

## Implications of dispersal in Atlantic salmon: lessons from a demo-genetic agent-based model

Amaïa Lamarins, Floren Hugon, Cyril Piou, Julien Papaïx, Etienne Prévost,

Stephanie M. Carlson, Mathieu Buoro

### ▶ To cite this version:

Amaïa Lamarins, Floren Hugon, Cyril Piou, Julien Papaïx, Etienne Prévost, et al.. Implications of dispersal in Atlantic salmon: lessons from a demo-genetic agent-based model. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 2022, 79 (12), pp.2025-2042. 10.1139/cjfas-2021-0342 . hal-03810521

## HAL Id: hal-03810521 https://univ-pau.hal.science/hal-03810521

Submitted on 11 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

ord.	1	Implications of dispersal in Atlantic salmon: lessons from a demo-genetic agent-based
of reco	2	model
version	3	
fficial v	4	Amaïa Lamarins <sup>1,*</sup> , Florèn Hugon <sup>2</sup> , Cyril Piou <sup>3</sup> , Julien Papaïx <sup>4</sup> , Etienne Prévost <sup>1</sup> , Stephanie
final o	5	M. Carlson <sup>5</sup> , Mathieu Buoro <sup>1</sup>
om the	6	
/22 liffer fr	7	<sup>1</sup> Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, INRAE, ECOBIOP, Saint-Pée-sur-
n 07/29, t may d	8	Nivelle, France
RAE or ition. I	9	<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de Leurs Applications de Pau, UMR 5142,
by INF compos	10	CNRS/UPPA/E2S UPPA, Anglet, France
l page c	11	<sup>3</sup> CIRAD, UMR CBGP, Montpellier, France
iencepu ing and	12	<sup>4</sup> INRAE, BioSP, Avignon, France
n cdnsc py editi	13	<sup>5</sup> Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California-
ed from ar to coj	14	Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA
vnloade ipt pric	15	
ci. Dov lanuscr	16	*Corresponding author; e-mail: amaia.lamarins@inrae.fr
quat. S pted m	17	
Fish. A he acce	18	ORCID IDs
an. J. I ript is t	19	Lamarins: 0000-0002-6639-9283
C	20	Hugon: 0000-0002-3021-9834
st-IN n	21	Piou: 0000-0002-9378-9404
This Ju	22	Papaïx: 0000-0003-2273-5334
only.	23	Prévost : NA
nal use	24	Carlson: 0000-0003-3055-6483
r perso	25	Buoro: 0000-0001-7053-3767

### 26 Abstract

Despite growing evidence of spatial dispersal and gene flow between salmonid populations, 27 28 the implications of connectivity for adaptation, conservation, and management are still poorly 29 appreciated. Here, we explore the influence of a gradient of dispersal rates on portfolio strength 30 and eco-evolutionary dynamics in a simulated population network of Atlantic salmon (Salmo 31 salar) by extending a demo-genetic agent-based model to a spatially explicit framework. Our 32 model results highlight a non-linear relationship between dispersal rates and the stability of the metapopulation, resulting in an optimal portfolio effect for dispersal rates around 20%. At local 33 34 population scale, we also demonstrate phenotypic changes induced by density-dependent effects modulated by dispersal, and a dispersal-induced increase in genetic diversity. We 35 conclude that it is critical to account for complex interactions between dispersal and eco-36 37 evolutionary processes and discuss future avenues of research that could be addressed by such 38 modeling approaches to more fully appreciate responses of Atlantic salmon to environmental changes and investigate management actions accordingly. 39

40

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

41 Keywords: Atlantic salmon, demo-genetic agent-based model, dispersal, eco-evolutionary
42 dynamics, metapopulation, portfolio effect, synchrony

### 1. INTRODUCTION

52

51

Rapid environmental changes and associated selection pressures are affecting the adaptive 53 54 capacity and persistence of many species globally (Ceballos et al. 2015). Consequently, there 55 is considerable interest in understanding species responses to current and predicted global changes (Urban et al. 2016). This ambitious goal requires not only a consideration of the 56 57 different processes and mechanisms facilitating species adaptation, persistence, and stability but also their interactions. Ideally such processes and interactions would be studied in a single, 58 59 integrative framework and the field of eco-evolutionary dynamics provides such a context (Hendry 2017). Ultimately, this framework should guide management and conservation 60 practices to maintain adaptive capacity and persistence of natural resources.

61

62

The main eco-evolutionary processes underlying species adaptation and responses to 63 environmental changes include phenotypic plasticity (or acclimatization) and genetic 64 adaptation of traits in response to natural selection (Reed et al. 2011). These processes shape a 65 great diversity of life-history traits that can be phenotypic (e.g., growth, behavior) or 66 phenological (e.g., reproduction timing). These life-history traits are not only influenced by 67 environmental and genetic factors, but also by complex interactions between individuals (e.g., 68 69 sexual selection) and demographic processes such as density-dependence effects. Accounting 70 for relevant eco-evolutionary processes (e.g., sexual selection) and their underlying 71 mechanisms (e.g., genetic architecture and transmission) in empirical and theoretical 72 approaches is necessary to fully appreciate populations dynamics and responses to 73 environmental changes.

74

Dispersal or the movement of individuals from their natal population to a different breeding 75 76 population, is ubiquitous in nature and is also a process that promotes species responses to 77 environmental change by spreading the risk of reproductive failure (Ronce 2007, Buoro and 78 Carlson 2014). There is growing appreciation – from both theory and empirical studies - of the 79 causes and consequences of dispersal (Clobert et al. 2009, 2012). For example, dispersal and 80 gene flow among interconnected populations can induce genotypic and demographic 81 consequences on recipient populations (Cayuela et al. 2018). This may prevent the extirpation of local populations via rescue effects (see Carlson et al. 2014 for review) but also lead to "anti-82 83 rescue effects" (Harding and McNamara 2002) and reduce metapopulation diversity through the homogenizing effect of dispersal (Paradis et al. 1999, Lenormand 2002). Within a 84 metapopulation context (Hanski 1998), the connectivity among populations is critical because 85 86 it has consequences for the dynamics of local populations and the whole metapopulation. Thus, we would expect eco-evolutionary dynamics of a metapopulation to differ from that of a single 87 88 population taken in isolation, highlighting the need to consider eco-evolutionary processes, 89 feedback loops, and the spatial structure of populations within a single, coherent framework.

More generally, the resilience of ecological systems, such as a metapopulation, relies on the 91 92 connectivity and the diversity of responses of its components (Elmqvist et al. 2003, Webster et 93 al. 2017). Indeed, there is growing recognition that a diverse network of populations can 94 promote overall stability of population complexes and resource flows (e.g., fishery yields, 95 Schindler et al. 2010). Ecological portfolio theory emphasizes the importance of biocomplexity, or life history diversity within and among populations (Hilborn et al. 2003, 96 97 Abbott et al. 2017), as well as asynchronous dynamics among populations as factors 98 contributing to stability of population complexes (Schindler et al. 2010, 2015). The portfolio 99 effect has been studied extensively in imperiled and exploited salmonid species, especially for

Pacific salmonids (e.g., Moore et al. 2010, Carlson and Satterthwaite 2011, Anderson et al.
2015). However, the potential influence of dispersal among salmon populations on portfolio
strength has received less attention (but see Yeakel et al. 2018).

103

104 Dispersal rates are often considered low in salmonids (Schtickzelle and Quinn 2007, Birnie-Gauvin et al. 2019), even though identification of dispersers (or "strayers") and dispersal rates 105 106 remain difficult to assess in wild populations. For instance, Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) is well known for its great diversity of life-history strategies linked to phenotypic plasticity 107 108 (Mangel and Satterthwaite 2016, Erkinaro et al. 2019) and genetic basis of life history traits 109 (e.g., age at maturation, Barson et al. 2015, Lepais et al. 2017), but it is also commonly presented as a highly philopatric species (Salmenkova 2017). Yet, evidence of dispersal 110 111 behavior and gene flow have been reported between several populations of Atlantic salmon (e.g., Consuegra et al. 2005, see Keefer and Caudill 2014 for review), allowing recolonization 112 and expansion (Makhrov et al. 2005, Perrier et al. 2010, Pess et al. 2014). However, few studies 113 have estimated dispersal rates between wild populations of Atlantic salmon (but see Jonsson et 114 al. 1991, 2003, Consuegra et al. 2005, Jonsson and Jonsson 2017), and even fewer discuss or 115 assess the potential consequences of metapopulation functioning on conservation and 116 management of Atlantic salmon populations (but see Castellani et al. 2015, Bowlby and Gibson 117 2020). As suggested by Schtickzelle and Quinn (2007), future work should strategically 118 119 consider salmon dynamics from a metapopulation perspective.

