseases (Risher et al. 2002; Díez 2009). Hg methylation is mainly mediated by anaerobic 70 microorganisms. In aquatic environments, the prevailing Hg methylators are the sulfate-71 72 reducing bacteria (SRB) affiliated with the Desulfobacterota (Compeau and Bartha 1984, 1985; Gilmour et al. 1992). Other Desulfobacterota participate to the Hg methylation process, such 73 74 as iron-reducing bacteria (Fleming et al. 2006; Kerin et al. 2006; Si et al. 2015) and syntrophs (Gilmour et al. 2013; Bae et al 2014), as well as other prokaryotes, such as methanogenic 75 76 Archaea (Wood 1968; Hamelin et al. 2011). Since the discovery of the genetic determinisms for Hg methylation (hgcAB genes) (Parks et al. 2013), other microorganisms were identified 77 through metagenomic data analysis as potential Hg methylators (Gilmour et al. 2013; Jones et 78 al. 2019; Capo et al. 2020; Gionfriddo et al. 2020; Azaroff et al. 2020; Vigneron et al. 2021). 79

Although MeHg production is performed by anaerobic microorganisms, Hg methylation 80 in oxic environments was observed (Achà et al. 2005; Gentès et al. 2013, 2017; Bouchet et al. 81 2018; Gallorini and Loizeau 2021; Wang et al. 2022), including in periphyton of aquatic 82 macrophytes. Periphytons are communities of microorganisms (microalgae, fungi, 83 macroinvertebrate and prokaryotes) attached to dead or living substrates in the aquatic 84 environments (Costerton et al. 1995). These biofilms are embedded in a matrix of secreted 85 extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) (Costerton et al. 1995) that allow the adaptation of 86 the microbial community to environmental changes (Davey and O'toole 2000). The aquatic 87 plant periphyton is a biofilm that grows in oxic environments (i.e., the water) on living surfaces 88 (i.e., the plants). The periphyton contains anaerobic microorganisms due to the anoxic micro-89 niches existing within this biofilm that are the consequence of an oxygen gradient (Achà et al. 90 2005; Desrosiers et al. 2006) or transient anoxic conditions (Costerton et al. 1995). There is a 91 correlation between the plant architecture and the development of the microbial community 92 within the periphyton. Indeed, microbial communities are dependent on the plant architecture; 93 the more complex the plant structure is, the more complex the microbial community will 94 become (dos Santos et al. 2013). 95

The periphyton growing on the roots of aquatic macrophytes is known to be a Hg 96 methylation hot spot (Guimarães et al. 1998, 2000; Cleckner et al. 1999; Liu et al. 2009; 97 Alanoca et al. 2016; Gentès et al. 2017; Bouchet et al. 2018). Since it is at the base of the food 98 webs, the periphyton is an important way of introducing MeHg in the trophic chain (Cremona 99 et al. 2009; Molina et al. 2010). First studies on Hg methylation in periphyton were mostly 100 conducted through chemical analysis (Coelho-Souza et al. 2011) by using environmental tracers 101 to detect the activity of Hg methylation. Few recent data are available about microbial diversity 102 (based on 16S rDNA diversity) and activity of aquatic plant's periphyton. These data suggest 103 104 the importance of sulfate-reducing microorganisms in the Hg methylation in this environment (Gentès et al. 2013, 2017; Bouchet et al. 2018). On the other hand, studies on the isolation of 105 106 pure strains from Hg methylator periphytons are very scarce. Those studies lead to the isolation of representatives of the genus Desulfovibrio, an easy cultivated group of SRB (Gentès et al. 107 108 2017, 2020). Like many other studies related to Hg methylation, isolations from environmental samples mostly lead to the isolation of a wide variety of representatives of Desulfovibrio 109 110 species, and explains the abundance of *Desulfovibrio*-related HgcA sequences in the databases (Gionfriddo et al. 2021). 111

In Sanguinet Lake, a temperate freshwater lake in the southwest of France, high levels of 112 MeHg were found in carnivorous fishes. This lake is covered partially by the invasive 113 macrophyte Ludwigia sp. The periphyton growing on this macrophyte showed high Hg 114 methylation rates and was identified to be one of the most important Hg methylation spots in 115 116 the lake (Gentes et al, 2013). Microbial studies identified SRB affiliated with the Desulfobacterota phylum, as the main actors of Hg methylation in this environment (Gentès et 117 al. 2013). However, species belonging to this phylum are rare in the periphyton from Sanguinet 118 119 Lake (about 1%) (Gentès et al. 2020), and therefore difficult to isolate. Only few strains affiliated with the *Desulfovibrionaceae* and able to methylate Hg, could be isolated from this 120 121 periphyton (Gentès et al. 2017). In this work, we applied different cultural and molecular approaches than the earlier studies to describe the methylating community in the periphyton 122 123 from Ludwigia sp. found in the Sanguinet lake. We first analyzed the composition of the microbial community in this periphyton by NGS. We developed a protocol of consortia 124 125 cultivation and their diversity identification (NGS), in order to increase the diversity of putative Hg methylators through increasing their growth capacity. The consortia carrying 126 127 microorganisms involved in Hg methylation were identified via the presence of hgcA gene, used as marker for Hg methylation. 128

129 Material and methods

130 <u>Site and Sampling</u>

The Sanguinet lake (58 km²) is located in the Landes area (southwest of France). The overall characteristics of the lake are given in Table 1. Its average depth is 9 m and the watershed surface is about 200 km². The average water residence time is 1587 days. Nitrogen concentrations are found up to 0.58 mg. L⁻¹ for ammonium and 0.44 mg. L⁻¹ for nitrate, characteristics of a mesotrophic lake. However, phosphate concentrations are found up to 0.023 mg. L⁻¹ and chlorophyll concentrations are varying between 1.5 and 4.9 μ g. L⁻¹, characteristics of an oligotrophic lake (Gentès et al. 2013; Moreira et al. 2016; Canredon et al. 2019).

Ludwigia sp., an invasive macrophyte, covers partially the shores of the lake. Samples of sediments and *Ludwigia* floating roots covered by periphyton were collected on February, 9th, 2020 and on September, 11th, 2020 in Ispe Bay (Ip) (sampling site GPS coordinates (DMS type): 44° 26' 22.126" W 1° 11' 27.397"). Samples were collected, put in sterile falcons and stored at 4°C for cultivation experiments and -20°C for diversity analyses.

143

144 <u>Taxonomic diversity of periphyton through MiSEQ 16S rDNA sequencing and analysis</u>

DNA from in situ samples was extracted using the DNeasy UltraClean Microbial Kit 145 (QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and stored at -20°C. 146 Extracted DNA were quantified using QUBIT dsDNA high sensibility (HS) Assay Kit 147 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Oregon, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. PCR was 148 performed using specific primers, 515F (GTG YCA GCM GCC GCG GTA) and 928R (CCC 149 CGY CAA TTC MTT TRA GT) to amplify V4-V5 region of the 16S rDNA gene, tagged with 150 MiSEQ adaptors. PCR was performed using ampliTaq Gold 360 master mix (Applied 151 Biosystems, CA, USA), 0.4 µM of each primer and between 10 to 15 ng of extracted DNA were 152 used. PCR cycles were applied as following: 10 min of initial denaturation at 95°C, 30 cycles 153 of 30 s of denaturation at 95°C, 30 s annealing at 65°C, 40 s of elongation at 72°C with 7 min 154 final elongation at 72°C. The PCR products were sequenced in Genome Transcriptome 155 Platform of Bordeaux (PGTB), using MiSEQ technology. The data have been deposited in 156 157 GenBank under accession number PRJNA906750.

