

# Concomitant behavior of arsenic and selenium from the karst infillings materials of the fractured carbonate Dogger Aquifer (Hydrogeological Experimental Site, Poitiers, France)

R. Mhanna, A. Naveau, Maïté Bueno, M. Shmeit, F. Ismail, C. Fontaine, G.

Porel, J. Bassil, L. Caner

# ▶ To cite this version:

R. Mhanna, A. Naveau, Maïté Bueno, M. Shmeit, F. Ismail, et al.. Concomitant behavior of arsenic and selenium from the karst infillings materials of the fractured carbonate Dogger Aquifer (Hydrogeological Experimental Site, Poitiers, France). Chemosphere, 2021, 275, pp.129935. 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.129935. hal-03169005

# HAL Id: hal-03169005 https://univ-pau.hal.science/hal-03169005v1

Submitted on 10 Mar 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Concomitant behavior of arsenic and selenium from the karst infillings materials of the fractured carbonated Dogger aquifer (Hydrogeological Experimental Site, Poitiers, France)

4

5 6

12

# R. Mhanna<sup>1</sup>, A. Naveau<sup>1</sup>, M. Bueno<sup>2</sup>, M. Shmeit<sup>1</sup>, F. Ismail<sup>1</sup>, C. Fontaine<sup>1</sup>, G. Porel<sup>1</sup>, J. Bassil<sup>3</sup>, L. Caner<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université de Poitiers, UMR 7285 IC2MP-HydrASA, TSA 51106, 5 rue Albert Turpain, 86073
 Poitiers Cedex 9, France.

<sup>2</sup> CNRS/Univ. Pau & Pays de l'Adour/E2S UPPA, Institut des Sciences Analytiques et de Physico Chimie pour l'Environnement et les Matériaux (IPREM), UMR 5254, 64053 Pau, France

<sup>3</sup>L2GE, Lebanese University, Faculty of Sciences 2, Fanar, Matn, Lebanon

## 13 Abstract

Petrographic and mineralogical analyses combined with sequential extractions and leaching experiments as a function of pH were performed on black clayey sediments fulfilling karsts in the Hydrogeological Experimental Site (HES) of Poitiers (France) to investigate the behavior of arsenic and selenium in a fractured limestone aquifer.

- 18 Sequential extractions showed that arsenic is mainly associated with pyrite (about 35%) and secondary
- iron oxyhydroxides (around 13%), along with a substantial exchangeable fraction (about 13%). The
  soluble and associated to organic matter fractions are < to 2% and ~ 5% respectively. The distribution</li>
  of selenium is mainly pyritic (around 39%) or associated with organic matter (about 18%). Its
  association to secondary iron oxyhydroxides minerals is low (around 2%) whereas its soluble fraction is
  around 5%.
- SEM analyses revealed the presence of arsenic "hot spots" into euhedral pyrite crystals surrounded by a
  halo of iron oxyhydroxides resulting from their alteration both of which are enriched with arsenic.
  Selenium has a similar pyritic origin but after alteration, it is predominantly associated with organic
  matter.
- Despite different distributions, the leaching experiment as a function of pH showed that the mobilization of arsenic and selenium overlapped below pH 2 and above pH 8. The main differences are observed between pH 2 and pH 8 with a plateau at 5% of released selenium, whereas the amount of mobilized arsenic continuously decreases. The pH-dependence of both elements is attributed to the partial dissolution of pyrite in acidic conditions combined with desorption processes for higher pH values.
- 34
- 35
- 35 36
- 37

39

40

# **1** Introduction

Arsenic (As) and selenium (Se) are trace elements normally present in scarce amounts in water bodies. 41 However, their cumulative build-up can cause detrimental effects on human health, including several 42 types of cancer (Chen et al., 1992; Tamoto et al., 2015). As a result, the European Union established 43 standards at 10 µg/L in 1998 (European Union, 1998) for both elements as limits of consumption in drinking 44 water. Both elements exist as oxyanions and their speciation is controlled by different factors, such as 45 pH, redox conditions (Eh), water composition, and dissolved oxygen (DO) (Rosen and Liu, 2009). The 46 inorganic species are usually more harmful than the organic ones, and the most common forms found in 47 48 nature are arsenite (AsIII) and arsenate (AsV) for arsenic, and selenite (SeIV) and selenate (SeVI) for selenium (Smedley and Kinniburgh, 2002; Nakamaru and Altansuvd, 2014; Tabelin et al., 2017). 49

50 The mechanisms that control the mobility of these elements firstly depend on the solubility of the primary bearing-minerals, such as sulfides, arsenides, and selenides. The first steps of mobilization are 51 related to dissolution/precipitation processes and/or associated sorption/desorption reactions (Wilkin et 52 al., 2018). Geogenic sources of As and Se were reported in aquifers of many countries around the world 53 (Raessler, 2018; Wilkin et al., 2018). Common secondary phases in sediments are responsible for the 54 retention of both elements, such as carbonates, ox-hydroxides, organic matter, sulfides, and clay minerals. 55 56 Thus, to correctly assess the environmental behavior of the two elements, it is important to investigate their distribution and their geochemical associations in sediments as well as their potential mobility 57 58 under different environmental conditions.

Parallel and sequential extractions are simple and effective methods that provide insights into the 59 60 bioavailability, mobility, carrier phases, and release mechanisms of trace elements into water bodies 61 (Tessier et al., 1979). They have been extensively used to assess the various distribution of As and Se in 62 different solid constituents (Bassil et al., 2016; Javed et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2014; Martens and Suarez, 63 1997; Wenzel et al., 2001). Javed et al. (2014) showed that arsenic was mainly present in sulfide-64 bearing minerals in shale deposits and specifically sorbed on the mineral and organic phases. Likewise, 65 Kim et al. (2014) showed that arsenic was mainly retained by sulfide minerals and their weathering 66 products, including iron oxides, in the studied soils. On the other hand, Kulp and Pratt (2004) reported 67 that sulfide minerals, mainly pyrite, and organic compounds were the predominant carriers for selenium 68 in organic-rich chalks and shales. Similar results obtained by Matamoros-Veloza et al. (2011) revealed 69 that the primary hosts for Se in various shales in the world are pyrite and organic matter, but they considered that selenium had a higher affinity for pyrite at lower concentrations. 70

In addition to chemical extraction, the analyses of the pH effect on the leachability of As and Se
helps to better identify the carrier phases and their release mechanisms into the environment
(Jegadeesan et al., 2008; Tabelin et al., 2014; Tamoto et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018).

- Selective extractions and leaching experiments of previous studies in the Dogger aquifer highlighted the diversity of the selenium distribution into the continental sedimentary clay karst infilling material inducing multiple release mechanisms (Bassil et al., 2018, 2016a). The presence of soluble selenium in different wells of the HES was nevertheless mainly attributed to the relatively important easymobilizable Se fraction. The mobility of this fraction seemed to be mainly ruled by its association with the organic matter present in the argillaceous samples.
- 80 The groundwater from the Dogger aquifer of the Hydrogeological Experimental Site (HES) of Poitiers 81 exhibits soluble selenium concentrations ranging between 10 and 40  $\mu$ g/L in different wells whereas no 82 detectable presence of arsenic was quantified (Bassil et al., 2016a, 2018). These behaviors contrast with 83 the chemical composition of the associated sedimentary materials, which contain about fourfold higher 84 arsenic than selenium (Bassil et al., 2016a, 2018). Even though the karsts partially filled by clayey 85 sediment are discontinuous in the mid-Jurassic limestone, they represent an important rock-source of As 86 and Se at the regional scale for Dogger aquifer.
- 87 Many studies examined the leaching behaviour of arsenic and selenium concomitantly (Su and Wang, 88 2011; Tabelin et al., 2014; Wilkin et al., 2018b; Yang and He, 2016). However, studies with systematic comparisons between the geochemical behavior of As and Se are limited. In the present study, we 89 90 focused on comparing the distribution and behavior of the two elements in dark argillaceous sediments 91 found in karstic cavities of the mid-Jurassic aquifer. The primary objectives are: (1) performing 92 petrographic investigations using optical microscopy, scanning electron microscope coupled with energy dispersive X-ray (SEM-EDX), and X-ray diffraction; (2) evaluating the distribution of As and 93 Se using parallel and sequential extractions; (3) examining the effect of pH on their release; (4) 94 correlating the occurrences of As and Se as well as the coexisting ions and geochemical parameters. 95 Finally, all data have been statistically analyzed based on the null hypothesis i.e. by assuming that the 96 behaviors of arsenic and selenium are different. 97
- 98