120

Modeling approaches can overcome the difficulties associated with examining dispersal consequences in nature. Among them, classical metapopulation theory, demographic, patch occupancy models (Hanski 1998, 1999, Sutherland et al. 2014; Bowlby and Gibson 2020 for salmonids), as well as evolutionary analytical models (Berdahl et al. 2015, Yeakel et al. 2018),

125 have advanced general concepts in metapopulation functioning and persistence. Mechanistic 126 eco-evolutionary models such as Demo-Genetic Agent-Based Models (DG-ABMs, also called 127 Eco-Genetic ABMs) provide a complementary and flexible approach for simulating the 128 complexity of a species life cycle (DeAngelis and Grimm 2014, Stillman et al. 2015) and 129 assessing the demo-genetic consequences of dispersal in a unified framework. By integrating 130 variation and interactions between individuals, as well as explicit genetic basis of traits and 131 their transmission, this approach allows life-history traits to evolve in interaction with demographic effects in response to environmental and anthropogenic pressures (Dunlop et al. 132 133 2009, Johnston et al. 2019). Recently, several generic metapopulation DG-ABMs have emerged such as Nemo (Guillaume and Rougemont 2006), SimAdapt (Rebaudo et al. 2013), 134 135 RangeShifter (Bocedi et al. 2014, 2021) or CDMetaPOP (Landguth et al. 2017). Several 136 specific DG-ABMs represent the complex life cycle of salmonid species (e.g., Thériault et al. 2008, Ayllón et al. 2016), but only two studies incorporate dispersal between populations to 137 138 our knowledge. Landguth et al. (2017) simulate a network of non-native Eastern Brook Trout 139 (Salvelinus fontinalis) populations and evaluate efficiency of fish removal management 140 strategies taking into account dispersal between patches. Lin et al. (2017) extended a single population model of sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) to two populations to assess the 141 142 consequences of dispersal on local adaptation and demography. We build on this general approach, but with a focus on Atlantic salmon, to explore dispersal implications within a 143 realistic network of populations and an eco-evolutionary framework. 144

145

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by INRAE on 07/29/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

Our ultimate goal is to shed light on the potential implications of dispersal on the portfolio effect and eco-evolutionary dynamics in Atlantic salmon. To do so, we extended a demogenetic agent-based model of Atlantic salmon (IBASAM, Piou and Prévost 2012) to a metapopulation context. The original IBASAM model was developed to evaluate eco150 evolutionary responses to climate change and selective exploitation (Piou and Prévost 2013, 151 Piou et al. 2015). It includes most of the knowledge available today on the eco-evolutionary 152 processes and mechanisms of this species. However, IBASAM was designed to mimic a single 153 population with complete philopatry, so we incorporated a dispersal process to simulate a 154 realistic network of fifteen Atlantic salmon populations (Bouchard et al. 2022). By doing so, 155 our model allows an investigation of the consequences of dispersal on local populations and 156 network dynamics at the demographic, phenotypic, and genotypic levels. In this article, we first describe the main features of the model. Second, we test a gradient of dispersal rates and 157 158 examine consequences for network stability, synchrony, local extinction risk, and life-history 159 traits. Finally, we highlight pending questions that could be addressed with a more explicit 160 consideration of dispersal, including basic studies related to eco-evolutionary dynamics of 161 Atlantic salmon metapopulations and practical management questions relevant to connected 162 populations of this exploited species.

# 164 2. MODEL DESCRIPTION: MetaIBASAM, A SPATIALLY STRUCTURED 165 VERSION OF IBASAM

166

The model presented here is a simple extension of the Individual-Based Atlantic Salmon Model 167 (IBASAM) proposed by Piou and Prévost (2012) incorporating a dispersal process, which we 168 169 call "MetaIBASAM". There are several existing modeling frameworks that would allow 170 exploration of metapopulation dynamics of salmonids; we highlight the most relevant to our work in Table 1, including their key strengths, and summarize our rationale for extending 171 172 IBASAM here. In particular, we sought a mechanistic model with potential for eco-173 evolutionary feedback loops (which excluded strictly demographic and analytical models; e.g., Bowlby and Gibson 2020, Yeakel et al. 2018), integrating dispersal (which excluded demo-174 175 genetic models based on single population; e.g., IBASAM), and simulating the complexity and 176 specificity of the Atlantic salmon life cycle (which excluded more generic and specific 177 metapopulation demo-genetic models; e.g., Nemo, RangeShifter and models from Landguth et al. 2017 and Lin et al. 2017). For all of these reasons, we moved forward expanding the 178 179 IBASAM model to the metapopulation scale.

180

MetaIBASAM aims to simulate a network of interconnected Atlantic salmon populations and to explore the consequences of dispersal at demographic, phenotypic, and genotypic levels in a unique and coherent framework. This simulation tool is a demo-genetic agent-based model representing explicitly the life cycle of the species, individual life histories from birth to death, reproduction, and transmission of individual traits to successive generations. The full description of IBASAM is available from earlier studies (Piou and Prévost 2012, 2013, Piou et al. 2015), but we present the key features, model improvements (i.e., growth potential heritability and growth-survival trade-off) and the main addition to MetaIBASAM: thedispersal process.

190

191

192

193

2.1. Key features of IBASAM

2.1.1. Modeling the life cycle of Atlantic salmon at the individual scale

194 IBASAM aims to mimic the Atlantic salmon life cycle. Atlantic salmon is an anadromous 195 species with a freshwater phase where reproduction (in winter) and development of juveniles 196 (in spring) occur, and an ocean phase where anadromous fish migrate and grow. During 197 summer, juveniles face two alternative tactic decisions: maturing in freshwater (precocious 198 maturation, males only reproducing the next winter), or migrating to the sea the following 199 spring (as "smolt"). Those who do not mature in freshwater or migrate to the sea in their first 200 spring can stay one more year in freshwater before maturing or migrating to the ocean. 201 Individuals that migrate to the ocean join a common growth area (Olmos et al. 2019) where 202 they can mature after only one year at sea ("one sea- winter" or 1SW) or stay in the ocean for multiple years ("multiple sea-winter" or MSW) before returning, most of the time, to their natal 203 204 river to reproduce. Atlantic salmon are iteroparous but most of them die following their first 205 breeding season (low degree of iteroparity, Bordeleau et al. 2020). Salmonids are considered emblematic of species with philopatric behavior (Salmenkova 2017), but dispersal occurs 206 207 regularly (in Atlantic salmon, Jonsson et al. 2003, Consuegra et al. 2005).

Within the model framework, each individual is described and followed during its complete life cycle. Individuals are characterized by 44 variables including, e.g., sex, age, size, location, state of migration/maturation, among others. Processes such as growth or survival occur at the daily scale, but individual features (e.g., size) are monitored only at the end of two seasons (winter and summer). A set of traits are genetically determined and can be transmitted to their 223

215

offspring, including maturation tactics and growth capacity, using a bi-allelic multilocus
system (see section 2.1.3.).

2.1.2. Density and environment effects on life-history traits

In the model, life-history traits of individuals are influenced both by density-dependent and density-independent processes (Fig. 1). For instance, survival from the egg stage to emergence and growth of juveniles in freshwater are impacted by water temperature and stream flow (Baum et al. 2005, Jonsson et al. 2005), but also by negative density-dependence effects (Imre et al. 2005). The seaward migration decision ("smoltification") is based on a probabilistic sizedependent reaction norm (Buoro et al. 2010). Marine conditions also affect individual lifehistory traits through growth and size-dependent survival at sea.

2.1.3. Heritable traits and selective pressures

224 Life-history traits can also evolve in response to selective pressures in IBASAM. Maturation 225 decision in river and sea has been implemented using the environmental threshold model (Piché 226 et al. 2008, Lepais et al. 2017). The maturation decision is based on a comparison between the 227 individual value of the threshold (genetically determined) and the individual energetic reserves 228 (growth-related and environmentally determined, Fig. 1). The maturation thresholds (varying 229 between river and sea, as well as male and female) can thus evolve under natural selection, 230 which then influences the age at maturation in the population (precocious males vs. time at 231 sea). These traits are supported by a genetic architecture which is a combination of the quantitative genetics framework and the Mendelian inheritance system. Specifically, the 232 233 phenotype expression of the traits above results from the additive effect of its genetic and 234 environmental components based on heritability and the genetic value (so-called breeding 235 value) controlled by a bi-allelic multilocus genotype with a variable number of loci.

241

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by INRAE on 07/29/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

Sexual selection is known as an important selective pressure in fish (Kodric-Brown 1990). In IBASAM, there is an advantage in reproductive success for larger females (higher fecundity and access to anadromous males), and a sexual selection for large anadromous males by females (Fleming 1996, 1998). Though a fraction of the maturing parr can also reproduce based on observations of "sneaker" behavior (Fleming 1996), there is no sexual selection by females.

### 2.2. Model improvements: a genetic basis of growth and a growth – survival trade-off

242 While growth depends mainly on environmental conditions encountered by individuals, we 243 introduced a genetic basis for the growth potential parameter as suggested by Gjerde et al. (1994). However, preliminary analysis showed that including a genetic basis to growth 244 245 potential led to its evolution toward higher values, resulting in larger individuals over time (see 246 Supporting information 1). Indeed, because sea survival and reproductive success depend 247 positively on size and growth (Piou and Prévost 2012), natural and sexual selection tend to 248 favor larger individuals with higher growth potential. To limit this directional selection and 249 represent mortality costs of rapid growth as reported in experimental studies (Bochdansky et 250 al. 2005, Biro et al. 2006), we implemented a growth-survival trade-off in river (Fig. 1, 251 Supporting information 1; survival at sea is size-dependent). Combined with the size-252 dependent survival at sea and reproductive success, the growth-survival trade-off induces a 253 stabilizing selection for growth and size and an optimal fitness value of growth potential (Fig. 254 2 A), ensuring stable size distributions in a neutral context (without selection, Supporting 255 information 1).

#### 2.3. Dispersal modeling: extending IBASAM into a metapopulation context

257

256

258 MetaIBASAM considers the connectivity between populations by implementing a dispersal 259 process, i.e., adults can disperse toward other rivers of the system during their breeding 260 migration. While there is growing interest in understanding factors influencing salmon 261 dispersal (see Keefer and Caudill 2014 for review, and Westley et al. 2015 for a discussion of 262 collective behavior), quantitative estimations of dispersal are rare and the underlying 263 mechanisms remain poorly understood. In line with models proposed by Landguth et al. (2017) 264 and Lin et al. (2017), we assume that (1) philopatry is constant over space and time, (2) 265 dispersal is not phenotypically and genotypically determined. However, in our model the 266 choice of recipient population is based on a dispersal kernel that depends on distance from the natal river and the attractiveness of the recipient population (see Nathan et al. 2012 for review). 267 268

At the time of adult riverward migration, dispersing individuals are randomly selected from their population of origin *j* with a probability to disperse  $P_j$ , independently from their individual characteristics (Equation 1). The probability  $P_j$  is function of a constant philopatry rate (noted *h*), i.e., without variation between populations, fixed at initialization. Then, for the subset of dispersing individuals, the recipient population *j* ' is determined by sampling into a multinomial distribution of parameter  $p_{j,j}$ ', which is the probability to disperse from the population *j* to the population *j*'.

$$P_{j} = 1 - h_{j} = \sum_{j'=1, j \neq j'}^{n} p_{j,j'}$$
(1)