Sequence analysis was done with the pipeline FROGS from the Galaxy portal of the Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees bioinformatics platform (Escudié et al. 2018). After a preprocessing step including quality filter, read trimming and read assembly, sequences were clustered with Swarm (Mahé et al. 2014) with an aggregation distance of 3 and a denoising clustering step. Operational taxonomic units (OTU) with abundance lower than 0.005% were removed (Bokulich et al. 2013). The SILVA database 128 was used to perform the OTU affiliations (Quast et al. 2013).

166

167 <u>Consortia cultivation and taxonomic identification</u>

The cultures were conducted in a minimal medium containing the following (in $g_{L^{-1}}$, 168 unless otherwise indicated): NH₄Cl, 0.25; NaCl, 1; KH₂PO₄, 0.2; MgSO₄, 0.4; MgCl₂, 0.4; 169 CaCl₂.2H₂O, 0.1; KCl, 0.5; Hepes, 10 mM; trace element SL12 (modified from Overmann et 170 al. 1992), 1 mL.L⁻¹; selenite/tungstate (Widdel and Bak 1992), 1 mL.L⁻¹. The pH of the culture 171 medium was adjusted to 7.0. Oxygen in the culture medium was depleted using N₂ gas-flow 172 right after the autoclaved and filtered V7 vitamin solution (Pfennig and Trüper 1992) was 173 added. Specific substrates were added in the culture medium to favor the growth of different 174 bacterial populations: i) Na₂SO₄, 10 mM and lactate-Na, 10 mM for *Desulfovibrio*, ii) Na₂SO₄, 175 10 mM and propionate-Na, 10 mM for Desulfobulbaceae, iii) Na₂SO₄; 10 mM and acetate-Na, 176 10 mM for Desulfobacteaceae, iv) Propionate-Na, 20 mM and Fe₂O₃, 1 mM for 177 Syntrophobacter, v) Crotonate, 10 mM and Fe₂O₃, 1 mM for Syntrophus. Culture media 178 containing Na₂SO₄ were supplemented with FeSO₄ (1 mM) to follow the growth of SRB by the 179 180 development of a black iron sulfide precipitate (FeS). Each culture medium was supplemented with 100 μ M of Na₂S₂O₄ to reduce the medium. 181

The protocol used for the selection and growth of the consortia is modified from Colin et 182 183 al, (Colin et al. 2017). Briefly, 2 g of sediment or biofilm were added to 20 ml of culture medium. Then, successive dilutions were performed from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁵ in sterile penicillin 184 bottles. The content of the bottles was distributed in 96-wells plates in an anoxic chamber. 185 Plates was sealed with Alumaseal CS TM film and incubated in Gas-Pack pouches in the dark, 186 at 18°C for three weeks to three months. When growth was observed, the wells were randomly 187 selected and diluted for a second time in the 96-wells plates, from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁸ and incubated in 188 similar way. The consortia that grew in the most diluted wells were selected and inoculated in 189 6 ml of culture medium, in vacuette tubes. For some dilution series, two or three wells were 190

recultivated because a late growth in more diluted wells from the one already recultivated wasobserved.

193 Consortia have stored at -80°C whereas DNA from consortia was extracted using DNeasy 194 UltraClean Microbial Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions 195 and stored at -20°C. Identification of consortia composition was done by 16S rDNA sequencing 196 using the same protocol as performed for *in situ* identification of the periphyton community 197 (see above). The data were deposited in GenBank under accession number PRJNA910502.

198

199 Isolation of pure strain and identification through 16S rDNA sequencing

200 Two methods for isolation of pure strains from consortia were applied: a liquid medium technique and a deep agar dilution procedure. For the isolation attempt in liquid medium, the 201 202 culture media were unchanged and prepared as described before. Consortia were diluted in microplates and incubated at 30°C for 14 days. The content of three wells, among the highest 203 204 dilutions where growth was detected, were sub-cultured in a new 96-well microplates in the same conditions. Once the growth was observed, cultures were regrown in higher volume and 205 206 stored at -80°C with 25% of glycerol. For deep agar dilutions series, the protocol described by 207 Pfennig (1978) was used (Pfennig 1978). Isolated colonies were picked and recultured in liquid medium (as described above). 208

For isolates identification, wells showing cell growth and isolates from deep agar tubes 209 210 were resuspended in 100 µL of water. PCR amplification of the 16S rDNA gene was performed 5'-211 using universal primers (63F 1387F CAGGCCTAACACATGCAAGTC3'; 5'-GGGCGGWGTGTACAAGCC-3') 212 213 (Marchesi et al. 1998), the ampliTaq Gold 360 master mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), 0.5 µM of each primer and 1 µL of cellular suspension. PCR cycling was performed following 214 manufacturer's instructions, with annealing temperature of 58°C. 16S rDNA amplicons were 215 quantified by QUBIT dsDNA high sensibility (HS) Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, 216 217 Oregon, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol before Sanger sequencing (Eurofins, Germany). Quality of sequences were verified using Unipro UGENE Software (Okonechnikov 218 et al. 2012) and a Blast search was performed to identify the closest sequences in NCBI 219 database. The sequences of the pure strains were deposited under numbers from OQ053276 to 220 221 OQ053279.

223 <u>Functional identification through *hgcA* cloning</u>

224

Identification of consortia that carry *hgcA* gene was performed by PCR amplification 225 using hgcAB primers ORNL-HgcAB-uni-32R (CAG GCN CCG CAY TCS ATR CA) and 226 ORNL- HgcAB-uni-F (AAY GTC TGG TGY GCN GCV GG) (Gionfriddo et al. 2020), the 227 ampliTag Gold 360 master mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), 0.5 µM of each primer and 1 228 ng of extracted DNA. A touchdown PCR protocol was set up, as follow: after 2 min of initial 229 denaturation at 95°C, 5 touchdown cycles of 30 s denaturation at 95°C, 30 s of annealing at 230 68°C (decreasing 1°C at each cycle), and 30 s of elongation at 72°C. PCR was followed by 30 231 cycles of 30 s of denaturation at 95°C, 30 s annealing at 63°C, 1 min of elongation at 72°C with 232 233 7 min final elongation at 72°C. The higher annealing temperatures reduce nonspecific PCR products and promote specific amplifications at the start of the PCR. 234