# 99 **2** Materials and Methods

# 100 **2.1** Site description, sampling, and preparation

This study was carried out on the Dogger aquifer of the Hydrogeological Experimental Site (HES) of 101 102 Poitiers. The HES consists of a research platform of the University of Poitiers and belongs to the 103 SOERE H+ Network (http://hplus.ore.fr/) dedicated to long-term monitoring and understanding 104 groundwater flow and solute transfer in heterogeneous carbonate aquifer (Audouin et al., 2008; Le Coz 105 et al., 2017, Mari et al., 2020). The Dogger aquifer corresponds to carbonates of Middle Jurassic age of 106 about 100 m thick (~20 to ~130 m from ground level) with the presence of pervasive karstic caves and conduits (Mari et al., 2020) partially infilled by clayey sediments of various composition and color. The 107 108 studied samples were collected in 2012 through non-destructive drilling at a depth between 66 m and 71 m in a borehole called C5 that crosses the Dogger aquifer of the HES. The collected samples are clayey 109

110 sediments enriched in organic matter, pyrite, and selenium that fulfill a part of the karst cavities 111 developed within the Bajocian limestone host rocks, as described by Bassil et al. (2016b).

- 112 Extensive characterizations dated the selected samples from the Upper Cretaceous and showed the external and continental origin of the infilling materials and their transformation after deposition under 113 114 reducing conditions (Bassil et al., 2016b).
- 115

#### 2.2 116 **Instrumentation and Analysis**

#### 2.2.1 Characterization of the sedimentary sample 117

- The total elemental composition of the sample C5-BjF was determined by ICP-MS after LiBO<sub>2</sub> fusion 118 and acid dissolution in an accredited laboratory (CRPG-CNRS, Nancy, France - http://www.crpg.cnrs-119 120 nancy.fr/SARM/index.html), by CHNS analysis (Flash 2000 Thermo, IC2MP, France) for the organic C and total S, and by ICP-MS for total As and total Se (Agilent 7500ce, IPREM, France). 121
- 122 Powdered samples (infra 50 µm fraction) were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Phillips Panalytical X'Pert Pro apparatus in the same experimental conditions as described by Bassil et al. 123 (2016b). 124
- The phase identifications were made with the X'pert HighScore software, while the semi-125 quantifications were obtained by applying the RIR method (Chung, 1975; Hillier, 2000) to major peak 126 127 areas. The RIR values used for the identification are available in JCPDS Pdf2 mineralogical database. 128 Based on experimental peaks correction using corundum ( $\Box$ -Al2O3) as an external standard, this 129 method is simple and efficient when clay minerals do not have specific chemical compositions and show great variabilities of peak intensities due to structural disorder (Xiang et al., 2018), which is the 130 case for the kaolinite-rich studied samples. 131
- Diffractograms presented in Figure 1 were normalized to the peak intensity of kaolinite at 7.19 Å. 132
- Thin sections (26x46mm; 30 µm thickness) were prepared from undisturbed samples after impregnation 133 at room temperature and under atmospheric pressure with a two-component epoxy resin (Araldite 2020) 134 diluted with 20% acetone. The thin sections were observed using an optical polarized light microscope 135 136 (Nikon Eclipse E600 POL) and then using a scanning electron microscope JEOL 5600-LV [Low Vacuum] (IC2MP, UP, Poitiers) equipped with a secondary electron detector Everhart – Thornley and a 137 backscattered electron detector Centaurus (KE Development ic, Cambridge) with a Bruker energy 138 dispersive X-ray spectrometer for scanning electron microanalyses (SEMA). Additional pictures were 139 140 obtained using a scanning electron microscope Jeol JSM-7900F. The pictures were obtained at working 141 distances of 10 mm and an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Data processing was provided by the chemical analysis software QUANTAX. 142
- 143
- 144
- 145

#### 146 **2.2.2** Chemical extractions

- Protocol choices were based on a compromise between different classical extraction schemes developed
  for arsenic and selenium (Bassil et al., 2016a; Javed et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2016, 2014; Martens and
  Suarez, 1997; Wenzel et al., 2001; Zhang and Jr, 2003). A series of multiple parallel extractions (Table
  1) were therefore tested to develop a six-step extraction protocol that targets both arsenic and selenium
  phases. The protocol was thus applied in a sequential scheme with two different contact times, described in
  Table 2. In addition, the release of potentially interesting elements (Fe, Mn, Ca, Mg, Al, Si, TOC) was
  monitored to have an insight into the possible solid phase carriers.
- The sediment (C5-BjF) used in this study was preserved since 2012 at low temperature (-18°C) under an 154 argon atmosphere. For this protocol, the sample was freeze-dried, ground into a powder with agate 155 156 mortar, and then sieved at 200  $\mu$ m. Solid suspensions containing 600 mg of powdered solid and 20 ml 157 of the extraction solution were then prepared directly in centrifuge tubes to minimize the possible loss 158 between the different steps. Tubes were closed during the extraction period and agitated at a speed of 350 159 rpm. Suspensions were then centrifuged for 10 min at a speed of 14,000 rpm using Thermo Scientific 160 Heraeus biofuge stratos and then filtrated through a 0.45 µm Sartorius nylon membrane. The 161 equilibrium pH of the supernatant was measured by a pH meter Metrohm 827 immediately after separation. Recovered supernatants were stored at 4°C before further analysis. The next extraction 162 solution was added to the remaining residue of each step. Solid residues obtained at the end of the sequential 163 extractions scheme were oven-dried for 1 week at 105°C, ground with agate mortar, and stored for 164 165 mineralogical analyses. The residual fraction was calculated by subtracting the total released element 166 during extraction, from the total concentration. All the chemical reagents used were of analytical grade. 167 The used ultrapure water was obtained from the Milli-Q system (18.2 M $\Omega$ .cm<sup>-1</sup>, Elix, Millipore).
- 168

#### 169 2.2.3 Chemical Analyses

Quantifications of As and Se in the extracted solutions were performed by ICP-MS (Agilent 7500ce, 170 IPREM, France) with operating conditions as described by Bassil et al. (2016a) except the use of H<sub>2</sub>-He 171 mixture (3.5-1.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) for the octupole collision/reaction cell. The AAS (Varian AA24FS, IC2MP, 172 France) was used for the quantification of major elements and ICP-OES (Agilent Technologies 5110, 173 174 IC2MP, France) for Si and Al. Speciation of arsenic and selenium was performed by HPLC coupled to the same ICP-MS used for total As and Se measurements. The chromatographic system consisted of an 175 176 Agilent 1100 series HPLC pump, equipped with an autosampler and variable volume sample loop. The HPLC-ICPMS interface was made up of a polyetheretherketone (PEEK) tube. Chromatographic 177 178 separation was carried out on anion exchange stationary phase (Agilent G3154 column 15 cm × 4.6 mm i.d) with ammonium nitrate mobile phase (20 mmol  $L^{-1}$ , 2,5% methanol and pH 8.5 adjusted with 179 ammonia) delivered at 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate. Selected chromatography allowed the separation of 180 181 arsenite, arsenate, selenite, and selenate species.

#### 182 **2.2.4** Batch leaching experiments

- Batch leaching experiments were performed at ambient temperature in borosilicate flasks in which suspensions were prepared by mixing the sample C5-BjF with ultrapure water during a contact time of 1 week and under agitation with a reverse system at 30 rpm. The pH was adjusted at the beginning of the experiment by the addition of 1 M NaOH or HCl and the equilibrium pH of the supernatant was measured directly after separation.
- The preparation of the sedimentary sample, the SL ratio, the separation method, the storage conditions,and the quantifications of the leachates were carried out as described in part 2.2.2.
- 190

### 191 2.2.5 Statistical studies

The statistical analyses were performed using R studio. Correlation coefficients were calculated using Pearson's method with a confidence interval of 5%. Correlation tables related were performed with 6 reagents and 6 parameters for extraction experiments and 12 acid/base conditions and 6 or 9 parameters for leaching experiments.