276

277 With  $P_j$  the total dispersal rate of the population *j* and *h* the philopatry rate.

This matrix of dispersal probabilities *p* represents the connectivity between populations and is derived from a dispersal kernel (Fig. 2 B). Here, we assume that dispersal probability  $p_{j,j}$ . between two populations *j* and *j*' is a function of the distance between their estuaries,  $D_{j,j}$ . We use the Laplace distribution, a leptokurtic distribution commonly used for fish (Pépino et al. 282 2012), which maximizes the connectivity between close populations while still allowing some 283 flow of individuals between distant rivers (long-distance dispersal, Equation 2). Because the 284 attractiveness of rivers for anadromous salmonids can vary as a function of the population size, 285 likely because of chemical attraction to congeners, collective behavior, and/or the influence of 286 river discharge (Jonsson et al. 2003, Berdahl et al. 2016; see Keefer and Caudill 2014 for 287 review), we weigh the distance kernel by a parameter  $g_{i'}$ , the relative size of the destination 288 population with other populations, to represent its attractiveness (Equation 3). The larger the 289 populations, the more attractive they are to dispersing individuals.

$$p_{j,j'}(D_{j,j'}, b) = g_{j'} \times \frac{1}{2b} \times exp^{(-\frac{D_{j,j'}}{b})}$$
(2)

290

with 
$$g_{j'} = \frac{\log 10 (A_{j'})}{\sum_{A_1}^{A_{npop}} \log 10 (A_{j'})}$$
 (3)

291

With *b* the mean dispersal distance in the metapopulation and  $A_{j'}$  the production area of juveniles of river *j*', considered as a proxy of population size.

Altogether, the dispersal kernel assumes that a given migrant fish will tend to disperse to the nearest population from its natal river but this will be moderated by the "attractiveness" (i.e., the relative population size) of nearby rivers (Fig. 2 B). Even with a constant dispersal rate over space and time, the spatial structure of the population network, the demography of local populations, and the dispersal kernel lead to various immigration rates between populations.

2.4. Model parameterization and outputs

300

301 MetaIBASAM consists of a set of IBASAM sessions - with one session simulating one 302 population - running in parallel and exchanging information about the dispersers (e.g., 303 phenotypic, genetic values, and genotypes). Similar to IBASAM, MetaIBASAM was 304 parameterized in a pattern-oriented modeling framework (Grimm et al. 2005) using values 305 extracted from the literature and empirical studies (see Piou and Prévost 2012, and Supporting 306 information 2), and adjusted using a long-term monitoring program of the salmon population 307 in the Scorff River (Brittany, France). We adjusted the parameters of the growth-survival tradeoff (see Supporting information 1 and 2) to ensure that abundances and size at different life 308 309 stages are within the range of observed values on the Scorff River. All other parameters of each 310 population are kept identical to the IBASAM version (Piou and Prévost 2012), except for survival rates at different life stages and a temperature-survival related parameter (dr) that have 311 312 been adjusted (Supporting information 2) to updated environmental conditions (see section 313 3.1). The parameter b of dispersal kernel was adjusted to limit dispersal under 50km for at least 80% of dispersers individuals, as suggested by Jonsson et al. (2003) and Keefer and Caudill 314 (2014). Daily water temperature, water discharge, marine growth conditions, and exploitation 315 316 are the main environmental and anthropogenic factors affecting individuals in the model (Fig. 317 1).

318

IBASAM is coded in C++ language, and an R package named MetaIBASAM has been developed (https://github.com/Ibasam/MetaIBASAM). Each IBASAM can be parametrized by users to represent a unique population with its own demographic (area, distance), phenotypic, genetic (initial distribution), environmental (water temperature, discharge), and anthropogenic (exploitation rate) characteristics. One simulation of MetaIBASAM returns all information on individuals (e.g., unique ID, population of origin, current population, body size, genetic values) and nests (e.g., ID of parents, number of eggs) two times per year for each population.

 $1^{\prime}$ 

326

329

330

331

# 327 3. APPLICATION: CONSEQUENCES OF A GRADIENT OF DISPERSAL RATES 328 ON LOCAL POPULATIONS AND METAPOPULATION DYNAMICS

3.1. Scenario and parameterization

332 To investigate the consequences of dispersal on Atlantic salmon metapopulation dynamics and persistence, we ran the model for a gradient of dispersal rates P from 0% to 50%, corresponding 333 334 to a large range of variation encompassing rates of straying that have been reported in the wild (e.g., Consuegra et al. 2005; see Keefer and Caudill 2014 for review). The spatial structure of 335 the network in the model is inspired by the salmon populations of Brittany (France; Bouchard 336 337 et al. 2022, see also Supporting information 2), for which one population (Scorff) was used to 338 calibrate IBASAM, and gene flow among populations was demonstrated (Perrier et al. 2011). The network is composed of fifteen major populations (small coastal rivers) of different river 339 340 sizes and distances between each pair. We gathered the area of juvenile production of each 341 population (population area  $A_i$ ), measured annually by the angling club federations, and the distance between their estuaries (Supporting information 2). Note that the objective was not to 342 343 accurately mimic each population but to define a realistic spatial configuration and diversity of 344 demographic characteristics to explore eco-evolutionary questions. Beyond population size and 345 distance between pairs of populations, all other parameters are the same for all populations (e.g., environmental conditions, trait distributions at initialization, etc.) for all dispersal 346 347 scenarios. In doing so, we can isolate the effect of dispersal on portfolio strength from the 348 effects of biocomplexity and diversity of population responses.

349

350 We simulated a daily time series of water temperature and water discharge for each river, with 351 the same regime (average and amplitude) but no spatial covariation to focus on the role of 352 dispersal and spatial structure in populations dynamics. The different models and data used to 353 simulate these series, as well as the parameters estimated, are detailed in Supporting 354 information 3. The daily effects of marine growth conditions on the fish are drawn from a 355 normal distribution of similar mean and standard deviation between the populations. 356 Exploitation rates (7% and 15% for 1SW and MSW individuals respectively, Lebot et al. 2022) were kept identical across populations. 357

358

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by INRAE on 07/29/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

359 Simulations were initialized for each population using a random draw of individuals corresponding to 25% of rivers production area  $A_i$  only to limit the computing time without 360 361 loss of generality. Phenotypic and genetic values were sampled using the same distributions 362 implemented in IBASAM (see Piou and Prévost 2012). Since generation time for this species is approximately 2.5 years in French populations, we simulated each dispersal scenario and 363 364 population network over 50 years, which is sufficient time to detect any changes in the 365 population dynamics and evolution of life-history traits. For each dispersal scenario, we 366 simulated 100 replicates with a 10-year burn-in period. We ran the simulation using R version 3.6.3 and the package MetaIbasam version 0.0.6. Code and R scripts are freely available at 367 368 https://github.com/Ibasam/Portfolio.

369

370

371

3.2. Simulations outcomes analysis

3.2.1. Network spatial structure

372

373 In our application set up, each population was independently "stable", i.e., was not growing or 374 declining. Thus, while source-sink populations are commonly determined based on

388

389

390

391

demography (growth rate) and immigrants rates, we classified each population as sink, neutral,
or source based on the ratio of incoming individuals (immigrants) to outgoing individuals
(emigrants) in the sea-adult returns. We considered populations with a ratio above one as *sinks*,
those with a ratio below one as *sources*, whereas the *neutral* populations have a ratio near one.

Regardless of the fixed dispersal rate, source-sink dynamics within a network of fifteen 380 381 populations emerged from the model due to asymmetric dispersal that was driven by differences in population size and spatial structure (Fig. 3 A and B). For example, larger 382 383 populations generated more emigrants, and more isolated populations received less immigrants but "sent" the same proportion of emigrants. The ratio between immigrants and emigrants was 384 highly variable between populations, as well as the proportion of immigrants, which ranged 385 386 from 4% to 27% for dispersal rates of 10%. But the source-sink dynamics among populations 387 within the network remained similar between dispersal scenarios or over time (Fig. 3 A).

3.2.2. Demographic consequences of dispersal: population network stability, synchrony, and persistence

392 For each dispersal scenario and simulation, we measured the stability and diversity of the 393 network using the portfolio effect and synchrony metrics. The portfolio effect (hereafter PE) is 394 a metric measuring the stabilizing effect of population diversity on metapopulation dynamics 395 (Schindler et al. 2010), by comparing the measured metapopulation variance over the time 396 series to the theoretical variance expected if the metapopulation was considered as a unique 397 population. To do so, we used the mean-variance method from the R package ecofolio 398 (Anderson et al. 2013). Using this approach, if this ratio equals 1, it means that the network is 399 as stable as expected if it was a single population. If the ratio exceeds 1, it suggests evidence of a portfolio effect. For example, a ratio of 1.25 means that the variance of the metapopulation
dynamic is reduced by 25% as compared to a scenario where the metapopulation acts as a
single population, and so on.

403

The synchrony index  $\phi_x$ , reflecting the degree of population synchrony in their demographic evolution over time, was measured as the ratio between the detrended network variance  $\sigma_x^2$  and the squared sum of populations detrended standard deviation  $\sigma_{xi}$  (adapted from Loreau and de Mazancourt 2008, Equation 4). This index is expressed between 0 (asynchrony) and 1 (synchrony).

$$\varphi_x = \frac{\sigma_x^2}{(\sum_i \sigma_{x_i})^2} \tag{4}$$

For each dispersal scenario and simulation, a population's stability was measured through the coefficient of variation of adult returns number over the 40 last years. A population viability analysis was also performed for each scenario. In particular, we evaluated the quasi-extinction risk for each population as the proportion of simulations where the abundance was at least two consecutive years below an "at-risk" threshold (defined as 5% of the population carrying capacity *Rmax*, adjusted in Piou and Prévost 2012, Supporting information 2).