PCR products were purified using IllustraTM GFX PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification 235 kit (GE Healthcare, Chicago, Illinois, US) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified 236 amplicons were quantified by QUBIT dsDNA high sensibility (HS) Assay Kit (ThermoFisher 237 Scientific, Oregon, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Because the sequencing of 238 these PCR products was unsuccessful when performed on the purified PCR products, a cloning 239 step was necessary to obtain isolated DNA fragments accessible for sequencing. Purified PCR 240 products were ligated into a TOPO vector with TOPOTM TA CloningTM Kit (Invitrogen, 241 Carlsbad, CA, USA), used to transform One ShotTM TOP10 competent *E. coli*. Transformed 242 cells were grown on LB broth agar plates with 50 µg. mL⁻¹ ampicillin, 40 mg. mL⁻¹ X-gal and 243 100 mM IPTG. Colony-PCR was performed with M13 primers: M13F (GTA AAA CGA CGG 244 CCA G) and M13R (CAG GAA ACA GCT ATH AC). AmpliTaq Gold 360 master mix 245 246 (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), 0,2 µM of each primer were used to carry out the PCR following manufacturer's instructions, with annealing temperature of 54°C. PCR products with 247 248 the expected sizes were sequenced (Eurofins Genomics, Germany). The resulting sequences obtained were translated into amino acid sequences with ExPASy, and aligned with a HgMATE 249 250 database containing known hgcA sequences (Gionfriddo et al. 2021). Finally, a HgcA sequences-based phylogenetic tree was constructed using MegaX (Molecular Evolutionary 251 252 Genetics Analysis) with the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method. The sequences were deposited under numbers from OQ282917 to OQ282952. 253

- 254
- 255

256 **Results**

The Sanguinet lake is defined as oligo-mesotrophic lake (OCDE 51982) based on its main physical and chemical characteristics (Table 1). Sulfate concentration in the lake is low, although the existing concentration is enough for sulfate reduction to occur (Gilmour et al. 1992).

261 Diversity of sediments and Ludwigia's periphyton of through MiSeq 16s rDNA sequencing

The microbial diversity was determined in sediments and periphyton from winter and late 262 summer sampling campaigns based on 16S rDNA MiSEQ sequencing. Alpha diversity metrics 263 (Table 2) revealed that the richness in the sediment was more important than the richness in the 264 265 biofilm for both campaigns. The global richness was higher in late summer than in winter both in periphyton and sediment samples, with Chao1 index ranging from 606 to 875 for the biofilms 266 267 and from 886 to 1182 species for the sediments. The comparison of Hill numbers (Chao et al. 268 2014) revealed that the distribution (the relative abundance of individuals) was different 269 between campaigns and between periphyton and sediment. Periphyton from the winter campaign had the lowest value for the highest Hill number (^{2}D : inverse Simpson) with 7.18 ± 270 271 2.25, highlighting a very low evenness. Sediment from late summer campaign exhibited the highest evenness, probably because of the environmental parameters favoring a higher global 272 273 activity and thus more active populations than in winter.

274 The *Proteobacteria* and the *Bacteroidetes* dominated the sediment and the periphyton microbial communities in both sampling periods. They both represented up to 76% of the 275 relative abundance (Figure 1). The relative abundance of the firmicutes decreased in the 276 277 summer (less than 0.08%) compared to the winter (up to 20% of the relative abundance). 278 Cyanobacteria represented near 6% of the relative abundance in the samples of summer 279 campaigns and winter periphyton samples, but their relative abundance was much less (0.4%)in the winter sediment samples. The Desulfobacterota represented less than 3% of the overall 280 community and only 0.7% in biofilm sample in summer campaign (Figure 1). Among the 281 282 Desulfobacterota, populations affiliated with the Desulfovibrionaceae, the 283 Desulfobacteraceae, the Desulfobulbacaea, the Geobacteraceae and the Syntrophobacteraceae 284 were detected (data not shown). The sulfate reducers affiliated with the Desulfobacterota (i.e: Desulfovibrionaceae, Desulfobacteraceae, and Desulfobulbacaea) represented between 285 286 0.014% (summer periphyton sample) and 1.25% (winter periphyton sample). The 287 Desulfovibrionaceae were dominant in the winter campaign representing 100% of the sulfate

reducing community whereas the *Desulfobacteraceae* and the *Desulfobulbaceae* accounted for
60% of the sulfate reducing community in summer.

290

291 <u>Consortia cultivation and *hgcA* screening</u>

Previous studies demonstrated that sulfate reducing microorganisms from the 292 Desulfobacterota were the main mercury methylators in Sanguinet lake (Gentès et al. 2013). In 293 order to investigate these microorganisms in the Ludwigia sp. periphyton and the sediments, 294 consortia were cultivated using different specific substrates to favor the selection of different 295 296 metabolic groups among the Desulfobacterota. A total of 142 consortia (Table 3) were first 297 obtained. PCR using DNA from 81 of these consortia resulted in a positive amplification (out 298 of the 142) using specific primers for hgcA gene. To confirm the presence of hgcA genes in the 299 consortia, PCR fragments were cloned and sequenced. Only 36 from the 81 consortia were 300 confirmed to contain the *hgcA* gene (Table 3).

301 HgcA amino acid sequences from consortia were translated and a phylogenetic tree was built 302 using the Hg-MATE database (Gionfriddo et al, 2020) (Figure 2). Among these 36 consortia, 303 three (BSL262, BP321 and BP322) were not considered because they were redundant (same dilution series and same hgcA sequence) to BSL261 and BP323 (see Material and Methods, 304 Consortia cultivation and taxonomic identification). Among the 33 remaining sequences, six 305 different clusters were identified. The sequence of the first one was related to HgcA from 306 Syntrophorhabdus aromaticivorans UI DSM 1771. The sequence of the second group was 307 related to the *Pseudodesulfovibrio hydrargyri* BerOc1. The sequence of the third group was 308 related to HgcA sequence of *Desulforhopalus singaporensis* DSM 12130. The fourth group was 309 a group of four sequences close to the sequence of Desulfobulbus propionicus DSM 2032. The 310 311 sequence of the fifth group was close to HgcA sequence of *Desulfohalovibrio reitneri* L21-Syr-AB. Lastly, the sixth group contained the greatest number of sequences, and the closest match 312 was the HgcA amino acid sequences found in Fundidesulfovibrio putealis DSM 16056. 313 314 However, based on phylogenetic tree clustering, this group of sequences could be divided in three subgroups and only the sequence from the first sub-group (from the consortium named 315 316 SSL37) was very close to the HgcA sequence of F. putealis. The other two sub-groups were, to 317 some extent, distant to this reference sequence. Among the two other sub-groups, the one 318 containing 14 sequences could further be subdivided into four parts and the one containing 13 sequences could be subdivided into two parts (Figure 2). The sixth group was thus highly 319

diverse with no known representatives. While each of these different sub-parts seems to contain
sequences distantly related to *F. putealis*, the exact species or strains, to which these sequences
were related, could not be determined.

323

324 <u>Microbial composition of *hgcA*-containing consortia</u>

The richness in the consortia varied from two to 44 OTUs. All the consortia contained 325 populations belonging to different groups of the Desulfobacterota phylum (Figure 3), expected 326 to carry hgcA gene. More importantly, other microorganisms such as representatives of the 327 genera Dechloromonas, Aeromonas, Paludibacter or Pseudomonas were frequently detected in 328 329 the consortia, with relatively high abundances. The composition of consortia cultivated from 330 sediments were different from consortia cultivated from biofilms. Indeed, we found strict 331 anaerobes, such as Paludibacter, mainly in the sediments. Conversely, microorganisms with 332 aerobic or facultative anaerobic lifestyles, such as *Stenotrophomonas* or *Acinetobacter*, were 333 commonly found in consortia from biofilms.