# 196 **3** Results and discussion

# 197 **3.1** Chemical, mineralogical and petrographical characterizations

- The karst infilling material C5-BJF is composed of a clayey matrix (mainly kaolinite and smectite to a lesser extent) with traces of detrital materials such as quartz, feldspars, and titanium oxides as described by Bassil et al. (2018) for a similar sedimentary sample (C5-BjC). Calcite and pyrite contents are present at relatively low amounts and the amount of organic matter (5.7%) probably explains the dark color of the sample (Table 3 + Figure 2).
- The studied materials are composed of a brownish clayey matrix (FM for Fine Materials) containing many clasts (CM for Coarse Materials), heterogeneous in size and nature, and partially weathered by the organic matter in contact (Figure 2A). The matrix is also crossed by a dense network of subparallel cracks and coated by a black film attributed to organic matter and infilled by clayey material (Figure 2B and 2C). The infillings are polyphased (Figure 2C) and composed of a mixture of cream-white areas attributed to carbonates and orange/brown areas attributed to iron-oxy-hydroxides and are indicative periods of humectation/desiccation suffered by the deposit.
- 210 Scanning electronic microscopy mainly focused on the numerous pyrite crystals (bright areas due to the presence of iron) within the clayey matrix (Figure 3). Three main habitus were identified, as observed 211 212 by Bassil et al. (2016b), suggesting different mechanisms of formation. Euhedral pyrite (EP), generally formed by direct nucleation and growth in a supersaturated solution, is present locally as micrometric 213 crystals. Large framboidal pyrite (FP) is the main morphology encountered. It results from the 214 215 transformation of greigite Fe<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub> as a precursor without consensus regarding the involvement of bacterial 216 activity in their formation (Sawlowicz et al., 2005; Wilkin et al., 1996). An intermediate pyrite 217 morphology (IP) is also observed formed due to the progressive cementation of porosity during

diagenesis as shown by the overgrowth of microcrystals recrystallized into unfilled framboid and/or
euhedral pyrite crystals (Sawlowicz et al., 2005). The spots that appear in bright spots in Figure 3A are
associated with the presence of Fe and S (Figure 3B and 3D), which confirms the pyritic areas. In other
domains, the diffuse distribution of S is partially correlated with the diffuse distribution of calcium
(Figure 3F), indicative of the presence of gypsum (not detected on XRD patterns probably due to low
bulk concentration). The Ca-rich areas correspond to the calcite location. Likewise, the diffuse halos of
Fe around the pyritic crystals but uncorrelated with sulfur can be attributed to iron oxyhydroxides.

These observations could be explained by the oxidation of pyrite followed by the reprecipitation of secondary iron oxyhydroxides according to Equation 1 (Ritsema et al., 1992):

227 228

229

$$4 \operatorname{FeS}_2 + 15 \operatorname{O}_2 + 14 \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O} \leftrightarrow 4 \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{OH})_3 + 16 \operatorname{H}^+ + 8 \operatorname{SO}_4^{2-} [1]$$

The resulting local acidic medium (vicinity of oxidized pyrites) was neutralized by a reaction between the
released protons and the neighboring carbonates- predominantly calcite from the limestone host in the
studied case - according to Equation 2 (Ritsema et al., 1992):

$$CaCO_3 + H^+ \leftrightarrow Ca^{2+} + HCO_3^-$$
 [2]

The released calcium reacts with sulfate to form gypsum according to Equation 3 (Ritsema et al., 1992):

237

233

234

235

238 239

$$CaCO_3 + H^+ + SO_4^{2-} + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow CaSO_4, 2H_2O + HCO_3^- [3]$$

The diffuse distribution of Si and Al (Figure 3C and 3E), which is reverse to that of Fe and S is relatedto the abundance of clay minerals in the sediment.

Natural pyrite lattices are important hosts of minor and trace elements such as As, Se, Ni, Mo, Co, Cu, Zn, and Pb. The SEM observations and chemical analyses highlighted arsenic "hot spots" in pyrites (Figure S1). These "hot spots" were only observed in the euhedral pyrites whereas, no significant increase of arsenic content was detected in the framboidal pyrites, clay minerals, or carbonates. By contrast, pyrite associated Se was probably not detected owing to the lower bulk concentration of selenium (6.3 mg/kg) compared to that of arsenic (22.4 mg/kg), rendering the selenium content below the detection limit of the EDX detector.

As the euhedral pyritic crystals enriched in arsenic have large diameters, a formation by precipitation in the porosity of the sediment after deposition is assumed in accordance with Bassil et al. (2016b).

- 251
- 252
- 253

#### **3.2** Single parallel extractions (Table 4)

#### **3.2.1. Easily mobilized fractions of arsenic and selenium**

- Extractions with purified water, a sulfate solution, or a phosphate buffer are expected to only mobilize
  As or Se oxyanions that are water-soluble or weakly sorbed (outer-sphere complexation for ammonium
  sulfate and inner-sphere-complexation for phosphate buffer) onto the matrix surface (Javed et al., 2013;
  Wenzel et al., 2001).
- The corresponding fractions (soluble and exchangeable) are expected to represent the immediately available As and Se fractions in the HES groundwater. In the present study, about 5% of selenium is soluble (and no significant exchangeable fraction) whereas the easily mobilized As fraction is mainly exchangeable (around 20% against less than 2% for the soluble one).
- The speciation of selenium in the soluble fraction mainly consists of SeVI which is consistent with the species regularly quantified in several wells from the HES. The speciation of arsenic in the soluble fraction is a mixture between AsIII (around 60%) and "non-identified" As, while the arsenic in the specifically-sorbed fraction is exclusively AsV. In the collected groundwater samples from the HES, AsV is predominant even if high uncertainties are related to the quantifications by HPLC-ICP-MS of the soluble As fraction due to the low measured concentrations (less than 1  $\mu$ g/L).
- No significant mobilization of aluminum and iron was observed in these fractions supporting anionic
  exchange mechanisms. Nevertheless, significant amounts of calcium (and magnesium to a lesser extent)
  were quantified in the soluble fraction (nearly 2%), the non-specifically sorbed one (around 70%), and
  the specifically sorbed one (around 8%).
- The behaviors of arsenic and selenium do not seem to be linked to the dissolution of carbonates, although it is not excluded that calcite is an effective scavenger of trace elements including arsenic and selenium (Costagliola et al., 2013; Renard et al., 2015; Rios-Valenciana et al., 2020).
- 277

#### 278 **3.2.2.** Fraction of arsenic and selenium associated with carbonates

Selenium released concomitantly with the dissolution of carbonates (calcite, and dolomite to a lesser extent) is comparable to the soluble Se fraction observed in the previous paragraph and suggesting that no detectable selenium is trapped into the carbonated matrix. This observation is consistent with the results of Bassil et al.(2016a) performed with a similar sample (Table 3) and supports that the origin of selenium in the Dogger aquifer is related to the clayey karst infilling materials and not to the limestone host.

Arsenic fraction associated with carbonates (about 15%) seems to be included in the exchangeable As fraction (about 20%). This observation suggests that no detectable arsenic is present in the carbonates and also tends to show that acetate buffer through its carboxylate group favors the release of specifically sorbed arsenic, less efficiently than phosphate ions or as efficiently with a later reprecipitation or re-complexation of arsenic.

#### **3.2.3.** Co-precipitated fraction of arsenic (and selenium) with amorphous Fe oxyhydroxides

- Significant amounts of iron (II and III) were quantified in the studied sample, but only pyrite was
   detected by XRD measurements (Table 3). These observations could be explained by the presence of
   amorphous or poorly crystallized FeIII oxyhydroxides identified by SEM/EDX but hardly detected by
   XRD or by a low occurrence of crystallized Fe-containing minerals.
- In parallel, petrographic investigations show the presence of pyritic crystals altered into gypsum and iron-bearing minerals such as poorly crystallized oxides or oxyhydroxides (Figure 3). After weathering, arsenic initially associated with pyrite could have been coprecipitated with iron minerals or possibly reprecipitated as scorodite in the immediate vicinity (Kim et al., 2015).
- Ammonium oxalate buffer was used to enhance the dissolution of poorly crystallized iron-bearing minerals (Panias et al.,1996) according to the following sequence: (1) adsorption of the carboxylate group onto the surface, (2) non-reductive dissolution through direct desorption, and then (3) reductive dissolution of iron. During the reduction phase, visible light mediates electron transfer on the surface of ferric-oxalate complexes providing a supplementary pathway for the dissolution of oxides. Dark conditions were thus preferred to limit an over-estimation of released arsenic.
- Arsenic release associated with poorly crystallized iron oxyhydroxides is significantly higher (nearly 306 35%) than the amounts mobilized with the previous single extractants, supporting the assumption that 307 after pyrites weathering, the arsenic initially present in their structure could have coprecipitated with 308 secondary iron minerals or reprecipitated as scorodite (FeAsO<sub>4</sub>, 2H<sub>2</sub>O) in the immediate vicinity (Kim 309 et al., 2015). Iron release corresponds only to around 5%, confirming the relatively high proportion of 310 arsenic associated with secondary iron oxides and oxyhydroxides.
- 311 The speciation of released arsenic with the oxalate buffer is mainly AsV as observed with the 312 exchangeable fraction and consistently with the speciation generally observed for scorodite or 313 coprecipitated iron phases (Kim et al., 2015).
- Even if all the calcium released has been reprecipitated as calcium oxalate, the presence of soluble magnesium tends to show that carbonates (calcite and dolomite) were also dissolved concomitantly to amorphous iron minerals.
- 317 Despite the low pH (Table 1), no significant amount of soluble aluminum was quantified in the
   318 extraction solution suggesting the absence of amorphous Al-bearing minerals.
- Selenium release slightly increases (around 7.5%) compared to the soluble Se fraction (around 5%) and
  could be attributed to a specifically sorbed Se fraction onto iron-bearing minerals (Börsig et al., 2017;
  Fernández-Martínez and Charlet, 2009).
- 322