415

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by INRAE on 07/29/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

Our analyses revealed a non-linear relationship between dispersal and stability of the population network, resulting in an optimal portfolio effect for dispersal rates around 20%, i.e., 80% of a population returning to its natal site (Fig. 4 A). With strict philopatry (i.e., no dispersal), the PE was on average above 1, meaning that the population network was more stable than expected if it was the sum of the local populations. Indeed, the dynamics of all populations were stable and asynchronous ( $\phi \sim 0.1$ ; Fig. 4 B). Between dispersal rates of 0% and 10-20%, the PE was increasing, suggesting a stabilizing effect of low dispersal rates
followed by a gradual decline in the PE with dispersal rates above 20%. This declining trend
of network stability with high dispersal rates can be explained by the synchronizing effect of
dispersal, which counter-balances its initial positive effect. Indeed, we found that the synchrony
of population dynamics was increasing linearly with dispersal (+70% from 0 to 50% of
dispersal, Fig. 4 B).

428

At the local population level, dispersal tended to decrease the coefficient of variation of the abundance of adult returns, suggesting a stabilizing effect of dispersal on population dynamics (Fig. 4 C). Dispersal rates also reduced the extinction risk of small and sink populations, whereas the extinction risk of other populations appeared insensitive to dispersal (Fig. 4 D). Altogether, these results suggest a demographic rescue of populations by dispersal, especially for those behaving as sink populations.

435

436

437

### 3.2.3. Intra- and interpopulation phenotypic and genotypic diversity

438 Our results showed that dispersal per se can induce phenotypic diversity between populations. 439 Indeed, we observed a slight decrease of median juvenile and adult size with dispersal for sink populations and an increase in source populations, which might have consequences on life-440 441 history tactics illustrated by shifts in the age at sea maturation (Fig. 5, A, B, D). Note that we 442 assessed phenotypic changes on philopatric individuals only, to test the consequences of 443 dispersal on each population's trait distribution without the direct influence of immigrants. As 444 immigrants do not differ from philopatric adults in terms of size and growth potential at 445 initialization, this unexpected result could be explained by density-dependent effects on river 446 growth. Indeed, dispersal increased juveniles density in sink populations (due to higher

immigration than emigration) and decreased it in source ones (Supporting information 4),
affecting juvenile growth and adult life history traits as a consequence. This effect was less
visible when considering phenotypic traits of all individuals (philopatric adults and
immigrants) of populations (Supporting information 5).

452 As expected due to similar genetic distribution parameters at initialization between 453 populations, no interpopulation genotypic diversity emerged with dispersal (Fig. 5 C). 454 However, dispersal rates rapidly increased intrapopulation genetic variance in sink populations 455 (Fig. 6).

456

451

### 457 4. DISCUSSION

458

459 We aimed to draw attention to the consequences of dispersal on the portfolio effect and ecoevolutionary dynamics of Atlantic salmon. To do so, we extended a demo-genetic agent-based 460 461 model (IBASAM, Piou and Prévost 2012) into a metapopulation framework by integrating a 462 dispersal process to simulate a set of fifteen interconnected populations of Atlantic salmon (MetaIBASAM). MetaIBASAM is freely available and can be modified to mimic various 463 464 networks of Atlantic salmon populations and their demo-genetic characteristics. Although 465 similar models exist (see Table 1), whether generic or applied to salmonid species, our model 466 was designed to reproduce the life cycle of Atlantic salmon by integrating the knowledge 467 available on this species. Moreover, it has been calibrated on one of the populations 468 constituting the network of populations in Brittany. In particular, we used a simple but realistic 469 spatial network to explore the influence of dispersal on stability and rescue effects. Our model 470 induced a source-sink dynamic, though all populations showed stable dynamics. Despite this 471 parsimonious design (populations only differ in their size and distance between each pair), we 472 showed that dispersal rates from 10% to 50% can have significant consequences on persistence 473 (at both local and metapopulation levels), stability, phenotypic and genetic features. Below we 474 expand on these results and also highlight the potential of demo-genetic ABMs (such as 475 MetaIBASAM focused on Atlantic salmon) to identify knowledge gaps and investigate 476 dispersal, adaptive capacity, and responses of metapopulations to environmental change and 477 management practices.

478

481

# 479 Evidence of a non-linear relationship between dispersal and portfolio effect: stabilizing 480 vs synchronizing effects of dispersal

482 Our study emphasizes a non-linear relationship between dispersal rates and the stability of the 483 metapopulation, resulting in an optimal portfolio effect for dispersal rates around 20% with the 484 particular parameterization of our model. Using a network of two populations, Yeakel et al. (2018) also found non-linear effects of dispersal on metapopulation robustness (PE) and 485 486 identified two optimums (strongest PE, called alternative stable state regimes in their paper) at 487 levels of dispersal below 10% and 30% of the population respectively. Interestingly, the optimal dispersal rate that we report is consistent with the few empirical studies reporting 488 489 dispersal rates in Atlantic salmon (Jonsson et al. 2003, Jonsson and Jonsson 1991, 2017, Keefer 490 and Caudill 2014). However, it is important to note that it might depend on the adjustment of 491 the dispersal kernel parameter and reported rates in the literature are highly variable and likely 492 biased (e.g., site/year dependence, low sample size, hatchery influence; Jonsson et al. 2003, 493 Consuegra et al. 2005, Jonsson and Jonsson 2017, Keefer and Caudill 2014). When the 494 dispersal rate was below 20% in our model, dispersal had a stabilizing effect on population 495 dynamics and fostered the demographic rescue of small, sink populations by increasing 496 population size and/or limiting demographic stochasticity. Using a theoretical two-patch

508

497 metapopulation model, Hill et al. (2002) also demonstrated that dispersal rates between 0% and 498 20% increased the time before extinction two-to four-fold, and a recent Population Viability 499 Analysis revealed the benefit of population connectivity for persistence of diadromous fishes 500 more generally under high productivity scenarios (Bowlby and Gibson 2020). However, we 501 found that the positive effects of dispersal on metapopulation stability are overcome by 502 increased synchronization of population dynamics with higher dispersal rates ( $\geq 20\%$ ), which 503 could increase the metapopulation risk of collapse (Carlson and Satterthwaite 2011). The "antirescue" effects (Harding and McNamara 2002) associated with higher dispersal rates have been 504 505 demonstrated both theoretically and empirically on patterns of synchrony (Paradis et al. 1999, Yeakel et al. 2018), but also on genetic homogenization (Tinnert and Forsman 2017), and 506 507 reduced local adaptation (Schiffers et al. 2013).

509 The parsimonious configuration we simulated, using phenotypically and genetically homogeneous populations, did not allow us to fully consider the homogenizing effect of 510 511 dispersal. But introducing more variability between populations in their demographic dynamics 512 (e.g., unstable trends) or in their phenotypic and genotypic composition would open up new perspectives to better address the portfolio effect. However, we found that intrapopulation 513 514 genetic variance was enhanced in sink populations receiving immigrants from nearby 515 populations, potentially increasing adaptive capacity (Jump et al. 2009, Seaborn et al. 2021). 516 In our study, populations did not show inbreeding depression, but one can assume that dispersal 517 might reduce it through genetic rescue. More surprisingly, interpopulation phenotypic diversity 518 also emerged from the dispersal process. Indeed, the asymmetric flows between source and 519 sink populations within the network induced phenotypic changes via density-dependent effects 520 on the growth of individuals rearing in the river, ultimately influencing their life-history 521 strategies (e.g., age at maturation) through phenotypic plasticity. Altogether, we show that there

may be a dispersal optimum at the metapopulation level that favors its stability (i.e., portfolio effect), and selection should favor local dispersal rates (within populations) that tend towards this metapopulation optimum. Thus, metapopulation optimum value would depend on species characteristics (e.g., propensity to disperse), spatial configuration (e.g., distance between populations), but also local population features (e.g., population size, density, environmental conditions, attractiveness, local adaptation, costs of migration, etc.).

528

#### 529 Model significance, limits, and perspectives

530

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by INRAE on 07/29/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

Like any modeling study, our results are influenced by the model structure (functional 531 relationships, parameterization, etc.) and hypotheses retained in MetaIBASAM. However, our 532 533 study showcases the utility of agent-based models as virtual laboratories for exploring the 534 possible consequences of poorly understood processes and mechanisms on eco-evolutionary 535 dynamics, in particular dispersal which is difficult to study in the wild. Here, we used 536 MetaIBASAM to demonstrate the implications of dispersal on the persistence and dynamics of 537 a network of Atlantic salmon populations. Although it was not our intention to represent the dynamics of a particular Atlantic salmon metapopulation, the network modelled here was 538 539 realistic both in its complex spatial scale and structure. This provided a unique source-sink 540 metapopulation with a diversity of local dynamics, showing variable consequences of dispersal 541 on local populations depending on their spatial distribution and demographic features. 542 Additionally, by its integrative nature, our modeling approach took into account all eco-543 evolutionary processes involved and allowed the emergence of non-trivial patterns because of 544 complex interactions between these processes. Overall, our approach emphasizes the importance of assessing the demographic, phenotypic, and genetic consequences of dispersal 545 546 in metapopulations in a single framework.

547

However, despite the model complexity, some processes are still represented in an overly 548 549 simplified manner, especially the causes of dispersal. Like other models focused on salmonids 550 (see Table 1), we simulated dispersal as a random individual process, with a probability of 551 dispersing that was constant in space and time, and limited to a fixed expansion range. Yet, it 552 has been shown in several species and also suggested for salmonids that dispersal depends on 553 individuals traits (e.g., sex-biased dispersal, Li and Kokko 2019, genetic basis, Saastamoinen et al. 2018) or populations characteristics (e.g., density-dependent dispersal, Berdahl et al. 554 555 2016). These features might modify the intensity and direction of flow of individuals and the consequences of our dispersal scenarios, because dispersal could evolve towards dispersal rates 556 which optimize the portfolio effect. For example, Berdahl et al. (2015) tested a model including 557 558 joint evolution of dispersal and local adaptation and showed that dispersal should evolve 559 towards lower values in the context of heterogeneous populations environments. Additionally, 560 we did not represent explicitly the consequences (e.g., costs) of dispersal, such as additional mortality or reduced reproductive success (Mobley et al. 2019). However, this is limited by the 561 lack of knowledge on processes and mechanisms of dispersal in salmonids (but see Jonsson et 562 563 al. 2003 for Atlantic salmon and Bett et al. 2017 for Pacific salmon). Thus, there is considerable 564 room for improvement in our model, and below we highlight some potential research avenues and ideas that could be addressed by MetaIBASAM and any other demo-genetic ABM of 565 salmonid metapopulation, based on burgeoning theoretical and empirical research on causes 566 567 and implications of dispersal.