Desulfobacterota diversity obtained in this work using consortia cultivation was 334 compared with the previous Desulfobacterota diversity obtained by Gentes et al. (Gentès et al. 335 2017, 2020) using isolation approaches (Table 4). Ten new populations were obtained in this 336 work and were not closely related to the obtained strains in both other studies. Four populations 337 found in the other studies were not obtained in this work. Thanks to the consortia culture, a 338 broader Desulfobacterota diversity was attained. From, the Desulfobacterota sequences 339 retrieved in the *in situ* samples in this study, only four populations could not be retrieved in 340 341 consortia (Table 4). A phylogenetic tree was built using 16S sequences from Gentes et al (Gentès et al. 2017, 2020) and sequences related to the Desulfobacterota obtained in this work 342 (consortia and *in situ* samples) (Supp data S1). Consortia containing HgcA sequence close to 343 Syntrophorhabdus aromaticivorans UI DSM 1771 contain a 16S sequence close to 344 Syntrophobacter fumaroxydans found in the other studies. Consortia containing HgcA 345 346 sequences close to Desulfobulbaceae contain 16S sequences close to Desulfobulbus elongatus Consortia containing HgcA sequence 347 or Desulfobulbus propionicus. close to Pseudodesulfovibrio hydrargyri BerOc1 contain a 16S sequences close to the same strain, 348 thoroughly invariable between both affiliations. In contrast, the consortia containing HgcA 349 350 sequences close to F. putealis did not all contain the 16S sequences corresponding to F. putealis. Indeed, while the HgcA sequences of BP23, BSA33, SP32, SSP271, BSP341, BP332, 351

BP33, BC36, BSA17, SP272, BP31, BP323-2-1 and BSA321 consortia showed HgcA of *F. putealis* as the closest relative, the 16S analysis showed the absence of *F. putealis* in the populations present in these consortia. Instead, populations contained in these consortia affiliated with the *Desulfobacterota* phylum were close to *Solidesulfovibrio aerotolerans* DvO5 AY46987, *Solidesulfovibrio burkinensis* HDv AF053752, *Solidesulfovibrio carbinolicus* DSM 3852 or *Humidesulfovibrio articus* B15 whose HgcA sequences are not known.

358

359

Isolation and identification of pure strains

Attempts to isolate strains from consortia containing different populations involved in the 360 361 methylation of Hg were performed. For that, consortia were selected based on the presence of 362 hgcA gene and their position on the phylogenetic tree. The focus was on consortia close to 363 members of Desulfobulbus, Dechloromonas and Syntrophobacter genera, because few relatives have been already isolated. Additionally, some consortia that formed an important group at the 364 365 bottom of the tree and contained other well-represented microbial groups were also selected for isolation. The isolation attempts were done on the following consortia: SP11; SSA241. 366 367 BSA232; BSL262; BSP32; SSP271; BSA33; BP462; SSL37 and BSA321. Only F. putealis was isolated as pure strain from a restricted number of consortia. 368

369

370 **Discussion**:

371 The level of nutritional elements (nitrate, phosphate) found in Sanguinet lake allows the 372 development of microorganisms, including primary producers as shown by the chlorophyll content (Table1). The presence of cyanobacteria and microalgae in sediments from summertime 373 illustrate their photosynthetic activity, characteristic of such environments. Like all the lakes 374 from this area, Sanguinet lake was very well studied, because of the presence of invasive aquatic 375 376 plants. Among the invasive plants, *Ludwigia* species are found in the lake (Zuazo et al. 2019). Interestingly, periphytons colonizing the floating roots of *Ludwigia* plants are known to be a 377 hotspot of mercury methylation (Gentès et al. 2013), at a higher rate in the late summer 378 compared to springtime (Carlier 2016). The difference in the mercury methylation rates 379 between seasons is probably caused by the increase of primary production in summertime, 380 381 classically observed in periphytons (Lázaro et al. 2019). The methylation in the periphyton is mainly due to sulfate reducers belonging to the Desulfobacterota as shown by inhibition 382

experiments with molybdate (Gentès et al. 2013). Sulfate in aquatic environment allows the presence of SRB, and consequently the production of MeHg, especially in the lakes from the south west of France (Canredon et al. 2019). The sulfate concentration in Sanguinet lake (about 100 μ M) corresponds to the concentration where the maximum of methylation activity occurs for sulfate reducers as demonstrated in Gilmour et al. (1992).

In the present study, we investigated microbial diversity in Ludwigia periphyton and 388 sediments. Differences in the diversity and evenness observed between the sediment and 389 biofilm can be explained by the fact that the biofilm is colonized by microbial populations 390 originated from the sediments but also from the water column. The cyanobacteria colonizing 391 the periphyton provide primary production within the biofilms, which also benefit from exudate 392 393 of the plants as source of organic carbon (Srivastava et al. 2016), generating thus a specific microecosystem. Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes, the most abundant phyla, includes 394 395 heterotrophs able to use a wide range of carbonaceous substrates. The presence of anaerobic bacteria is explained by the cohabitation of different microbial communities and the formation 396 397 of anoxic micro-niches via the consumption of oxygen by aerobes and facultative anaerobes (Ito et al. 2002). Previous studies showed the presence of the same dominant phyla i.e., 398 Proteobacteria, Cyanobacteria and Bacteroidetes in the periphyton from the same lake (Gentès 399 et al. 2017, 2020) as well as from periphyton found in lake Titicaca (Bouchet et al. 2018). 400

401 Previous studies, aiming to determine the main mercury methylators in periphyton, 402 showed that sulfate reducing bacteria and methanogens are the main players in Hg transformations in periphytons (Hamelin et al. 2011; Gentès et al. 2013; Bouchet et al. 2018). 403 Microbial community composition is thus an important factor controlling Hg transformations. 404 In our study, no methanogenic Archaea known for their Hg methylating activity could be found 405 in the periphyton, in agreement with the key role of sulfate reducers in Hg(II) methylation 406 already observed in this biofilm (Gentès et al. 2017). However, the anaerobic sulfate reducing 407 bacteria belonging to the Desulfobacterota in the microbial community of the periphyton are 408 minor populations. Their presence is explained by the sulfate found in this lake, which they use 409 as electron acceptor in sulfate respiration (Gilmour et al. 1992). Thus, the Hg transformations 410 in periphyton is mediated by SRB, but these transformations are also driven by others 411 parameters such as the microbial community present in the periphyton (primary production and 412 global activity), the environmental parameters (temperature, light, ...) or nychthemeral 413 parameters (Frohne et al. 2012). 414

In order to broaden the cultivated SRB involved in HgII methylation in the periphyton, a 415 consortia culturing approach coupled to the detection of hgcA gene was applied. The 416 phylogenetic tree built based on HgcA amino acid sequences found in the consortia showed a 417 dispersion of HgcA sequences that does not strictly follow the 16S rDNA-based taxonomy 418 (Figure 2). Based on this phylogenetic tree, six main clusters of HgcA sequences could be 419 obtained. The cluster containing a sequence close to Syntrophorhabdus aromaticivorans UI 420 421 DSM 1771 from Syntrophorhabdaceae family showed the importance of consortia cultivation 422 approach, since representatives of this syntrophic species do not grow in pure culture (Qiu et 423 al. 2008). Although the HgcA sequence is known, the ability to methylate mercury of 424 Desulforhopalus singoporensis was not investigated until now. The sequences found close to 425 Pseudodesulfovibrio are in agreement with this well studied genus known to be involved in HgII methylation (Gilmour et al. 2011; Goñi-Urriza et al. 2015; Barrouilhet et al. 2022) but are 426 427 in disagreement with the halophilic character of these organisms. Another sequence was also found closely related to the halophilic Desulfohalovibrio reitneri organism. Some 428 429 Desulfobulbaceae from the genus Desulfobulbus, known to be involved in HgII methylation (Benoit et al. 2001), were cultivated in this work. However, some of the HgcA amino acid 430 sequences obtained in this work that belong to the Desulfobulbaceae family, belong to 431 populations never been cultivated before, highlighting again the advantage of consortia 432 433 cultures.