#### 323 **3.2.4.** Reducible fraction of arsenic (and selenium)

Reducing agents of different strengths (sodium dithionite (RedA), ascorbic acid (RedB), and ammonium oxalate (RedC)) were tested to both favor the dissolution of iron-bearing minerals and enhance the solubility of arsenic through reduction of AsV into AsIII. Combinations with a strong

- chelating agent (EDTA) were also performed to limit the interactions between arsenic oxyanions and
  the ferrous soluble species or the newly formed iron oxide phases (Kim et al., 2015). None of the
  extractants (EDTA alone, reducing agent alone, or a mix) was more efficient to mobilize arsenic from
  the studied sample than the oxalate buffer despite a higher mobilization of iron in the presence of
  EDTA (6.1% against 4.6% with the oxalate buffer). Even if relatively well-crystallized iron oxides
  could be targeted in the present fraction, reprecipitation and recomplexation of arsenic onto the surfaces
  is expected and EDTA seems to be the limiting factor.
- No mobilization of selenium was expected with reducing agents, but sodium dithionite generates an important release of selenium (nearly 20%). This observation is explained by the inherent instability of dithionite that can produce powerful oxidizing radicals for selenium compounds (Geoffroy and Demopoulos, 2009) or the formation of colloidal elemental selenium that could pass through filtration membranes. The important release of selenium with ascorbic acid (about 18%) tends to favor this second assumption.
- No significant amount of soluble aluminum was quantified whereas all the initial calcium was
  mobilized in the presence of EDTA. Reprecipitation of calcium oxalate was also observed by XRD.

#### 342 **3.2.5.** Oxidizable fraction of selenium (and arsenic)

- Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is an efficient extractant of organic matter however, no significant
  fraction of arsenic was extracted using this reagent (comparable release as the exchangeable fraction).
  The amount of Se extracted by NaOCl, on the other hand, was significant (about 10% estimated by
  difference).
- Surprisingly, an important amount of arsenic (around 40%) was mobilized with the oxidizing agent
  sodium sulfite. This reagent associated with light and iron can generate the photooxidation of AsIII into
  AsV but this reaction is highly sensitive to the initial amount of sulfite and the pH conditions (Xu et al.,
  2016). In other conditions (Zakharova et al., 2015), sodium sulfite can also be used as a photo-reductive
  reagent.
- The present reagent targeted the elemental selenium initially present in the sediment and generated unexpected reactions with the arsenic initially present in the sediment but further investigations onto the speciation of the released arsenic should be necessary to better understand the processes involved in the present case.
- 356

#### 357 **3.2.6.** Alkaline-soluble fraction of arsenic and selenium

- The studied karst infillings contain relatively high amounts of organic matter (**Table 3**), degradation products of vascular plants that have been transported from the surface into karst cavities (Bassil et al., 2016a). Associations between the humic-like organic matter and arsenic and selenium were targeted using different concentrations of sodium hydroxide (NaOH 0.1, 0.5, and 1 M).
- A significant alkaline-soluble fraction for both arsenic and selenium is observed but the concentration of sodium hydroxide has no influence on the mobilization of arsenic (around 40%) and selenium

- (around 25%). Concomitantly, the lowest basic conditions clearly enhance the mobilization of
  aluminum (about 8%) and iron (about 10%) whereas the amount of organic C released (around 10%)
  tends to increase with increasing basic conditions. Similar results were observed with different
  proportions (85% of Se released and 21% of organic C and 2% Fe released) by Bassil et al. (2016a)
  who have attributed the partial mobilization of iron in alkaline conditions to the presence of complexed
  species.
- No significant release of Si was observed suggesting the absence of dissolution of the clay minerals asconfirmed by the corresponding X-ray diffractograms (figure 1).
- The As fraction associated with the organic matter is thus estimated at around 5% with a speciation corresponding to a mix between AsIII (around 23%) and AsV (around 77%), whereas the Se alkalinesoluble fraction tends to reach about 10%.
- 375 376

#### **3.2.7.** Fraction of arsenic and selenium associated with pyrite

As expected by the mineralogical and petrographic investigations, a significant As pyritic fraction was
 extracted using hot nitric acid. In addition, a significant Se fraction was also observed at this step.

The pyritic fraction can be thus estimated by subtraction at around 15% and 20%, for arsenic and selenium, respectively. Under hot nitric treatment, the mobilization of the studied elements is parallel to the mobilization of almost all the iron - and the calcium - content of the sedimentary sample, confirming the low selectivity of this reagent (Kulp and Pratt, 2004), but also involving the mobilization of arsenic "trapped" with all the iron-bearing minerals, both primary (sulfides) and secondary (oxides and oxy-hydroxides).

385

#### **386 3.2.8.** Synthesis of single parallel extractions

387 In addition to the significant soluble Se fraction (about 5%), consistent with the presence of selenium 388 species quantified in different wells drilled into the Dogger aquifer of the HES, this element seems to be 389 associated with pyrite and to a lesser extent to their alteration products but also to the organic matter. 390 Neither significant association with the carbonates nor the presence of elemental selenium was 391 highlighted by the present series of single parallel extractions.

- Concerning arsenic, a very low soluble fraction was observed compared to its relatively important exchangeable fraction (about 20%). As for selenium and consistently with the microscopic investigations, the distribution of arsenic seems to be mainly limited to the pyritic crystals and the secondary iron-bearing minerals present in the sedimentary clayey matrix.
- 396 Statistical analyses (Figures S4 and S5) support the present observations by highlighting the high 397 correlation coefficients between the released amounts of arsenic and iron (r = 0.78), selenium and iron 398 (r = 0.89), and arsenic and selenium (r = 0.87). In parallel, no significant positive correlation was shown 399 between the three previous elements and calcium, aluminum, or pH conditions.
- 400

# 402 **3.3** Sequential chemical extraction

To refine the major points brought to light by the series of parallel extractions experiments, a simplified sequential extraction scheme (Table 2) was defined using both the results of paragraph 3.2. and the characterization of the sedimentary matrix (paragraph 3.1.). Two contact times– except for NaOH – were also tested to better understand the effect of contact time on the mechanisms involved in the release of the two elements.

408

#### **3.3.1. Behaviour of arsenic**

In accordance with the parallel extractions, the easily mobilized fraction of arsenic is mainly exchangeable (about 13%) with a low soluble fraction (less than 2%) (Figure 4A). A longer contact time has no impact on the soluble fraction but significantly increases the exchangeable one (from 13.3% to 22.2%) (Figure 4B). This point could be of high concern *in-situ* leading to an important arsenic mobilization due to slight changes in the ionic composition of the groundwater induced by pumping or climatic changes (Smedley and Kinniburgh, 2002).