568

569 1/ We need more studies to understand dispersal mechanisms and their consequences on eco570 evolutionary dynamics of metapopulations.

571 Along with additional work estimating dispersal rates between wild populations, empirical studies focusing on individual and population factors influencing dispersal are needed to better 572 573 appreciate the causes and consequences of dispersal in salmonids. Increasing attention focuses 574 on the three distinct phases of dispersal (emigration, transfer, and settlement, Bonte et al. 2012), 575 and theoretical models are starting to explicitly include phases of dispersal since it may strongly 576 influence patterns of dispersal (e.g., Travis et al. 2012, Bocedi et al. 2014). However, 577 identification of these three phases and knowledge of the underlying mechanisms are still limited in salmonids. By integrating these mechanisms (e.g., condition and density-578 579 dependence, genetic basis) in our model, we could expect dispersal rates to vary in space (between populations) and time. This could sharpen our results on the relationship between 580 dispersal and metapopulation stability. 581

582

# 583 2/ We need to evaluate how diversity between and within populations may shape an adaptation 584 network fostering response to environmental changes.

585 Our study focused on the consequences of dispersal scenarios to shed light on the influence of 586 connectivity alone on eco-evolutionary processes. However, adaptation network theory (Webster et al. 2017, Fig. 7) states that the resilience of ecological systems, such as 587 metapopulation, relies on the connectivity as well as response diversity of its components 588 589 (Elmqvist et al. 2003). Recent theoretical studies of coral reefs have emphasized that population 590 diversity is beneficial for metapopulation persistence and stability in the context of a changing 591 and uncertain climate (Walsworth et al. 2019, McManus et al. 2021a). Moreover, among 592 population variability in thermal tolerance (e.g., Eliason et al. 2011, McKenzie et al. 2021) 593 could increase species resistance to climate change especially if associated with dispersal 594 (fostering evolutionary rescue). Evaluating the adaptation network theory in this context should

595 provide information on the ability of metapopulations with variable degrees of diversity to 596 persist under various projected climate scenarios (Fig. 7).

597

# 598 3/ We need to assess the interplay between diversity and dispersal by considering spatial 599 structure and local adaptation.

600 Dispersal and diversity are key processes influencing metapopulation functioning and 601 persistence and previous studies have highlighted the importance of potential interactions between the two (Berdahl et al. 2015, Fig. 7). For example, considerable research has focused 602 603 on gene flow impeding local adaptation (Moore et al. 2013). The strength of gene flow may 604 vary with the intensity of dispersal (Garant et al. 2007) and the reproductive success of 605 immigrants (Mobley et al. 2019). Interestingly, several recent theoretical studies focused on 606 this interplay between diversity and dispersal (e.g., Tomasini and Peischl 2020, McManus et 607 al. 2021b) but often on two patch population models or metapopulations with constant spatial structure (e.g., Tomasini and Peischl 2020). Another line of work has recently identified the 608 609 spatial configuration of the metapopulation as a major component of metapopulation 610 demographic and evolutionary dynamics (Papaïx et al. 2013, Bonte and Bafort 2019), suggesting a need to explore the consequences of the interplay between diversity and dispersal 611 612 under different spatial configurations. It is very likely that different spatial structures and 613 patterns of local adaptation in Atlantic salmon metapopulations may lead to different 614 evolutionary and population dynamics given the amplitude and characteristics of immigrants 615 (e.g., adapted populations can become maladapted and vice versa).

616

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by INRAE on 07/29/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

617 4/ We need to consider the implications of spatially structured populations for management.

618 Our results highlight the importance of interpreting the dynamics of local populations and 619 defining management strategies by considering the potential connectivity between populations. 620 Prior work has warned about the danger of ignoring spatial structure and connectivity of 621 populations (Cooper and Mangel 1999), but few studies have compared different management 622 strategies while also considering the spatial structure of populations (but see Tufto and Hindar 623 2003, Moore et al. 2021). While mixed-stock fisheries explicitly consider variation in 624 productivity among harvested stocks, rarely is connectivity considered. Our preliminary results 625 suggest that any alteration in a source population could affect demography and phenotypic 626 traits of surrounding populations. Moreover, selective exploitation within spatially structured populations could drive complex evolutionary trajectories in the whole network - whether local 627 628 populations are exploited or not - because selective exploitation can induce evolution of life 629 history traits (Piou et al. 2015, Ayllón et al. 2018).

#### 631 Conclusions

630

632 In summary, we have introduced a metapopulation version of IBASAM, MetaIBASAM, a demo-genetic agent based model of Atlantic salmon populations, which we believe provides a 633 634 useful and flexible framework to fill knowledge gaps about the role of dispersal in Atlantic 635 salmon metapopulation eco-evolutionary dynamics and portfolio strength. We highlight the importance of dispersal for metapopulation stability and evolutionary pathways. Additionally, 636 637 we discuss ideas for future directions using the model to explore responses of interconnected 638 Atlantic salmon populations to environmental change and spatially structured management. 639 Finally, we advocate for a management of populations within the adaptation network 640 framework (Webster et al. 2017, Fig. 7) because maintaining diversity and evolutionary options 641 within a network of populations is a critical step for fostering species persistence and stability 642 in the face of environmental change (Walsworth et al. 2019).

643

### 644 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

645 We want to thank the Bretagne Grands Migrateurs association and angling clubs for allowing 646 us to access to Atlantic salmon datasets from Brittany. We also wish to thank Guillaume Thirel 647 for providing us with the air temperature and river flow data, as well as Marie Nevoux, Emanuel 648 Fronhofer, Charlotte Récapet, and Philip Crowley for helpful discussions on this work. Finally, 649 we thank Jacques Labonne for reviewing an earlier version of this manuscript and two 650 anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful feedback and suggestions that greatly improved this 651 manuscript.

652

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by INRAE on 07/29/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record.

#### 653 **COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT**

The authors declare there are no competing interests. 654

655

#### 656 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS STATEMENT**

657 AL: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, writing (original draft, review and editing), MB: conceptualization, methodology, writing (review and editing), SMC: 658 659 conceptualization, writing (review and editing), FH: methodology, CP: methodology, JP: methodology, EP: methodology. 660

661

#### **FUNDING STATEMENT** 662

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the Region Nouvelle Aquitaine, E2S-UPPA, and 663 664 OFB Pôle Migrateur. This work was conducted within the International Associated Laboratory 665 MacLife.

666

#### 667 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

Modelling code and R scripts are freely available at https://github.com/Ibasam/Portfolio. Adult 668 669 abundance and size data from the Scorff population used for model calibration have been

- 670 obtained via the ORE-DiaPFC observatory and can be accessible on <u>https://github.com/ORE-</u>
  - 671 <u>DiaPFC/Abondance/tree/master/Scorff</u>, and on demand, respectively.

## 672 **REFERENCES**

Abbott, R. E., D. F. Doak, and M. L. Peterson. 2017. Portfolio effects, climate change, and the
persistence of small populations: analyses on the rare plant Saussurea weberi. Ecology
98:1071–1081.

Anderson, S. C., A. B. Cooper, and N. K. Dulvy. 2013. Ecological prophets: quantifying
metapopulation portfolio effects. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4:971–981.

Anderson, S. C., J. W. Moore, M. M. McClure, N. K. Dulvy, and A. B. Cooper. 2015. Portfolio
conservation of metapopulations under climate change. Ecological Applications 25:559–572.

- Ayllón, D., S. F. Railsback, A. Almodóvar, G. G. Nicola, S. Vincenzi, B. Elvira, and V. Grimm.
  2018. Eco-evolutionary responses to recreational fishing under different harvest regulations.
  Ecology and Evolution 8:9600–9613.
- Ayllón, D., S. F. Railsback, S. Vincenzi, J. Groeneveld, A. Almodóvar, and V. Grimm. 2016.
  InSTREAM-Gen: Modelling eco-evolutionary dynamics of trout populations under anthropogenic environmental change. Ecological Modelling 326:36–53.
- Barson, N. J., T. Aykanat, K. Hindar, M. Baranski, G. H. Bolstad, P. Fiske, C. Jacq, A. J.
  Jensen, S. E. Johnston, S. Karlsson, M. Kent, T. Moen, E. Niemelä, T. Nome, T. F. Næsje, P.
  Orell, A. Romakkaniemi, H. Sægrov, K. Urdal, J. Erkinaro, S. Lien, and C. R. Primmer. 2015.
  Sex-dependent dominance at a single locus maintains variation in age at maturity in salmon.
  Nature 528:405–408.
- Baum, D., R. Laughton, J. D. Armstrong, and N. B. Metcalfe. 2005. The effect of temperature
  on growth and early maturation in a wild population of Atlantic salmon parr. Journal of Fish
  Biology 67:1370–1380.
- Berdahl, A., C. J. Torney, E. Schertzer, and S. A. Levin. 2015. On the evolutionary interplay
  between dispersal and local adaptation in heterogeneous environments. Evolution 69:1390–
  1405.
- Berdahl, A., P. A. H. Westley, S. A. Levin, I. D. Couzin, and T. P. Quinn. 2016. A collective
  navigation hypothesis for homeward migration in anadromous salmonids. Fish and Fisheries
  17:525–542.
- Bett, N. N., S. G. Hinch, N. J. Burnett, M. R. Donaldson, and S. M. Naman. 2017. Causes and
  Consequences of Straying into Small Populations of Pacific Salmon. Fisheries 42:220–230.
- Birnie-Gauvin, K., E. B. Thorstad, and K. Aarestrup. 2019. Overlooked aspects of the Salmo
  salar and Salmo trutta lifecycles. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 29:749–766.
- Biro, P. A., M. V. Abrahams, J. R. Post, and E. A. Parkinson. 2006. Behavioural trade-offs
  between growth and mortality explain evolution of submaximal growth rates. Journal of
  Animal Ecology 75:1165–1171.
- Bocedi, G., S. C. F. Palmer, A.-K. Malchow, D. Zurell, K. Watts, and J. M. J. Travis. 2021.
  RangeShifter 2.0: an extended and enhanced platform for modelling spatial eco-evolutionary
  dynamics and species' responses to environmental changes. Ecography 44:1453–1462.
- Bocedi, G., S. C. F. Palmer, G. Pe'er, R. K. Heikkinen, Y. G. Matsinos, K. Watts, and J. M. J.
  Travis. 2014. RangeShifter: a platform for modelling spatial eco-evolutionary dynamics and
- 712 species' responses to environmental changes. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 5:388–396.
- Bochdansky, A. B., P. Grønkjær, T. P. Herra, and W. C. Leggett. 2005. Experimental evidence
  for selection against fish larvae with high metabolic rates in a food limited environment. Marine
  Biology 147:1413–1417.
- Bonte, D., and Q. Bafort. 2019. The importance and adaptive value of life-history evolution for
  metapopulation dynamics. The Journal of Animal Ecology 88:24–34.
- 718 Bonte, D., H. V. Dyck, J. M. Bullock, A. Coulon, M. Delgado, M. Gibbs, V. Lehouck, E.
- 719 Matthysen, K. Mustin, M. Saastamoinen, N. Schtickzelle, V. M. Stevens, S. Vandewoestijne, 720 M. Barnette, K. Barter, T. C. Barter, A. C. Barter, A. C. Barter, A. C. Barter, A. Stevens, S. Vandewoestijne,
- 720 M. Baguette, K. Barton, T. G. Benton, A. Chaput-Bardy, J. Clobert, C. Dytham, T. Hovestadt,