Up to 26 from 33 HgcA sequences were retrieved form a quite diverse cluster that could 434 be separate in two subclusters. One was close to HgcA sequence from F. putealis while the 435 second subgroup appeared more distant to any known sequences. F. putealis is a sulfate 436 reducing bacterium from Desulfovibrionaceae family constantly found in biofilm samples from 437 438 this lake (Gentès et al. 2017, 2020). This species contain hgcA gene but the implication of the strains affiliated with this species in HgII methylation was never studied. Regarding HgcA 439 440 sequences from the sub-group distantly related to F. putealis, the data were not sufficient to assert that the amino acid sequences of this sub-group were linked to F. putealis. Our results 441 442 pointed out that the catalogue of HgcA amino acid sequences did not match all the HgcA sequences found in the obtained consortia. For example, it seemed that some HgcA sequences 443 close to F. putealis come from Humidesulfovibrio articus or Solidesulfovibrio aerotolerans. 444 However, these species are not identified as mercury methylators and their genomes has not 445 446 been sequenced. Further genomic analyses is necessary to investigate the strains from the consortia containing these categories of HgcA amino acid sequences. 447

The results obtained in this work showed that a wide variety of microorganisms involved 448 in HgII methylation could be obtained using consortia cultivation compared to pure culture 449 isolation (Gentès et al. 2017, 2020). Here, we identified microorganisms from 450 Desulfovibrionaceae but also from Desulfobulbaceae and syntrophic organisms, difficult to 451 obtain in pure culture. The growth of these microorganisms was possible due to the presence of 452 other microorganisms from different groups, whose metabolisms and growing factors are 453 probably the key parameters to the production of substrates allowing the cooperation (Bryder 454 1981) and the maintenance of the populations of interest. This also explains why some 455 Desulfobacterota found in consortia are not those expected when using specific substrates (Fig. 456 457 3) for consortia selection. In the attempt to isolate new microorganisms from selected consortia, 458 only F. putealis affiliated strains were isolated so far, confirming that the culture in consortia protect and maintain microorganisms for which the pure strain approach is extremely difficult, 459 460 if not impossible, to reach.

Consortia composition analysis also revealed the presence of some aerobes or facultative 461 462 anaerobic microorganisms participating to the biogeochemical cycles in these environments. These microorganisms are more abundant in the biofilm, which is an oxic environment. The 463 analysis of global diversity supports the presence of these aerobic microorganisms promoted 464 by the presence of cyanobacteria capable of producing oxygen and organic matter suitable for 465 heterotrophic microorganisms. These microorganisms consume the oxygen coming from the in 466 situ production or diffusion of oxygen from the water, favoring the appearance of anaerobic 467 micro-niches. The facultative anaerobic microorganisms present in the consortia such as 468 representatives of the genera Aeromonas, Pseudomonas or Dechloromonas may also switch to 469 a fermentative or denitrifying metabolism (Silby et al. 2011; Taubert et al. 2022) favoring the 470 471 production of electron donors such as acetate, ethanol, lactate or even the production of hydrogen used by other microorganisms including members of the Desulfobacterota. As shown 472 473 by Matheron and Baulaigue (1976) cooperation can take place between different micro-474 organisms through the production of substrates by fermentation that can be used by SRBs. The 475 sulfide produced by the SRBs can be re-oxidized by the anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria. Our in situ analysis showed the presence of purple non-sulfur phototrophic bacteria known to have 476 477 a versatile metabolism and able to oxidize the sulfide produced by SRBs. These anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria are also able to reduce HgII (Grégoire and Poulain 2016) affecting thus 478 479 mercury methylation and transformations. Our consortia were not cultivated for the purpose to isolate anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria (absence of light) but demonstrated once again the 480

advantages of the consortia cultivation in terms of increasing the diversity and enhancing thecooperation/interaction between microorganisms.

Recent studies using metagenomic approaches in several environments (Gionfriddo et al. 483 2016; Capo et al. 2020; McDaniel et al. 2020; Vigneron et al. 2021) discovered several 484 485 Metagenomic Assembled Genomes (MAGs) carrying *hgcA* genes (with no cultivated relatives) that are not considered to date as implicated in Hg methylation. Those studies are important 486 since they increase the diversity of putative Hg methylators but remain quite descriptive. It will 487 be interesting to determine if representative of these MAGs are capable to methylate Hg. In this 488 regard, a cultivable approach is necessary, and consortium culture approach could be a way to 489 overcome culture limitations. 490

491

492 <u>Author contributions</u>

MG was in charge of the funding, RG collected the samples, DS and CG generated the dataset, DS and
GC analyzed the data, DS wrote the manuscript with contributions from MSG, RG and BKH.

495 <u>Acknowledgements</u>

- 496 This work was supported by the Excellence Initiative of Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour I-
- 497 Site E2S UPPA [Hub MeSMic], a French "Investissements d'Avenir" program.

498 <u>Competing Interests</u>

- 499 Authors declare no competing interest
- 500
- 501

502

503 **References**

- 504
- 505 Achà et al. (2005) Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria in Floating Macrophyte Rhizospheres from an Amazonian 506 Bolivia Their Floodplain Lake in and Association with Hg Methylation. 507 https://journals.asm.org/doi/epub/10.1128/AEM.71.11.7531-7535.2005. Accessed 1 Oct 508 2022
- Alanoca L, Amouroux D, Monperrus M, et al (2016) Diurnal variability and biogeochemical reactivity of
 mercury species in an extreme high-altitude lake ecosystem of the Bolivian Altiplano. Environ
 Sci Pollut Res 23:6919–6933. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5917-1
- 512Azaroff A, Goñi Urriza M, Gassie C, et al (2020) Marine mercury-methylating microbial communities513from coastal to Capbreton Canyon sediments (North Atlantic Ocean). Environ Pollut514262:114333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114333
- 515Bae et al (2014) Syntrophs Dominate Sequences Associated with the Mercury Methylation-Related516Gene hgcA in the Water Conservation Areas of the Florida Everglades | Applied and517Environmental Microbiology. https://journals.asm.org/doi/full/10.1128/AEM.01666-14.518Accessed 14 Jan 2023
- Barrouilhet S, Monperrus M, Tessier E, et al (2022) Effect of exogenous and endogenous sulfide on the
 production and the export of methylmercury by sulfate-reducing bacteria. Environ Sci Pollut
 Res Int. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-22173-y
- 522Bastos WR, Gomes JPO, Oliveira RC, et al (2006) Mercury in the environment and riverside population523in the Madeira River Basin, Amazon, Brazil. Sci Total Environ 368:344–351.524https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.09.048
- 525Benoit JM, Gilmour CC, Mason RP (2001) The Influence of Sulfide on Solid-Phase Mercury526Bioavailability for Methylation by Pure Cultures of Desulfobulbus propionicus (1pr3). Environ527Sci Technol 35:127–132. https://doi.org/10.1021/es001415n
- 528Bokulich NA, Subramanian S, Faith JJ, et al (2013) Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates529fromIlluminaampliconsequencing.NatMethods10:57–59.530https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2276
- Bouchet S, Goñi-Urriza M, Monperrus M, et al (2018) Linking Microbial Activities and Low-MolecularWeight Thiols to Hg Methylation in Biofilms and Periphyton from High-Altitude Tropical Lakes
 in the Bolivian Altiplano. Environ Sci Technol 52:9758–9767.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b01885
- 535Bryder (1981) Interrelationships between sulphate-reducing bacteria and other bacterial groups in an536anoxicestuarinesediment-ProQuest.537https://www.proquest.com/openview/e35a63549d33aed63ba32e56453c8473/1?pq-538origsite=gscholar&cbl=51922&diss=y. Accessed 2 Feb 2023
- Canredon A, Anschutz P, Buquet D, et al (2019) Lake sediment mercury biogeochemistry controlled by
 sulphate input from drainage basin. Appl Geochem 104:135–145.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2019.03.023