- 416 A low but significant As fraction associated with the carbonates (about 8.5%) was identified by the sequential scheme contrary to the parallel one and tends to decrease with a longer contact time (Figure 417 418 4). It is assumed that part of this fraction was extracted in the previous steps, the dissolution of carbonates being favored by longer contact times. But, in the present case, the amounts of mobilized 419 calcium totally invalidate this assumption and tend to support that the arsenic associated with calcite 420 421 may be released by other mechanisms, such as the desorption of weakly-sorbed fraction (Rios-422 Valenciana et al., 2020). It is to note that all the calcium has been extracted at this step, as confirmed by 423 the chemical and mineralogical analyses (Figures S1 and S2).
- The As fraction associated with alteration products of the pyritic materials, described through SEM-EDX pictures as diffuse and poorly crystallized iron oxides corresponds to about 13% of the total arsenic initially present in the matrix, consistently with the estimation performed with the parallel extractions. The amount of mobilized iron is refined to 7.4% and slightly increases for a longer contact time to 8.3%. The concomitant decrease of the As release is attributed, as for the fraction associated with the carbonates, to a partial extraction of this fourth fraction during the longer contact time with phosphate buffer whose pH and complexing properties cannot prevent iron reprecipitation.
- The As fraction associated with organic matter is relatively low (less than 5%) in accordance with the parallel extractions, whereas the pyritic fraction is the most important (about 35%) as expected by the elemental spatial distribution (Figure S1). This amount was highly underestimated by parallel extractions whereas all the iron was mobilized. This amount is not dependent on the contact time and tends to show that about 80% of the iron present in the matrix was initially pyritic.
- 436 About 25% of arsenic was finally refractory to the present sequential extraction scheme, assumingly as

well-crystallized As oxides or sulfides or coprecipitated with the 7.5% of refractory iron (Javed et al.,2013).

439

#### 440 **3.3.2. Behaviour of selenium**

In accordance with the parallel extractions and the presence of selenium in the HES groundwater, the easily mobilized fraction of selenium is mainly soluble (about 5%) with a low exchangeable fraction (less than 1%). A longer contact time seems to slightly increase the soluble fraction at the expense of the exchangeable one. This point could explain the progressive increase of the selenium concentrations measured in the HES wells poorly connected to the other wells and thus containing stagnant waters (not published).

447 No significant Se fraction associated with the carbonates was quantified as estimated by parallel 448 extractions experiments supporting that selenium was not originated from the limestone host of the 449 Dogger aquifer. The fraction associated with secondary iron-bearing minerals and probably specifically 450 sorbed onto their surface is low (about 2%), whereas the Se fraction associated with organic matter is 451 relatively important (nearly 18%). The contact time has no significant influence on the amounts 452 released.

- 453 As observed for arsenic, the Se pyritic fraction was highly underestimated by parallel extractions and 454 reached 39% of the initial selenium in the matrix. This amount suggests the presence of pyrite-455 associated selenium that was not observed by SEM-EDX.
- The important refractory Se fraction (around 37%) is attributed to the absence of adapted reagents (mainly oxidizing reagents targeting elemental and organic selenium) in the sequential scheme.
- 458

#### 459 **3.3.3.** Synthesis of sequential extractions scheme

460 The combination of petrographic and mineralogical investigations with the sequential extractions' 461 experiments suggest that pyritic minerals are the main initial hosts of arsenic and selenium of the clayey 462 karst infillings present in the Dogger aquifer. The alteration of these primary minerals had assumingly favored the partial mobilization of selenium and arsenic followed by reprecipitation and sorption 463 464 processes with other constituents of the matrix or with secondary minerals. At this step, the pathways of selenium and arsenic tend to diverge: arsenic is mainly associated with secondary iron oxides, as 465 466 already mentioned by Javed et al. (2013) in part with calcite and barely with the organic matter, 467 whereas selenium is mainly associated with the continental organic matter as described by Gustafsson 468 and Johnsson (1994) and Li et al. (2017), barely with the secondary iron oxides and not significantly 469 with the limestone host.

470 Strong significant positive correlations (Figure S2) are additionally observed (and enhanced) between 471 the released amounts of arsenic and iron (r = 0.94) and between those of selenium and iron (r = 0.90). 472 Sequential extractions and longer contact times tend to decrease the correlation between arsenic and 473 selenium (r = 0.75) compared to single parallel experiments (r = 0.87), supporting their divergence. 474 Concomitantly, the behaviour of aluminum seems to follow the release of selenium, iron, and arsenic.

- This correlation tends to be reinforced with longer contact times suggesting that more complex release mechanisms could be involved.
- 477 In parallel, negative correlations are observed between the released amounts of arsenic and the pH 478 conditions (r = -0.80), but not for selenium (r = -0.35). Leaching studies as a function of pH were 479 performed for a better understanding of the mechanisms induced in the behavior of these elements.
- 480

# 481 **3.4** Leaching studies as a function of pH

As previously observed by (Bassil et al., 2018; Tabelin et al., 2012), arsenic and selenium release behaviors are strongly dependent on acid-base conditions (Figure 5). In very acidic conditions (below pH 2), the As (Figure 5A) and Se (Figure 5B) releases sharply increase with the pH decrease. This concomitant and consistent release behavior is attributed to the partial dissolution of pyrite, previously identified as the main host for As using the chemical extractions and SEM-EDX.

In basic conditions (above pH 8), the As and Se release sharply increase with the pH to reach a maximum at around pH 12 (Figure 6). These releases are again concomitant for both elements. The release fronts of Fe and Al are similar but occur at slightly higher pH (about one pH unit) than for As and Se. The release front of TOC also occurs in more alkaline conditions (about one additional pH unit).

As highlighted by the statistical results, Fe and Al behaviors tend to be closely correlated in basic pH conditions, as they would be complexed to the same species, probably with the humic-like organic matter, as already described for soils (Jansen et al., 2011; Wagai et al., 2013). Even if significant correlations are observed with TOC, the mobilization of the sedimentary organic matter seems to be indirectly associated with the release of other elements.

- These observations converge to the ideas that (1) the alkaline-soluble fractions are only ruled by desorption processes and not by dissolution, contrary to the mobilizations observed in acidic pH conditions, and (2) the observed desorption processes are associated with the change in the surface charge.
- If the behaviors of arsenic and selenium are strictly concomitant below pH 2 and above pH 8, they strongly
  diverge between pH 2 and pH 8. The soluble selenium is thus nearly constant at around 5% in this pH
  range when the mobilized arsenic decreases from about 10% at pH 2 to less than 1% at circumneutral pH.
- It is interesting to observe that the amounts of arsenic and selenium released after 1 week of contact time in osmosed water are consistent with the amounts extracted after 2h of contact time. The soluble fraction thus consists of an easily released fraction of the studied elements. In the same way, the released amounts of arsenic (around 25%) and selenium (around 35%) in the basic plateau correspond to the 'alkaline-soluble' fractions previously quantified for a contact time of 24 h and support rapid mechanisms.
- 508 In parallel, all the quantities of selenium released by selective chemical extractions are consistent with the 509 leaching experiments and thus show that selenium mobilization is mainly governed by the acid-base

- 510 conditions of the medium in contact. On the contrary, the presence of complexing agents such as the ones 511 used in the extractions experiments (phosphate, acetate, and oxalate anions), tends to favor and control the 512 mobilization of arsenic species in the system by preventing them from reprecipitation or resorption onto 513 surfaces.
- 514 Finally, as assumed by the previous experiments and statistical studies, Ca and Mg behaviors are highly 515 related but not directly correlated to the behaviors of arsenic and selenium.
- 516

# 517 **3.5** Conclusion

518 The Upper Cretaceous clayey materials that fill partly the karst cavities formed into the Bajocian 519 limestone of the Dogger aquifer are important geogenic sources of arsenic and selenium traces found in 520 regional groundwaters. Correlating the origin, the distribution, and the release mechanisms of these two 521 micropollutants was expected to better understand their behaviour into a fractured carbonated aquifer.