- C. M. Meier, S. C. F. Palmer, C. Turlure, and J. M. J. Travis. 2012. Costs of dispersal.
  Biological Reviews 87:290–312.
- 723 Bordeleau, X., S. A. Pardo, G. Chaput, J. April, B. Dempson, M. Robertson, A. Levy, R. Jones,
- J. A. Hutchings, F. G. Whoriskey, and G. T. Crossin. 2020. Spatio-temporal trends in the importance of iteroparity across Atlantic salmon populations of the northwest Atlantic. ICES Journal of Marine Science 77:326–344.
- Bouchard, C., M. Buoro, C. Lebot, and S. M. Carlson. 2022. Synchrony in population dynamics
  of juvenile Atlantic salmon: analyzing spatiotemporal variation and the influence of river flow
  and demography. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 79:782–794.
- Bowlby, H. D., and A. J. F. Gibson. 2020. Evaluating whether metapopulation structure
  benefits endangered diadromous fishes. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
  77:388–400.
- Bromaghin, J. F., R. M. Nielson, and J. J. Hard. 2011. A Model of Chinook Salmon Population
  Dynamics Incorporating Size-Selective Exploitation and Inheritance of Polygenic Correlated
  Traits. Natural Resource Modeling 24:1–47.
- Buoro, M., and S. M. Carlson. 2014. Life-history syndromes: Integrating dispersal through
  space and time. Ecology Letters 17:756–767.
- Buoro, M., E. Prévost, and O. Gimenez. 2010. Investigating evolutionary trade-offs in wild
  populations of atlantic salmon (salmo salar): incorporating detection probabilities and
  individual heterogeneity. Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution 64:2629–2642.
- 741 Carlson, S. M., C. J. Cunningham, and P. A. H. Westley. 2014. Evolutionary rescue in a 742 changing world. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 29:521–530.
- Carlson, S. M., and W. H. Satterthwaite. 2011. Weakened portfolio effect in a collapsed salmon
   population complex. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 68:1579–1589.
- 745 Castellani, M., M. Heino, J. Gilbey, H. Araki, T. Svåsand, and K. A. Glover. 2015. IBSEM:
  746 An Individual-Based Atlantic Salmon Population Model. PLOS ONE 10:e0138444.
- 747 Cayuela, H., Q. Rougemont, J. G. Prunier, J.-S. Moore, J. Clobert, A. Besnard, and L.
  748 Bernatchez. 2018. Demographic and genetic approaches to study dispersal in wild animal
  749 populations: A methodological review. Molecular Ecology 27:3976–4010.
- Ceballos, G., P. R. Ehrlich, A. D. Barnosky, A. García, R. M. Pringle, and T. M. Palmer. 2015.
  Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction.
  Science Advances 1:e1400253.
- Clobert, J., M. Baguette, T. G. Benton, and J. M. Bullock. 2012. Dispersal Ecology and
  Evolution. Oxford University Press.
- Clobert, J., J.-F. L. Galliard, J. Cote, S. Meylan, and M. Massot. 2009. Informed dispersal,
  heterogeneity in animal dispersal syndromes and the dynamics of spatially structured
  populations. Ecology Letters 12:197–209.
- Consuegra, S., E. Verspoor, D. Knox, and C. García de Leániz. 2005. Asymmetric gene flow
  and the evolutionary maintenance of genetic diversity in small, peripheral Atlantic salmon
  populations. Conservation Genetics 6:823–842.
- Cooper, A. B., and M. Mangel. 1999. The dangers of ignoring metapopulation structure for the
  conservation of salmonids. Fishery Bulletin National Oceanic and Atmospheric
  Administration 97:213–226.
- DeAngelis, D. L., and V. Grimm. 2014. Individual-based models in ecology after four decades.
  F1000Prime Reports 6:39.
- 766 Dunlop, E. S., M. Heino, and U. Dieckmann. 2009. Eco-genetic modeling of contemporary
- 767 life-history evolution. Ecological Applications: A Publication of the Ecological Society of
   768 America 19:1815–1834.

- 769 Eliason, E. J., T. D. Clark, M. J. Hague, L. M. Hanson, Z. S. Gallagher, K. M. Jeffries, M. K.
  - 770 Gale, D. A. Patterson, S. G. Hinch, and A. P. Farrell. 2011. Differences in Thermal Tolerance 771 Among Sockeye Salmon Populations. Science 332:109–112.
- 772 Elmqvist, T., C. Folke, M. Nyström, G. Peterson, J. Bengtsson, B. Walker, and J. Norberg. 773 2003. Response diversity, ecosystem change, and resilience. Frontiers in Ecology and the
- 774 Environment 1:488-494.
- 775 Erkinaro, J., \*, Y. Czorlich, \*, P. Orell, J. Kuusela, M. Falkegård, M. Länsman, H. Pulkkinen, 776 C. R. Primmer, and E. Niemelä. 2019. Life history variation across four decades in a diverse population complex of Atlantic salmon in a large subarctic river. Canadian Journal of Fisheries 777 778 and Aquatic Sciences 76:42-55.
- 779 Fleming, I. 1998. Pattern and variability in the breeding system of Atlantic salmon (Salmo 780 salar), with comparisons to other salmonids.
- Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by INRAE on 07/29/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record. 781 Fleming, I. A. 1996. Reproductive strategies of Atlantic salmon: ecology and evolution. 782 Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 6:379-416.
  - 783 Fullerton, A. H., S. T. Lindley, G. R. Pess, B. E. Feist, E. A. Steel, and P. McELHANY. 2011.
  - 784 Human Influence on the Spatial Structure of Threatened Pacific Salmon Metapopulations. 785 Conservation Biology 25:932–944.
  - 786 Garant, D., S. E. Forde, and A. P. Hendry. 2007. The multifarious effects of dispersal and gene 787 flow on contemporary adaptation. Functional Ecology 21:434–443.
  - 788 Gjerde, B., H. Simianer, and T. Refstie. 1994. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters 789 for body weight, growth rate and sexual maturity in Atlantic salmon. Livestock Production 790 Science 38:133-143.
  - 791 Grimm, V., E. Revilla, U. Berger, F. Jeltsch, W. M. Mooij, S. F. Railsback, H.-H. Thulke, J. 792 Weiner, T. Wiegand, and D. L. DeAngelis. 2005. Pattern-oriented modeling of agent-based 793 complex systems: lessons from ecology. Science (New York, N.Y.) 310:987-991.
  - 794 Guillaume, F., and J. Rougemont. 2006. Nemo: an evolutionary and population genetics 795 programming framework. Bioinformatics 22:2556–2557.
  - 796 Hanski, I. 1998. Metapopulation dynamics. Nature 396:41–49.
  - 797 Hanski, I. 1999. Metapopulation Ecology. OUP Oxford.
  - 798 Harding, K. C., and J. M. McNamara. 2002. A unifying framework for metapopulation 799 dynamics. The American Naturalist 160:173–185.
  - 800 Hendry, A. P. 2017. Eco-evolutionary Dynamics. Page Eco-evolutionary Dynamics. Princeton 801 University Press.
  - 802 Hilborn, R., T. P. Quinn, D. E. Schindler, and D. E. Rogers. 2003. Biocomplexity and fisheries 803 sustainability. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 100:6564-6568.
  - 804 Hill, M. F., A. Hastings, and L. W. Botsford. 2002. The Effects of Small Dispersal Rates on 805 Extinction Times in Structured Metapopulation Models. The American Naturalist 160:389– 806 402.
  - 807 Imre, I., J. W. A. Grant, and R. A. Cunjak. 2005. Density-Dependent Growth of Young-of-the-808 Year Atlantic Salmon Salmo salar in Catamaran Brook, New Brunswick. Journal of Animal 809 Ecology 74:508–516.
  - 810 Johnston, A. S. A., R. J. Boyd, J. W. Watson, A. Paul, L. C. Evans, E. L. Gardner, and V. L. 811 Boult. 2019. Predicting population responses to environmental change from individual-level 812 mechanisms: towards a standardized mechanistic approach. Proceedings of the Royal Society 813 B: Biological Sciences 286:20191916.

  - 814 Jonsson, B., and N. Jonsson. 2017. Maternal inheritance influences homing and growth of 815 hybrid offspring between wild and farmed Atlantic salmon. Aquaculture Environment
  - 816 Interactions 9:231–238.
  - Jonsson, B., N. Jonsson, and L. P. Hansen. 1991. Differences in life history and migratory 817 818 behaviour between wild and hatchery-reared Atlantic salmon in nature. Aquaculture 98:69-78.