- 542 Capo E, Bravo AG, Soerensen AL, et al (2020) Deltaproteobacteria and Spirochaetes-Like Bacteria Are
 543 Abundant Putative Mercury Methylators in Oxygen-Deficient Water and Marine Particles in
 544 the Baltic Sea. Front Microbiol 11:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.574080
- 545 Carlier E (2016) Methylmercury Production and Associated Microbial Diversity Within an Oligotrophic
 546 Temperate Freshwater Lake (Cazaux-Sanguinet Lake, South-Western France). Proc 18th Int
 547 Conf Heavy Met Environ. https://doi.org/10.21825/ichmet.71222
- 548 Chao A, Gotelli N, Hsieh TC, et al (2014) Rarefaction and extrapolation with Hill numbers: A framework
 549 for sampling and estimation in species diversity studies. Ecol Monogr 84:45–67.
 550 https://doi.org/10.1890/13-0133.1
- 551Cleckner et al. (1999) Mercury methylation in periphyton of the Florida Everglades Cleckner 1999 -552Limnology and Oceanography Wiley Online Library.553https://aslopubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.4319/lo.1999.44.7.1815. Accessed 1 Oct5542022
- Coelho-Souza SA, Guimarães JRD, Miranda MR, et al (2011) Mercury and flooding cycles in the Tapajós
 river basin, Brazilian Amazon: The role of periphyton of a floating macrophyte (Paspalum
 repens). Sci Total Environ 409:2746–2753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.03.028
- 558Colin Y, Goñi-Urriza M, Gassie C, et al (2017) Distribution of Sulfate-Reducing Communities from559Estuarine to Marine Bay Waters. Microb Ecol 73:39–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-5600842-5
- 561 Compeau G, Bartha R (1984) Methylation and demethylation of mercury under controlled redox, pH
 562 and salinity conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol 48:1203–1207.
 563 https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.48.6.1203-1207.1984
- 564Compeau GC, Bartha R (1985) Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria: Principal Methylators of Mercury in Anoxic565EstuarineSediment.ApplEnvironMicrobiol50:498–502.566https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.50.2.498-502.1985
- 567 Costerton JW, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell DE, et al (1995) Microbial Biofilms. Annu Rev Microbiol 49:711–
 568 745. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.mi.49.100195.003431
- 569 Cremona F, Hamelin S, Planas D, Lucotte M (2009) Sources of organic matter and methylmercury in
 570 littoral macroinvertebrates: a stable isotope approach. Biogeochemistry 94:81–94.
 571 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-009-9309-9
- 572Davey ME, O'toole GA (2000) Microbial Biofilms: from Ecology to Molecular Genetics. Microbiol Mol573Biol Rev 64:847–867. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.64.4.847-867.2000
- 574Desrosiers M, Planas D, Mucci A (2006) Mercury Methylation in the Epilithon of Boreal Shield Aquatic575Ecosystems. Environ Sci Technol 40:1540–1546. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0508828
- 576Díez S (2009) Human Health Effects of Methylmercury Exposure. In: Whitacre DM (ed) Reviews of577Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. Springer, New York, NY, pp 111–132
- 578dos Santos TR, Ferragut C, de Mattos Bicudo CE (2013) Does macrophyte architecture influence579periphyton? Relationships among Utricularia foliosa, periphyton assemblage structure and its580nutrient (C, N, P) status. Hydrobiologia 714:71–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-013-1531-5818

- 582Escudié et al. (2018) FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution | Bioinformatics | Oxford583Academic. https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/34/8/1287/4708232. Accessed58427 Jan 2023
- Felsenstein J (1985) CONFIDENCE LIMITS ON PHYLOGENIES: AN APPROACH USING THE BOOTSTRAP.
 Evol Int J Org Evol 39:783–791. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x
- Fleming EJ, Mack EE, Green PG, Nelson DC (2006) Mercury Methylation from Unexpected Sources:
 Molybdate-Inhibited Freshwater Sediments and an Iron-Reducing Bacterium. Appl Environ
 Microbiol 72:457–464. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.457-464.2006
- Frohne T, Rinklebe J, Langer U, et al (2012) Biogeochemical factors affecting mercury methylation rate
 in two contaminated floodplain soils. Biogeosciences 9:493–507. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg 9-493-2012
- 593 Gallorini A, Loizeau J-L (2021) Mercury methylation in oxic aquatic macro-environments: a review. J 594 Limnol. https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2021.2007
- Gentès S, Monperrus M, Legeay A, et al (2013) Incidence of invasive macrophytes on methylmercury
 budget in temperate lakes: Central role of bacterial periphytic communities. Environ Pollut
 172:116–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.08.004
- 598Gentès S, Monperrus M, Legeay A, et al (2020) Influence of Macrophyte and Gut Microbiota on599Mercury Contamination in Fish: A Microcosms Study. Appl Sci 10:1500.600https://doi.org/10.3390/app10041500
- 601Gentès S, Taupiac J, Colin Y, et al (2017) Bacterial periphytic communities related to mercury602methylation within aquatic plant roots from a temperate freshwater lake (South-Western603France). Environ Sci Pollut Res 24:19223–19233. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9597-x
- 604 Gilmour CC, Elias DA, Kucken AM, et al (2011) Sulfate-reducing bacterium Desulfovibrio desulfuricans
 605 ND132 as a model for understanding bacterial mercury methylation. Appl Environ Microbiol
 606 77:3938–3951. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02993-10
- 607 Gilmour CC, Henry EA, Mitchell R (1992) Sulfate stimulation of mercury methylation in freshwater
 608 sediments. In: ACS Publ. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/es00035a029. Accessed 1 Oct
 609 2022
- 610 Gilmour CC, Podar M, Bullock AL, et al (2013) Mercury Methylation by Novel Microorganisms from 611 New Environments. Environ Sci Technol 47:11810–11820. https://doi.org/10.1021/es403075t
- Gionfriddo CM, Wymore AM, Jones DS, et al (2020) An Improved hgcAB Primer Set and Direct High Throughput Sequencing Expand Hg-Methylator Diversity in Nature. Front Microbiol 11:.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.541554
- 615 Gionfriddo et al. (2021) Hg-MATE-Db.v1.01142021
- 616 Gionfriddo et al. (2016) Microbial mercury methylation in Antarctic sea ice | Nature Microbiology. 617 https://www.nature.com/articles/nmicrobiol2016127. Accessed 10 Feb 2023
- 618 Goñi-Urriza M, Corsellis Y, Lanceleur L, et al (2015) Relationships between bacterial energetic 619 metabolism, mercury methylation potential, and hgcA/hgcB gene expression in Desulfovibrio

- 620
 dechloroacetivorans
 BerOc1.
 Environ
 Sci
 Pollut
 Res
 22:13764–13771.