- 522 The combination of petrographic investigations with chemical extractions, leaching experiments, and statistical analysis highlighted the pyritic origin of both elements. Arsenic "hot spots" were observed 523 within euhedral pyritic crystals and into the surrounding halo of secondary iron-bearing minerals 524 525 resulting from their oxidative alteration. Even though selenium-associated pyrite was not directly 526 observed by SEM-EDX images, the chemical extractions showed the existence of an important selenium pyritic fraction. Nevertheless, the affinity of selenium for secondary iron-bearing minerals is 527 528 lower than that of arsenic, and alteration of pyritic minerals has favored associations of selenium 529 species with the humic-like sedimentary organic matter.
- These divergences of associations have no impact on the mobilization of both elements in very acidic conditions, controlled by the partial dissolution of pyritic materials and in alkaline conditions (above pH 8), ruled by desorption processes and especially by the reactivity of the involved surfaces. The main divergences in both elements' behaviors are observed between pH 2 and pH 8, leading to a soluble fraction of about 5% for selenium and a very low soluble fraction for arsenic (lower than 2%) in the pH range [6.9; 7.9] measured in the groundwater of the Dogger aquifer.
- The main components of the limestone aquifer (calcite and dolomite to a lesser extent) are not directly associated with the mobilization of the studied elements but, in such context, Ca-Mg-CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O equilibrium highly constrains the pH conditions of the groundwater close to neutrality. The leaching experiments also contradicted their apparent correlation with the mobilization of iron, aluminum, and TOC highlighted by previous studies and the present statistical analyses and extractions protocols.
- 541 Except in very acidic conditions, the behavior of selenium thus seems to be only ruled by surface 542 complexation equilibria and therefore by the pH conditions of the medium in contact. Although the pH 543 of the groundwater is buffered by the carbonated aquifer, local acidic conditions may occur at the 544 vicinity of pyrites due to their oxidation. This process can be enhanced by water pumping from wells of 545 the aquifer, which induces more oxidizing conditions.

546 The mobilization of arsenic is more complex: although the soluble fraction in percentage is very low in 547 the pH range of the HES groundwater, the amounts of arsenic released are in the same order of 548 magnitude as those of selenium. In parallel, even if arsenic mobilization is also highly pH-dependent and mainly ruled by sorption processes, the impact of complexing agents such as phosphate or small 549 organic acids (acetate, oxalate) that can be naturally present in the groundwater is important (and 550 roughly corresponds to about 20%). According to the present results, arsenic should be detected in the 551 water sampling campaigns in the Dogger aquifer whereas it is not the case. Further investigations will 552 thus be necessary to bring to light the impact of natural chelating agents on the stability of the surface 553 complexes formed with arsenic and selenium species in the pH range imposed by the carbonates of the 554 aquifer. 555

556

#### 557 **3.6** Acknowledgments

558 We acknowledge the financial support from the European Union, the 'Région Nouvelle Aquitaine' and 559 the 'Agence de l'Eau Loire-Bretagne'. We also acknowledge C. Boissard and J. Rousseau for their 560 technical support to the petrographic investigations.

561 562

# 563 **3.7** References

- Abraitis, P.K., Pattrick, R.A.D., Vaughan, D.J., 2004. Variations in the compositional, textural and
  electrical properties of natural pyrite: a review. Int. J. Miner. Process. 74, 41–59.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.minpro.2003.09.002
- Audouin, O., Bodin, J., Porel, G., Bourbiaux, B., 2008. Flowpath structure in a limestone aquifer: multiborehole logging investigations at the hydrogeological experimental site of Poitiers, France.
  Hydrogeol. J. 16, 939–950. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-008-0275-4
- Bassil, J., Naveau, A., Bueno, M., Di Tullo, P., Grasset, L., Kazpard, V., Razack, M., 2016a.
  Determination of the distribution and speciation of selenium in an argillaceous sample using
  chemical extractions and post-extractions analyses: application to the hydrogeological experimental
  site of Poitiers. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 9598–9613. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-61137
- Bassil, J., Naveau, A., Fontaine, C., Grasset, L., Bodin, J., Porel, G., Razack, M., Kazpard, V., Popescu,
  S.-M., 2016b. Investigation of the nature and origin of the geological matrices rich in selenium
  within the Hydrogeological Experimental Site of Poitiers, France. Comptes Rendus Geosci. 348,
  598–608. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2016.08.004
- Bassil, J., Naveau, A., Bueno, M., Razack, M., Kazpard, V., 2018. Leaching behavior of selenium from
  the karst infillings of the Hydrogeological Experimental Site of Poitiers. Chem. Geol. 483, 141–150.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.02.032

- Börsig, N., Scheinost, A.C., Shaw, S., Schild, D., Neumann, T., 2017. Uptake mechanisms of selenium
  oxyanions during the ferrihydrite-hematite recrystallization. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 206, 236–
  253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.03.004
- 585 Chen, C.J., Chen, C.W., Wu, M.M., Kuo, T.L., 1992. Cancer potential in liver, lung, bladder and kidney 586 due to ingested inorganic arsenic in drinking water. Br. J. Cancer 66, 5, 888-892.
- 587 Chung, F.H., 1975. Quantitative Interpretation of X-Ray Diffraction Patterns. III. Simultaneous
  588 Determination of a Set of Reference Intensities. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 8, 17
- Costagliola, P., Bardelli, F., Benvenuti, M., Di Benedetto, F., Lattanzi, P., Romanelli, M., Paolieri, M.,
  Rimondi, V., Vaggelli, G., 2013. Arsenic-Bearing Calcite in Natural Travertines: Evidence from
  Sequential Extraction, μXAS, and μXRF. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 6231–6238.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/es304953a
- European Union (1998) Council directive 98/83/EC on the quality of water intended for human
  consumption. Official Journal of the European Communities, L 330/32, 32–54.
- Fernández-Martínez, A., Charlet, L., 2009. Selenium environmental cycling and bioavailability: a
  structural chemist point of view. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. 8, 81–110.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-009-9145-3
- Geoffroy, N., Demopoulos, G.P., 2009. Reductive Precipitation of Elemental Selenium from Selenious
  Acidic Solutions Using Sodium Dithionite. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 48, 10240–10246.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/ie9008502
- Gustafsson, J.P., Johnsson, L., 1994. The association between selenium and humic substances in
  forested ecosystems—laboratory evidence. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 8, 141–147.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/aoc.590080209
- Hillier S. (2000) Accurate quantitative analysis of clay and other minerals in sandstones by XRD:
  comparison of a Rietveld and a reference intensity ratio (RIR) method and the importance of sample
  preparation. Clay Mineralogy, 35, 291-302.
- Jansen, B., Tonneijck, F.H., Verstraten, J.M., 2011. Selective Extraction Methods for Aluminium, Iron
  and Organic Carbon from Montane Volcanic Ash Soils. Pedosphere 21, 549–565.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(11)60157-4

- Javed, M.B., Kachanoski, G., Siddique, T., 2013. A modified sequential extraction method for arsenic
  fractionation in sediments. Anal. Chim. Acta 787, 102–110.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2013.05.050
- Javed, M.B., Kachanoski, G., Siddique, T., 2014. Arsenic fractionation and mineralogical
  characterization of sediments in the Cold Lake area of Alberta, Canada. Sci. Total Environ. 500–
  501, 181–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.083
- 617 Jegadeesan, G., Al-Abed, S.R., Pinto, P., 2008. Influence of trace metal distribution on its leachability
  618 from coal fly ash. Fuel 87, 1887–1893. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2007.12.007

- Kim, E.J., Jeon, E.-K., Baek, K., 2016. Role of reducing agent in extraction of arsenic and heavy metals
  from soils by use of EDTA. Chemosphere 152, 274–283.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.03.005
- Kim, E.J., Lee, J.-C., Baek, K., 2015. Abiotic reductive extraction of arsenic from contaminated soils
  enhanced by complexation: Arsenic extraction by reducing agents and combination of reducing and
  chelating agents. J. Hazard. Mater. 283, 454–461. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.09.055
- Kim, E.J., Yoo, J.-C., Baek, K., 2014. Arsenic speciation and bioaccessibility in arsenic-contaminated
  soils: Sequential extraction and mineralogical investigation. Environ. Pollut. 186, 29–35.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.11.032
- Kulp, T.R., Pratt, L.M., 2004. Speciation and weathering of selenium in upper cretaceous chalk and
  shale from South Dakota and Wyoming, USA. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 68, 3687–3701.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.03.008
- 631 Le Coz, M., Bodin, J., Renard, P., 2017. On the use of multiple-point statistics to improve groundwater
  632 flow modeling in karst aquifers: A case study from the Hydrogeological Experimental Site of
  633 Poitiers, France. J. Hydrol. 545, 109–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.12.010
- Li, Z., Liang, D., Peng, Q., Cui, Z., Huang, J., Lin, Z., 2017. Interaction between selenium and soil
  organic matter and its impact on soil selenium bioavailability: A review. Geoderma 295, 69–79.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.02.019
- Mari, J.L., Porel, G., Delay, F. 2020. Contribution of full wave acoustic logging to the detection and
  prediction of karstic bodies. Water, 12, 948; doi:10.3390/w12040948
- Martens, D.A., Suarez, D.L., 1997. Selenium Speciation of Soil/Sediment Determined with Sequential
  Extractions and Hydride Generation Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry. Environ. Sci. Technol.
  31, 133–139. https://doi.org/10.1021/es960214+
- Matamoros-Veloza, A., Newton, R.J., Benning, L.G., 2011. What controls selenium release during
  shale weathering? Appl. Geochem. 26, S222–S226.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2011.03.109
- Nakamaru, Y.M., Altansud J., 2014. Speciation and bioavailability of selenium and antimony in nonflooded and wetland soils: a review. Chemosphere 111, 366-371.
- Panias, D., Taxiarchou, M., Paspaliaris, I., Kontopoulos, A., 1996. Mechanisms of dissolution of iron
  oxides in aqueous oxalic acid solutions. Hydrometallurgy 42, 257–265.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-386X(95)00104-O
- Raessler, M., 2018. The Arsenic Contamination of Drinking and Groundwaters in Bangladesh:
  Featuring Biogeochemical Aspects and Implications on Public Health. Arch. Environ. Contam.
  Toxicol. 75, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-018-0511-4
- Renard, F., Putnis, C.V., Montes-Hernandez, G., Ruiz-Agudo, E., Hovelmann, J., Sarret, G., 2015.
  Interactions of arsenic with calcite surfaces revealed by in situ nanoscale imaging. Geochim.
  Cosmochim. Acta 159, 61–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.03.025