- 819 Jonsson, B., N. Jonsson, and L. P. Hansen. 2003. Atlantic salmon straying from the River Imsa. 820 Journal of Fish Biology 62:641–657.
- 821 Jonsson, N., B. Jonsson, and L. P. Hansen. 2005. Does climate during embryonic development
- 822 influence parr growth and age of seaward migration in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar)? 823 Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 62:2502–2508.
- 824 Jump, A. S., R. Marchant, and J. Peñuelas. 2009. Environmental change and the option value 825 of genetic diversity. Trends in Plant Science 14:51–58.
- 826 Keefer, M. L., and C. C. Caudill. 2014. Homing and straying by anadromous salmonids: a 827 review of mechanisms and rates. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 24:333–368.
- 828 Kodric-Brown, A. 1990. Mechanisms of sexual selection: insights from fishes. Annales 829 Zoologici Fennici:15.
- Landguth, E. L., A. Bearlin, C. C. Day, and J. Dunham. 2017. CDMetaPOP: an individual-830 831 based, eco-evolutionary model for spatially explicit simulation of landscape demogenetics. 832 Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8:4–11.
- 833 Lebot, C., M. A. Arago, L. Beaulaton, G. Germis, M. Nevoux, E. Rivot, and E. Prévost. 2022.
- 834 Taking full advantage of the diverse assemblage of data at hand to produce time series of 835 abundance: a case study on Atlantic salmon populations of Brittany. Canadian Journal of 836 Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 79:533–547.
- 837 Lenormand, T. 2002. Gene flow and the limits to natural selection. Trends in Ecology & 838 Evolution 17:183–189.
- 839 Lepais, O., A. Manicki, S. Glise, M. Buoro, and A. Bardonnet. 2017. Genetic architecture of 840 threshold reaction norms for male alternative reproductive tactics in Atlantic salmon (Salmo 841 salar L.). Scientific Reports 7:43552.
- 842 Li, X.-Y., and H. Kokko. 2019. Sex-biased dispersal: a review of the theory. Biological 843 Reviews 94:721-736.
- 844 Lin, J. E., J. J. Hard, R. Hilborn, and L. Hauser. 2017. Modeling local adaptation and gene flow 845 in sockeye salmon. Ecosphere 8:e02039.
- 846 Loreau, M., and C. de Mazancourt. 2008. Species synchrony and its drivers: neutral and 847 nonneutral community dynamics in fluctuating environments. The American Naturalist 848 172:E48-66.
- 849 Makhrov, A. A., E. Verspoor, V. S. Artamonova, and M. O'Sullivan. 2005. Atlantic salmon 850 colonization of the Russian Arctic coast: pioneers from North America. Journal of Fish Biology 851 67:68-79.
- Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by INRAE on 07/29/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record. 852 Mangel, M., and W. H. Satterthwaite. 2016. Modeling Anadromous Salmonid Life-history:27. 853 McKenzie, D. J., Y. Zhang, E. J. Eliason, P. M. Schulte, G. Claireaux, F. R. Blasco, J. J. H. 854 Nati, and A. P. Farrell. 2021. Intraspecific variation in tolerance of warming in fishes. Journal 855 of Fish Biology 98:1536–1555.
  - McManus, L. C., D. L. Forrest, E. W. Tekwa, D. E. Schindler, M. A. Colton, M. M. Webster, 856 857 T. E. Essington, S. R. Palumbi, P. J. Mumby, and M. L. Pinsky. 2021a. Evolution and 858 connectivity influence the persistence and recovery of coral reefs under climate change in the 859 Caribbean, Southwest Pacific, and Coral Triangle. Global Change Biology 27:4307–4321.
  - 860 McManus, L. C., E. W. Tekwa, D. E. Schindler, T. E. Walsworth, M. A. Colton, M. M. 861 Webster, T. E. Essington, D. L. Forrest, S. R. Palumbi, P. J. Mumby, and M. L. Pinsky. 2021b. 862 Evolution reverses the effect of network structure on metapopulation persistence. Ecology 863 102:e03381.
  - Mobley, K. B., H. Granroth-Wilding, M. Ellmen, J.-P. Vähä, T. Aykanat, S. E. Johnston, P. 864 865 Orell, J. Erkinaro, and C. R. Primmer. 2019. Home ground advantage: Local Atlantic salmon 866 have higher reproductive fitness than dispersers in the wild. Science Advances 5:eaav1112.
  - 867 Moore, J. W., B. M. Connors, and E. E. Hodgson. 2021. Conservation risks and portfolio effects in mixed-stock fisheries. Fish and Fisheries 22:1024–1040. 868

- Moore, J. W., M. McClure, L. A. Rogers, and D. E. Schindler. 2010. Synchronization and
  portfolio performance of threatened salmon. Conservation Letters 3:340–348.
- Moore, J.-S., L. N. Harris, R. F. Tallman, and E. B. Taylor. 2013. The interplay between
  dispersal and gene flow in anadromous Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus): implications for
  potential for local adaptation. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 70:1327–
  1338.
- 875 Nathan, R., E. Klein, J. J. Robledo-Arnuncio, and E. Revilla. 2012. Dispersal kernels: review.
- 876 Page Dispersal Ecology and Evolution. J. Clobert, M. Baguette, T. G. Benton, and J. M.
  877 Bullock.
- 878 Olmos, M., F. Massiot-Granier, E. Prévost, G. Chaput, I. R. Bradbury, M. Nevoux, and E.
  879 Rivot. 2019. Evidence for spatial coherence in time trends of marine life history traits of
  880 Atlantic salmon in the North Atlantic. Fish and Fisheries 20:322–342.
- Papaïx, J., O. David, C. Lannou, and H. Monod. 2013. Dynamics of Adaptation in Spatially
  Heterogeneous Metapopulations. PLOS ONE 8:e54697.
- Paradis, E., S. R. Baillie, W. J. Sutherland, and R. D. Gregory. 1999. Dispersal and spatial scale
  affect synchrony in spatial population dynamics. Ecology Letters 2:114–120.
- Pépino, M., M. A. Rodríguez, and P. Magnan. 2012. Fish dispersal in fragmented landscapes:
  a modeling framework for quantifying the permeability of structural barriers. Ecological
  Applications 22:1435–1445.
- Perrier, C., G. Evanno, J. Belliard, R. Guyomard, and J.-L. Baglinière. 2010. Natural
  recolonization of the Seine River by Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) of multiple origins.
  Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 67:1–4.
- Perrier, C., R. Guyomard, J.-L. Bagliniere, and G. Evanno. 2011. Determinants of hierarchical
   genetic structure in Atlantic salmon populations: environmental factors vs. anthropogenic
   influences. Molecular Ecology 20:4231–4245.
- Pess, G. R., T. P. Quinn, S. R. Gephard, and R. Saunders. 2014. Re-colonization of Atlantic
  and Pacific rivers by anadromous fishes: linkages between life history and the benefits of
  barrier removal. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 24:881–900.
- Piché, J., J. A. Hutchings, and W. Blanchard. 2008. Genetic variation in threshold reaction
  norms for alternative reproductive tactics in male Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar. Proceedings of
  the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 275:1571–1575.
- Piou, C., and E. Prévost. 2012. A demo-genetic individual-based model for Atlantic salmon
  populations: Model structure, parameterization and sensitivity. Ecological Modelling 231:37–
  52.
- Piou, C., and E. Prévost. 2013. Contrasting effects of climate change in continental vs. oceanic
  environments on population persistence and microevolution of Atlantic salmon. Global Change
  Biology 19:711–723.
- Piou, C., M. H. Taylor, J. Papaix, and E. Prevost. 2015. Modelling the interactive effects of
  selective fishing and environmental change on Atlantic salmon demogenetics. Journal of
  Applied Ecology 52:1629–1637.
- Rebaudo, F., A. L. Rouzic, S. Dupas, J.-F. Silvain, M. Harry, and O. Dangles. 2013. SimAdapt:
  an individual-based genetic model for simulating landscape management impacts on
  populations. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4:595–600.
- 912 Reed, T. E., D. E. Schindler, and R. S. Waples. 2011. Interacting Effects of Phenotypic
  913 Plasticity and Evolution on Population Persistence in a Changing Climate. Conservation
  914 Biology 25:56–63.
- 915 Ronce, O. 2007. How Does It Feel to Be Like a Rolling Stone? Ten Questions About Dispersal
  916 Evolution. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 38:231–253.
- 917 Saastamoinen, M., G. Bocedi, J. Cote, D. Legrand, F. Guillaume, C. W. Wheat, E. A.
- 918 Fronhofer, C. Garcia, R. Henry, A. Husby, M. Baguette, D. Bonte, A. Coulon, H. Kokko, E.