 621
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4273-5
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4273-5
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4273-5
- 622 Grégoire DS, Poulain AJ (2016) A physiological role for HgII during phototrophic growth. Nat Geosci 623 9:121–125. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2629
- Guimarães JRD, Meili M, Hylander LD, et al (2000) Mercury net methylation in five tropical flood plain
 regions of Brazil: high in the root zone of floating macrophyte mats but low in surface
 sediments and flooded soils. Sci Total Environ 261:99–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048 9697(00)00628-8
- 628Guimarães JRD, Meili M, Malm O, Maria de Souza Brito E (1998) Hg methylation in sediments and629floating meadows of a tropical lake in the Pantanal floodplain, Brazil. Sci Total Environ630213:165–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(98)00089-8
- Hamelin S, Amyot M, Barkay T, et al (2011) Methanogens: Principal Methylators of Mercury in Lake
 Periphyton. Environ Sci Technol 45:7693–7700. https://doi.org/10.1021/es2010072
- 633Ito T, Okabe S, Satoh H, Watanabe Y (2002) Successional development of sulfate-reducing bacterial634populations and their activities in a wastewater biofilm growing under microaerophilic635conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:1392–1402. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.3.1392-6361402.2002
- 637Jones DS, Walker GM, Johnson NW, et al (2019) Molecular evidence for novel mercury methylating638microorganisms in sulfate-impacted lakes.ISME J 13:1659–1675.639https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0376-1
- Kerin EJ, Gilmour CC, Roden E, et al (2006) Mercury methylation by dissimilatory iron-reducing
 bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:7919–7921. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01602-06
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, et al (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across
 Computing Platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547–1549. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096
- Lázaro WL, Díez S, Bravo AG, et al (2019) Cyanobacteria as regulators of methylmercury production in
 periphyton. Sci Total Environ 668:723–729. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.233
- Lebel J, Roulet M, Mergler D, et al (1997) Fish Diet and Mercury Exposure in a Riparian Amazonian
 Population. Water Air Soil Pollut 97:31–44. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018378207932
- Liu G, Cai Y, Mao Y, et al (2009) Spatial Variability in Mercury Cycling and Relevant Biogeochemical
 Controls in the Florida Everglades. Environ Sci Technol 43:4361–4366.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es803665c
- Mahé F, Rognes T, Quince C, et al (2014) Swarm: robust and fast clustering method for amplicon-based
 studies. PeerJ 2:e593. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.593
- 653Marchesi JR, Sato T, Weightman AJ, et al (1998) Design and Evaluation of Useful Bacterium-Specific654PCR Primers That Amplify Genes Coding for Bacterial 16S rRNA. Appl Environ Microbiol65564:795–799. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.64.2.795-799.1998
- 656Matheron R, Baulaigue R (1976) Bactéries fermentatives, sulfato-réductrices et phototrophes657sulfureuses en cultures mixtes. Arch Microbiol 109:319–320.658https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00446645

- McDaniel EA, Peterson BD, Stevens SLR, et al (2020) Expanded Phylogenetic Diversity and Metabolic
 Flexibility of Mercury-Methylating Microorganisms. mSystems 5:e00299-20.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00299-20
- Molina CI, Gibon F-M, Duprey J-L, et al (2010) Transfer of mercury and methylmercury along
 macroinvertebrate food chains in a floodplain lake of the Beni River, Bolivian Amazonia. Sci
 Total Environ 408:3382–3391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.04.019
- Moreira et al. (2016) Rapport d'activité du suivi scientifique des plans d'eau de Carcans-Hourtin,
 Lacanau, Cazaux-Sanguinet et Parentis-Biscarrosse : Année 2015 Archive ouverte HAL.
 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02606125. Accessed 2 Feb 2023
- Morel FMM, Kraepiel AML, Amyot M (1998) The Chemical Cycle and Bioaccumulation of Mercury.
 Annu Rev Ecol Syst 29:543–566
- 670Okonechnikov K, Golosova O, Fursov M, the UGENE team (2012) Unipro UGENE: a unified671bioinformaticstoolkit.Bioinformatics28:1166–1167.672https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts091
- Overmann J, Fischer U, Pfennig N (1992) A new purple sulfur bacterium from saline littoral sediments,
 Thiorhodovibrio winogradskyi gen. nov. and sp. nov. Arch Microbiol 157:329–335.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00248677
- 676Parks et al. (2013) The Genetic Basis for Bacterial Mercury Methylation | Science.677https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.1230667. Accessed 18 Oct 2022
- Pfennig (1978) Rhodocyclus purpureus gen. nov. and sp. nov., a Ring-Shaped, Vitamin B12-Requiring
 Member of the Family Rhodospirillaceae | Microbiology Society.
 https://www.microbiologyresearch.org/content/journal/ijsem/10.1099/00207713-28-2283?crawler=true. Accessed 10 Feb 2023
- Pfennig N, Trüper HG (1992) The Family Chromatiaceae. In: Balows A, Trüper HG, Dworkin M, et al.
 (eds) The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria: Ecophysiology, Isolation,
 Identification, Applications. Springer, New York, NY, pp 3200–3221
- Qiu Y-L, Hanada S, Ohashi A, et al (2008) Syntrophorhabdus aromaticivorans gen. nov., sp. nov., the
 First Cultured Anaerobe Capable of Degrading Phenol to Acetate in Obligate Syntrophic
 Associations with a Hydrogenotrophic Methanogen. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:2051.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02378-07
- 689Quast et al. (2013) The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and690web-based tools PubMed. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23193283/. Accessed 2 Feb6912023
- Risher JF, Murray HE, Prince GR (2002) Organic mercury compounds: human exposure and its
 relevance to public health. Toxicol Ind Health 18:109–160.
 https://doi.org/10.1191/0748233702th138oa
- 695Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic696trees. Mol Biol Evol 4:406–425. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454