- Rios-Valenciana, E.E., Briones-Gallardo, R., Chazaro-Ruiz, L.F., Lopez-Lozano, N.E., Sierra-Alvarez,
  R., Celis, L.B., 2020. Dissolution and final fate of arsenic associated with gypsum, calcite, and
  ferrihydrite: Influence of microbial reduction of As(V), sulfate, and Fe(III). Chemosphere 239,
  124823. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124823
- Ritsema, C.J., Groenenberg, J.E., Bisdom, E.B.A., 1992. The transformation of potential into actual
  acid sulphate soils studied in column experiments. Geoderma 55, 259–271.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7061(92)90087-N
- Rosen, B.P., Liu, Z., 2009. Transport pathways for arsenic and selenium: A minireview. Environ. Int.
  35, 512–515. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.07.023
- Sawlowicz, Z., Łatkiewicz, A., Stefaniak, E., 2005. Two-dimensional natural pyrite crystals and their
  formation. Mineral. Mag. 69, 455–461. https://doi.org/10.1180/0026461056940263
- 667 Smedley, P.L., Kinniburgh, D.G., 2002. A review of the source, behaviour and distribution of arsenic in
  668 natural waters. Appl. Geochem. 17, 517–568. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00018-5
- Smith, A.H., Hopenhayn-Rich, C., Bates, M.N., Goeden, H.M., Hertz-Picciotto, I.,Duggan, H.M.,
  Wood, R., Kosnett, M.J., Smith, M.T., 1992. Cancer risks fromarsenic in drinking water. Environ.
  Health Perspect. 97, 259–267
- Su, T., Wang, J., 2011. Modeling batch leaching behavior of arsenic and selenium from bituminous coal
  fly ashes. Chemosphere 85, 1368–1374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.08.002
- Tabelin, C.B., Igarashi, T., Yoneda, T., 2012. Mobilization and speciation of arsenic from
  hydrothermally altered rock containing calcite and pyrite under anoxic conditions. Appl. Geochem.
  27, 2300–2314. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.07.020
- Tabelin, C.B., Hashimoto, A., Igarashi, T., Yoneda, T., 2014. Leaching of boron, arsenic and selenium
  from sedimentary rocks: II. pH dependence, speciation and mechanisms of release. Sci. Total
  Environ. 473–474, 244–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12.029
- Tabelin, C.B., Sasaki, R., Igarashi, T., Park, I., Tamoto, S., Arima, T., Ito, M., Hiroyoshi, N., 2017.
  Simultaneous leaching of arsenite, arsenate, selenite and selenate, and their migration in tunnelexcavated sedimentary rocks: I. Column experiments under intermittent and unsaturated flow.
  Chemosphere 186, 558–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.07.145
- Tamoto, S., Tabelin, C.B., Igarashi, T., Ito, M., Hiroyoshi, N., 2015. Short and long term release
  mechanisms of arsenic, selenium and boron from a tunnel-excavated sedimentary rock under in situ
  conditions. J. Contam. Hydrol. 175–176, 60–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2015.01.003
- Tessier, A., Campbell, P.G.C., Bisson, M., 1979. Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of
  particulate trace metals. Anal. Chem. 51, 844–851. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac50043a017
- Wagai, R., Mayer, L.M., Kitayama, K., Shirato, Y., 2013. Association of organic matter with iron and
  aluminum across a range of soils determined via selective dissolution techniques coupled with
  dissolved nitrogen analysis. Biogeochemistry 112, 95–109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-0119652-5

- Wang, Z., Shen, X., Jing, M., Li, C., 2018. Enhanced arsenic removal from drinking water by
  FeOOH/γ-Al2O3 granules. J. Alloys Compd. 735, 1620–1628.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2017.11.284
- Wenzel, W.W., Kirchbaumer, N., Prohaska, T., Stingeder, G., Lombi, E., Adriano, D.C., 2001. Arsenic
  fractionation in soils using an improved sequential extraction procedure. Anal. Chim. Acta 436,
  309–323. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(01)00924-2
- Wilkin, R.T., Barnes, H.L., Brantley, S.L., 1996. The size distribution of framboidal pyrite in modern
  sediments: An indicator of redox conditions. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 60, 3897–3912.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(96)00209-8
- Wilkin, R.T., Lee, T.R., Beak, D.G., Anderson, R., Burns, B., 2018. Groundwater co-contaminant
  behavior of arsenic and selenium at a lead and zinc smelting facility. Appl. Geochem. 89, 255–264.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2017.12.011
- Xiang Z., Dong L., Hongling B., Liangliang D., Hongmei L., Peng Y., Peixin D., Hongzhe S. (2018)
   XRD-based quantitative analysis of clay minerals using reference intensity ratios, mineral intensity
  factors, Rietveld, and full pattern summation methods: A critical review. Solid Earth Sciences, 3, 16-29.
- Xu, J., Ding, W., Wu, F., Mailhot, G., Zhou, D., Hanna, K., 2016. Rapid catalytic oxidation of arsenite
  to arsenate in an iron(III)/sulfite system under visible light. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 186, 56–61.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.12.033
- Yang, H., He, M., 2016. Distribution and Speciation of Selenium, Antimony, and Arsenic in Soils and
  Sediments Around the Area of Xikuangshan (China): Soil. CLEAN Soil Air Water 44, 1538–1546.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.201400522
- Zakharova, E.A., Noskova, G.N., Moskaleva, M.L., Elesova, E.E., Wildgoose, G.G., 2015.
  Investigations into the Speciation of Inorganic Arsenic in Weakly Alkaline Medium by
  Voltammetry. Electroanalysis 27, 890–901. https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.201500034
- Zhang, Y., Jr, W.T.F., 2003. Determination of Selenium Fractionation and Speciation in Wetland 718 Sediments Parallel extraction. Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 83. 315-326. 719 by 720 https://doi.org/10.1080/0306731031000076850
- 721 722

- 723
- 724

#### 726 **Figures** 727



Figure 1: X-ray diffraction patterns of the sedimentary sample C5-BjF and the residues after parallel extractions. The patterns were normalized to the intensity of the peak of kaolinite (K) that is not affected by the chemical

extractions. Note the changes in the peak intensities of pyrite (Py) and calcite (Ca) with the different treatments.



736

Q: Quartz.



Figure 2: Petrographic observations on polished thin sections (A) of the sedimentary sample C5-BjF; (B) and (C)
are detailed view of regions of interest. The infilling material is composed of mixture of carbonates (white) and
iron oxyhydroxides (ochreous). CM: coarse material, FM: fine material, ClM: clay matrix.



Figure 3: Scanning electron micrograph (a) of selected area of pyritized clay matrix of the C5-BjF sample, elemental maps for (b) Fe, (c) Si, (d) S, (e) Al, and (f) Ca. Pyrites are identified by the presence of Fe and S; Clay minerals are identified by the presence of Si and Al. Gyspum is identified by presence of Ca and S. FP: framboidal pyrites; EP: euhedral pyrites; IP: intermediate morphology pyrite.



747

Figure 4: Released amounts (in percentage) of arsenic, selenium, iron, calcium, aluminum, and silicon for a contact time of 2h (a) and 24h (b) by the sequential extraction scheme. 