- 919 Matthysen, K. Niitepõld, E. Nonaka, V. M. Stevens, J. M. J. Travis, K. Donohue, J. M. Bullock, 920 and M. del M. Delgado. 2018. Genetics of dispersal. Biological Reviews 93:574-599.
- 921 Salmenkova, E. A. 2017. Mechanisms of homing in salmonids. Biology Bulletin Reviews 922 7:287-298.
- 923 Schiffers, K., E. C. Bourne, S. Lavergne, W. Thuiller, and J. M. J. Travis. 2013. Limited 924 evolutionary rescue of locally adapted populations facing climate change. Philosophical 925 Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 368:20120083.
- 926 Schiffers, K. H., and J. M. J. Travis. 2014. ALADYN - a spatially explicit, allelic model for
- 927 simulating adaptive dynamics. Ecography 37:1288–1291.
- 928 Schindler, D. E., J. B. Armstrong, and T. E. Reed. 2015. The portfolio concept in ecology and 929 evolution. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 13:257–263.
- 930 Schindler, D. E., R. Hilborn, B. Chasco, C. P. Boatright, T. P. Quinn, L. A. Rogers, and M. S. 931 Webster. 2010. Population diversity and the portfolio effect in an exploited species. Nature 932 465:609-612.
- 933 Schtickzelle, N., and T. P. Quinn. 2007. A metapopulation perspective for salmon and other 934 anadromous fish. Fish and Fisheries 8:297–314.
- 935 Seaborn, T., D. Griffith, A. Kliskey, and C. C. Caudill. 2021. Building a bridge between 936 adaptive capacity and adaptive potential to understand responses to environmental change. 937 Global Change Biology 27:2656–2668.
- 938 Stillman, R. A., S. F. Railsback, J. Giske, U. Berger, and V. Grimm. 2015. Making Predictions 939 in a Changing World: The Benefits of Individual-Based Ecology. Bioscience 65:140-150.
- 940 Sutherland, C. S., D. A. Elston, and X. Lambin. 2014. A demographic, spatially explicit patch 941 occupancy model of metapopulation dynamics and persistence. Ecology 95:3149-3160.
- Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. Downloaded from cdnsciencepub.com by INRAE on 07/29/22 For personal use only. This Just-IN manuscript is the accepted manuscript prior to copy editing and page composition. It may differ from the final official version of record. 942 Thériault, V., E. S. Dunlop, U. Dieckmann, L. Bernatchez, and J. J. Dodson. 2008. The impact 943 of fishing-induced mortality on the evolution of alternative life-history tactics in brook charr. 944 Evolutionary Applications 1:409-423.
  - 945 Tinnert, J., and A. Forsman. 2017. The role of dispersal for genetic and phenotypic variation: 946 insights from comparisons of sympatric pygmy grasshoppers. Biological Journal of the 947 Linnean Society 122:84–97.
  - 948 Tomasini, M., and S. Peischl. 2020. When does gene flow facilitate evolutionary rescue? 949 Evolution 74:1640–1653.
  - 950 Travis, J. M. J., K. Mustin, K. A. Bartoń, T. G. Benton, J. Clobert, M. M. Delgado, C. Dytham, 951 T. Hovestadt, S. C. F. Palmer, H. V. Dyck, and D. Bonte. 2012. Modelling dispersal: an eco-952 evolutionary framework incorporating emigration, movement, settlement behaviour and the 953 multiple costs involved. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 3:628-641.
  - 954 Tufto, J., and K. Hindar. 2003. Effective size in management and conservation of subdivided 955 populations. Journal of Theoretical Biology 222:273-281.
    - 956 Urban, M. C., G. Bocedi, A. P. Hendry, J.-B. Mihoub, G. Pe'er, A. Singer, J. R. Bridle, L. G. 957 Crozier, L. D. Meester, W. Godsoe, A. Gonzalez, J. J. Hellmann, R. D. Holt, A. Huth, K. Johst,
    - 958 C. B. Krug, P. W. Leadley, S. C. F. Palmer, J. H. Pantel, A. Schmitz, P. A. Zollner, and J. M. 959 J. Travis. 2016. Improving the forecast for biodiversity under climate change. Science 353.
    - 960 Walsworth, T. E., D. E. Schindler, M. A. Colton, M. S. Webster, S. R. Palumbi, P. J. Mumby, 961 T. E. Essington, and M. L. Pinsky. 2019. Management for network diversity speeds
    - 962 evolutionary adaptation to climate change. Nature Climate Change 9:632-636.
    - 963 Webster, M. S., M. A. Colton, E. S. Darling, J. Armstrong, M. L. Pinsky, N. Knowlton, and D. 964 E. Schindler. 2017. Who Should Pick the Winners of Climate Change? Trends in Ecology & 965 Evolution 32:167–173.
    - 966 Westley, P. A. H., A. H. Dittman, E. J. Ward, and T. P. Quinn. 2015. Signals of climate, 967 conspecific density, and watershed features in patterns of homing and dispersal by Pacific 968 salmon. Ecology 96:2823-2833.

Yeakel, J. D., J. P. Gibert, T. Gross, P. A. H. Westley, and J. W. Moore. 2018. Eco-evolutionary
dynamics, density-dependent dispersal and collective behaviour: implications for salmon
metapopulation robustness. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological
Sciences 373:20170018.

Table 1: Comparison between MetaIBASAM and existing modeling frameworks to explore metapopulation dynamics of salmonids (generic models also included). SS=spatial structure; Em=emigration; Im=immigration.

References	Model type	Species	Dispersal/Connectivity	Eco-evolutionary dynamics	Key mechanistic processes
Bowlby and Gibson 2020, Fullerton et al. 2011	Population dynamics models, graph theory	Salmonids	-Explicit SS: multiple populations, size and distance -Em: fixed emigration rate -Im: distance dispersal kernel	No	No
Berdahl et al. 2015, Yeakel et al. 2018	Analytical model/adaptive dynamics, quantitative genetic model	Generic, Salmonids	-Not explicit SS/ two populations -Em: inherited probability/density- independent or dependent -Im: random destination	-Inherited ecological trait -Fitness: mismatch trait-site optimum (fixed)	No
Guillaume and Rougemont 2006, Rebaudo et al. 2013, Bocedi et al. 2014, Schiffers and Travis 2014, Landguth et al. 2017	Demo-genetic agent-based model of metapopulation (Nemo, SimAdapt, RangeShifter, ALADYN, CDMetaPOP)	Generic	-SS: grid landscape -Em: fixed emigration rate/density- dependent/individual trait -Im: random destination among nearest/distance dispersal kernel	-Multi locus system -Spatially explicit fitness for each genotype (fixed)	Simple life cycle
Landguth et al. 2017	Demo-genetic agent-based model of metapopulation (CDMetaPOP)	Brook trout	-SS: grid landscape -Em: fixed emigration rate -Im: distance dispersal kernel	-Multi locus system -Spatially explicit fitness for each genotype (fixed)	-Growth ~ temperature -Survival ~ patch fitness, density -Maturation ~ size -Sexual selection
Lin et al. 2017	Demo-genetic agent-based model of two populations (extension from Bromaghin et al. 2011)	Sockeye salmon	-SS: two populations -Em: fixed emigration rate	-Multivariate quantitative genetic model -Fitness: mismatch trait-site optimum (fixed)	-No growth: inherited length, age at maturation -Survival ~ density, phenotype -Sexual selection
MetaIBASAM	Demo-genetic agent-based model of metapopulation	Atlantic salmon	-SS: multiple populations, size and distance -Em: fixed emigration rate	-Bi-allelic multi locus system	-Growth ~ temperature, flow, density, growth potential

(extension from IBASAM)
Piou and Prévost 2012).

Piou and Prévost 2012).	and attractivity)	from fitness-related	flow, density, growth
		traits	potential, size
			-Maturation (precocious
			and at sea) ~ energetic
			reserves and genetic
			thresholds
			-Size dependent
			migration
			-Sexual selection

\_



2 Fig. 1: Conceptual framework of the MetaIBASAM model, adapted from Piou and Prévost 2012. Processes at individual levels are highlighted in grey, where the DNA icon indicates 3 4 heritable traits linked to these processes (maturation thresholds and growth potential). The dashed arrows represent the influence of both environmental and anthropogenic factors (in 5 6 blue), or the influence of state variables of individuals (in italics). New additions from 7 IBASAM, the dispersal process, growth potential heritability and growth-survival trade-off, 8 are identified in red. Each big rectangle represents a population, exchanging individuals with 9 neighboring populations via dispersal.



2 Fig. 2: Additions into MetaIBASAM from IBASAM. In A), theoretical optimal value of phenotypic growth potential (in log scale) based on mean individual Lifetime Recruitment 3 Success (measured as the mean egg number per female; see processes details in Supporting 4 5 information 1) with (fill) and without (dashed) the implemented growth-survival trade-off. In B), dispersal kernel representing the probability to disperse, for a total dispersal rate of 20%, 6 7 from one donor population to ten others populations as function of their distance (in km) and 8 their relative size. In circles and fill line, all populations present the same size; in triangles and 9 dashed line, the five first are three times smaller than the five last populations.

1

2

3

4



**Fig. 3:** A) In points, median over simulations of the ratio between immigrants and emigrants number of the 5 last years for each population and dispersal scenario. In red triangle, median over simulations of the proportion of immigrants of the 5 last years for each population at 10% of dispersal rate. B) Visualisation of the network emerging from MetaIBASAM simulations

with dispersal rates of 10%. Circles represent the populations (with the size function of the
median 5 last years population size, and color function of population type) and arrows the
emigration of individuals (with the width function of the median 5 last years emigrants number)
along the shorelines. The base map of Brittany shoreline comes from a French Government
open data base (https://www.data.gouv.fr) with a RGF93 map projection.



**Fig. 4**: Distribution (median, 95% confidence interval) over simulations of the detrended metapopulation PE (A) and synchrony (B) metrics for each scenario of dispersal. Median over simulations of local populations CV of detrended returns abundance (C) and quasi extinction risk (D) for each scenario of dispersal. Each point is a population, categorized by its type (sink/source/neutral) and a local regression is added to represent the evolution of population stability (C) and persistence (D) as a function of dispersal rates for each category of populations (sink/source/neutral).



Fig. 5: Median over simulations of populations last 5 years median smolt size (A), philopatric adult 1SW size (B), philopatric adult 1SW genotypic value of male sea maturation threshold (C), and proportion of 1SW in philopatric adult returns (D) for each scenario of dispersal. Each point is a population, categorized by its type (sink/source/neutral), and a local regression is added to represent a global trend as a function of dispersal rates and category of populations (sink/source/neutral).



Fig. 6: Median over simulations of populations last 5 years genetic variance (of philopatric 1SW genotypic growth potential), for each scenario of dispersal. Each point is a population, categorized by its type (sink/source/neutral), and a local regression is added to represent a global trend as a function of dispersal rates and category of populations (sink/source/neutral).



**Fig. 7**: Theoretical framework of the adaptation network theory. Both biocomplexity (e.g. trait diversity, populations synchrony) and dispersal can foster network stability through portfolio and rescue effects. The homogenizing effect of dispersal and divergent effect of local adaptation on populations can also induce negative feedbacks between biocomplexity and dispersal. Examples of promising future directions with MetaIBASAM are identified by asterisks. In particular, how various environmental conditions, climate change, and exploitation management affect network stability are questions that could be addressed in this framework.