- 697Si Y, Zou Y, Liu X, et al (2015) Mercury methylation coupled to iron reduction by dissimilatory iron-698reducingbacteria.Chemosphere122:206–212.699https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.11.054
- Silby MW, Winstanley C, Godfrey SAC, et al (2011) Pseudomonas genomes: diverse and adaptable.
 FEMS Microbiol Rev 35:652–680. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00269.x
- Srivastava JK, Chandra H, Kalra SJS, et al (2016) Plant–microbe interaction in aquatic system and their
 role in the management of water quality: a review. Appl Water Sci 3:1079–1090.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-016-0415-2
- Streets DG, Devane MK, Lu Z, et al (2011) All-Time Releases of Mercury to the Atmosphere from Human
 Activities. Environ Sci Technol 45:10485–10491. https://doi.org/10.1021/es202765m
- Tamura K, Nei M, Kumar S (2004) Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor joining method. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:11030–11035.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404206101
- Taubert M, Overholt WA, Heinze BM, et al (2022) Bolstering fitness via CO2 fixation and organic carbon
 uptake: mixotrophs in modern groundwater. ISME J 16:1153–1162.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01163-x
- Vigneron A, Cruaud P, Aubé J, et al (2021) Transcriptomic evidence for versatile metabolic activities of
 mercury cycling microorganisms in brackish microbial mats. Npj Biofilms Microbiomes 7:1–11.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-021-00255-y
- Wang K, Liu G, Cai Y (2022) Possible pathways for mercury methylation in oxic marine waters. Crit Rev
 Environ Sci Technol 52:3997–4015. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2021.2008753
- Widdel F, Bak F (1992) Gram-Negative Mesophilic Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria. In: Balows A, Trüper HG,
 Dworkin M, et al. (eds) The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria:
 Ecophysiology, Isolation, Identification, Applications. Springer, New York, NY, pp 3352–3378
- Wood (1968) Synthesis of Methyl-mercury Compounds by Extracts of a Methanogenic Bacterium |
 Nature. https://www.nature.com/articles/220173a0. Accessed 1 Oct 2022
- Zuazo A, Fournier L, Dutartre A, Bertrin V (2019) Les macrophytes indigènes et exotiques : mieux
 connaître pour mieux gérer. Dyn Environnementales J Int Géosciences L'environnement 50–
 73. https://doi.org/10.4000/dynenviron.3613
- 726
- 727
- 728

Table 1 : Main characteristics of Cazaux Sanguinet lake.

200	
58	
23	
9	
500	
1587	
6 – 25	
7.5 – 8.0	
0-0.58	
0 - 0.44	
0.023	
7.7 11.5	
1.5 – 4.9	
	58 23 9 500 1587 6 - 25 7.5 - 8.0 0 - 0.58 0 - 0.44 0.023 7.7 11.5 1.5 - 4.9

730 a : Gentès, et al. (2013), b : Canredon, et al. (2019), c : Moreira, et al. (2016).

733 Table 2 : Alpha diversity metrics from periphytic biofilm and sediment

	February 2020		Septembe	er 2020
	Periphyton	Sediment	Periphyton	Sediment
Chao1	607 ± 43	886 ± 75	875 ± 1	1183 ± 12
Observed species richness	416 ± 49	735 ± 71	738 ± 26	1049 ± 23
¹ D ^(a)	28 ± 9	102 ± 42	134 ± 32	284 ± 23
² D ^(b)	7 ± 2	25 ± 11	40 ± 12	60 ± 11

735 (a), ¹D is the exponential of Shannon entropy index ; (b), ²D is the Inverse of Simpson index

- 739 Table 3 : Number of consortia obtained from February and September campaigns from periphyton
- and sediments, and number of positive amplicons for *hgcA* gene and confirmed by amplicon
- 741 sequencing

	Winter			Summer		
Substrate	Consortia	Positive	Confirmed	Consortia	Positive	Confirmed
	obtained	amplicons	by	obtained	amplicons	by
		(hgcA)	sequencing ^(a)		(hgcA)	sequencing
Sulfate + Lactate	28	18	7 (2)	12	8	2
Sulfate + Propionate	17	6	3 (2)	17	12	2
Sulfate + Acetate	4	3	2 (1)	14	7	7
Propionate	23	16	10 (4)	15	7	2
Crotonate	5	1	1	7	3	0
Total	77	44	23 (9)	65	37	13

742 a: Numbers in brackets indicate consortia from sediment

743

Table 4 : *Desulfobacterota* (closest relative) obtained in the consortia and the *in situ* analyses

746 compared to those obtained by Gentes et al., 2017, 2020

	Populations		Strains	
Closest relative taxonomic name	This study	This study	Gentes et	Gentes et al
(strain number)	(In situ	, (Consortia	al 2017	2020
	samples)	culture)		
Fundidesulfovibrio putealis	Х	Х	Х	
(DSM16056)				
Desulfovibrio butyraliphilus		Х		
(BSY)				
Solidesulfovibrio alcoholivorans		Х		
(DSM5433)				
Solidesulfovibrio burkinensis	Х	Х	Х	Х
(HDv)				
Solidesulfovibrio carbinolicus	Х			
(DSM3852)				
Solidesulfovibrio aerotolerans	Х	Х		
(DvO5)				
Desulfovibrio carbinoliphilus	Х		Х	Х
(FW-101-2B)				
Humidesulfovibrio mexicanus	Х	Х	Х	
(DSM13116)				
Desulfovibrio vulgaris				Х
(Hildenborough M34399)				N/
Desulfovibrio desulfuricans			Х	Х
(ATCC 29577)		N/		
Desulfocapsa thiozymogenes		Х		
(Blaz)		V		
		^		
(NISLOO) Oruzomonas ignonica	v	Y		
(Red 96)	~	X		
Syntronhobacter fumaroxidans	×	x		
(MPOB)	X	X		
Desulfomonile tiediei				х
(DSM6799)				
Desulfobulbus elonaatus	х	х		
(FP)				
Desulfobulbus propionicus	Х	х		Х
(DSM 2032)				
Humidesulfovibrio articus	х	Х		
(B15)				
Unidentified SRB		х		Х
Unidentifed SRB		Х		
Desulfatirhabdium sp.	Х			
(SVa 0081)				
<u>Desulfocastanea catecholica</u>	X			
(NZva20)				

749 Figure Captions

750

- **Figure 1**: Relative abundance of phyla detected in the periphyton of aquatic roots of *Ludwigia*
- *sp.* and sediments of Sanguinet lake in (A) February 2020 and in (B) September 2020. The data
- show the mean of three replicates.
- 754
- **Figure 2**: Phylogenetic trees based on HgcA sequences retrieved in consortia. Blue: sequences
- from consortia obtained from biofilms in winter. Red: sequences from consortia obtained from
- biofilm in summer. Green: sequences from consortia obtained from winter sediments.

758 Blue: sequences from consortia obtained from biofilms in winter. Red: sequences from consortia obtained from biofilm in summer. Green: sequences from consortia obtained from winter sediments. 759 The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. The optimal tree is shown. 760 The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test 761 762 (500 replicates) are shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary 763 distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the 764 number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 171 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions 765 766 included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1038 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary 767 analyses were conducted in MEGA X (Felsenstein 1985; Saitou and Nei 1987; Tamura et al. 2004; 768 769 Kumar et al. 2018). 770

- **Figure 3**: Heat map of the microbial composition of consortia. B: Biofilm, S: Sediment. C:
 - 772 Crotonate, P, Propionate, SA: sulphate and acetate, SL: sulphate and lactate, SP: sulphate and
 - propionate. C1: winter samples, C2: summer samples. Consortia in red are consortia used for
 - isolation attempts and stars represents the consortia whose isolation has given results (pure
 - 775 strains).
 - **Supplementary data**: Phylogenetic tree based on 16S rDNA from this study and study fromGentes 2017 and 2020 (Clones and strains).

778 The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. The optimal tree is shown. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test 779 780 (500 replicates) are shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary 781 distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the 782 783 number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 171 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions 784 included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1038 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary 785 786 analyses were conducted in MEGA X (Felsenstein 1985; Saitou and Nei 1987; Tamura et al. 2004; 787 Kumar et al. 2018).



Figure 1