Figure 5: Released amounts (in percentage) of (a) arsenic and (b) selenium as a function of pH (contact time of 1 week). PE: Parallel extraction results.
771
772





**Figure 6**: Released amounts (in percentage) of (a) arsenic/selenium; (b) total organic carbon/arsenic; (c) iron/arsenic; (d) iron/aluminum; (e) calcium/magnesium; (f) Eh as a function of pH (contact time of 1 week)

# Tables

# 777 778 779 780 781

Code	Reagent	Concentration	Exp conditions	pH*	Target/Rule	Comments			
Sol_1	H <sub>2</sub> O		30 min, room T	6.8	Soluble fraction				
Sol_2	H <sub>2</sub> O		2h, room T	7.1	Soluble fraction				
Exch_1	NH <sub>4</sub> Sulfate	0.05 M	4h, room T	6.8	Exchangeable fraction	Outer-sphere complexes			
Exch_2	NH <sub>4</sub> Phosphate Buffer	0.05 M	2h, room T	6.7	Exchangeable fraction	Inner-sphere complexes			
Acet	Na Acetic Buffer	1 M	5h, room T	4.7	Carbonates fraction	Dissolution of carbonates			
Oxal	NH <sub>4</sub> Oxalate Buffer	0.2 M	2h, room T, dark	3.0	Specifically sorbed fraction	Strongly adsorbed onto amorphous Fe, Al, and Mn oxides			
Red_A	Na Dithionite	0.1 M	24h, room T	5.3	Reducing As fraction (strong)	Co-precipitated with Fe-oxides (strong interactions)			
Red_B	Ascorbic Acid	0.1 M	24h, room T	2.6	Reducing As fraction (mild)	Co-precipitated with Fe-oxides (weak interactions)			
Red_C	NH4 Oxalate	0.1 M	24h, room T	6.8	Reducing As fraction (mild)	Co-precipitated with Fe-oxides (weak interactions)			
EDTA	EDTA	0.1 M	24h, room T	4.3	Fe Chelatant	To limit the reprecipitation of As			
EDTA/Red_A	EDTA/Dithio	0.1 M	24h, room T	4.8	Reducing As fraction	Co-precipitated with Fe-oxides			
EDTA/Red_B	EDTA/Asc	0.1 M	24h, room T	3.5	Reducing As fraction	Co-precipitated with Fe-oxides			
EDTA/Red_C	EDTA/Ox	0.1 M	24h, room T	5.2	Reducing As fraction	Co-precipitated with Fe-oxides			
NaOCl	NaOCl	5%	24h, room T	9.1	Oxidisable Se fraction	Organic Se fraction			
Sulf	Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub>	1 M	8h, ultrasonic bath	8.6	Oxidisable Se fraction	Elementary Se fraction			
NaOH 1	NaOH	1 M	24h, room T	13.5	Alkaline-soluble fraction	OM associated (humic-like) + Clay fraction			
NaOH_0.5	NaOH	0.5 M	24h, room T	13.4	Alkaline-soluble fraction	OM associated (humic-like) + Clay fraction			
NaOH_0.1	NaOH	0.1 M	24h, room T	11.6	Alkaline-soluble fraction	OM associated (humic-like) + Clay fraction			
HNO <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	25%	2h, 70°C	-0.9	Pyritic fraction	Co-precipitated with pyritic materials			

\* pH measured in the supernatant after contact time

**Table 2:** Description of the sequential extraction scheme.
 786

7	87
	$\sim$ /

Code	Reagent	Concentration	Concentration Exp. conditions		Target
Sol_2	H <sub>2</sub> O		2h/24h, room T	7.1	Soluble fraction
Exch_2	NH <sub>4</sub> Phosphate Buffer	0.05 M	2h/24h, room T	6.7	Exchangeable fraction
Acet	Na Acetic Buffer	1 M	5h/24h, room T	4.7	Carbonates
Oxal	NH <sub>4</sub> Oxalate Buffer	0.2 M	2h/24h, room T, dark	3.0	Amorphous Fe fraction
NaOH_0.1	NaOH	0.1 M	24h, room T	11.6	Alkaline-soluble fraction
HNO <sub>3</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	25%	2h/24h, 70 °C	-0.9	Pyritic fraction

\*: pH measured in the supernatant after the contact time

S Total SiO<sub>2</sub> Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> FeO MnO MgO CaO Na<sub>2</sub>O K<sub>2</sub>O TiO<sub>2</sub> org. C Sample % % % % % % % % % % % % 5.7 C5-BiF 40.6 30.4 1.8 1.1 < DL 0.3 0.04 0.07 1.82 0.57 1.1 0.3 C5-BjC<sup>a</sup> 39.5 29.2 1.7 ND < DL 1.2 0.03 0.06 1.74 8.8 0.61 U Sr Se Pb Th Ce La Ba Cs Rb Sample As Σ REE mg/kg C5-BjF 22.4 6.3 56.6 24.3 14.4 812.8 315.5 177.6 19.9 4.6 20.9 1.4 C5-BjC<sup>a</sup> 20.8 2.5 58.9 23.0 47.3 313.4 313.4 178.7 22.2 1.3 4.2 22.4 Sample Depth Calcite Pyrite Quartz Microcline Anatase Rutile Total clay minerals SSA  $m^2 g^{-1}$ % % % % % % % m C5-BiF 67.9 5.0 1.5 10.0 8.0 5.5 71 52.2 \_ C5-BjC<sup>a</sup> 68.0 2.0 80 58.6 3.0 3.5 3.0 8.0 -

**Table 3:** Chemical and mineralogical composition of the C5-BjF (this study) and C5-BjC samples (Bassil et al. 2018).

<sup>a</sup>Bassil et al. 2018

ND: not determined, < DL: inferior detection limit

798

799

800

801

**Table 4:** Quantifications of the amounts released (in percentage) by single parallel extractions of the sedimentary sample C5-BjF.
 804

Code	% As	SD	% Se	SD	% Fe	SD	% Ca	SD	% Mg	SD	% Al	SD	% Si	SD	
Sol_1	1.3	0.0	4.2	0.1	0.3	0.3	2.0	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	
Sol_2	1.4	0.4	5.2	1.2	0.2	0.2	8.8	1.8	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.1	
Exch_1	0.5	0.0	3.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	71.5	2.1	11.6	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	
Exch_2	20.6	1.7	6.3	1.2	0.1	0.2	8.4	0.7	6.6	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	
Acet	15.7	2.6	5.5	0.6	0.2	0.1	98.2	3.1	13.7	3.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	_
Oxal	34.9	2.0	7.4	1.1	5.7	1.0	0.0	0.0	13.4	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.0	
Red_A	20.8	0.5	19.6	0.9	0.5	0.0	77.5	7.0	13.4	1.5	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	
Red_B	29.3	0.4	17.7	1.1	3.1	0.2	48.8	4.4	8.9	1.0	0.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	
Red_C	26.8	0.5	7.8	0.4	2.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	13.1	1.5	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.0	
EDTA	28.0	0.4	6.6	0.4	6.0	0.5	100.0	27.6	12.4	1.4	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	
EDTA/Red_A	29.8	0.7	19.1	0.9	6.1	0.5	100.0	27.5	12.3	1.4	0.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	
EDTA/Red_B	30.6	0.8	5.0	0.4	5.5	0.4	100.0	29.2	11.2	1.3	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.0	
EDTA/Red_C	31.4	0.7	9.8	0.9	6.1	0.5	18.4	1.7	14.3	1.6	0.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	
NaOCl	18.2	4.5	37.3	5.5	0.5	0.4	11.7	1.7	6.5	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	
Sulf	40.0	8.1	23.1	1.3	1.8	2.5	4.7	0.4	2.6	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	
NaOH 1	38.6	0.8	26.0	1.3	1.2	0.4	3.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.1	0.9	0.1	
NaOH_0.5	38.9	0.9	26.3	1.2	1.4	0.5	7.3	3.5	0.1	0.1	1.2	0.1	0.6	0.0	
NaOH_0.1	38.8	0.6	26.6	1.7	7.2	2.5	7.8	3.7	5.4	3.0	7.7	0.5	0.4	0.0	
HNO <sub>3</sub>	57.8	6.3	43.8	1.9	90.5	16.4	83.7	28.2	15.4	5.4	2.0	0.1	0.5	0.0	
															-