

# A macroeconomic assessment of the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) in Peru: A CGE analysis

Jean-Marc Montaud, Nicolas Pecastaing

# ▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Marc Montaud, Nicolas Pecastaing. A macroeconomic assessment of the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) in Peru: A CGE analysis. 2013. hal-01880341

# HAL Id: hal-01880341 https://univ-pau.hal.science/hal-01880341

Preprint submitted on 28 Sep 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Centre d'Analyse Théorique et de Traitement des données économiques

**CATT WP No. 3** January 2013

A MACROECONOMIC ASSESSMENT OF THE CLEAN **DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM** (CDM) IN PERU: A CGE ANALYSIS

> Jean-Marc MONTAUD Nicolas PECASTAING

# **CATT-UPPA**

UFR Droit, Economie et Gestion Avenue du Doyen Poplawski - BP 1633 64016 PAU Cedex Tél. (33) 5 59 40 80 01

Internet: http://catt.univ-pau.fr/live/



# A macroeconomic assessment of the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) in Peru: A CGE analysis

Jean-Marc Montaud · Nicolas Pécastaing

#### Abstract:

The Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) under the Kyoto Protocol constitutes a major tool in the fight against climate change; it also is an important foreign direct investment funding opportunity for southern countries. Yet few studies have focused on the economic impact of CDM on host countries. This study attempts such an assessment in Peru, using a computable general equilibrium model that accounts for both the productive and regional dualism of the national economy. The numerical simulation of macroeconomic shocks generated by current and future CDM investments reveals the significant potential impact of such projects in terms of employment, growth, and regional imbalance in this developing country.

J.M. Montaud · N. Pécastaing

Center for the Theoretical Analysis and Handling of Economic Data, The University of Pau and Pays de l'Adour, Pau. France

e-mail: jean-marc.montaud@univ-pau.fr

N. Pécastaing

e-mail: nicolas.pecastaing@univ-pau.fr

Keywords: Clean development mechanism, Development, Dualism, Computable General Equilibrium, Peru

# 1 Introduction

The international community confronts significant challenges associated with encouraging southern countries to participate in the fight against climate change without hindering their development. The Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) under the Kyoto Protocol is one example of this dual development—environmental issue. This mechanism allows northern countries<sup>1</sup> to make foreign direct investment (FDI) at the lowest cost in southern countries,<sup>2</sup> through carbon credit granted in proportion to the greenhouse gas reductions achieved. Host countries benefit from environmentally friendly technology transfers and additional funding sources for their development.

Since the first CDM project was registered in November 2004, CDM investments have enjoyed great success, increasing to 3,395 projects in 2011: 81.1% in Asia, 14.1% in Latin America and the Caribbean, and 2.65% in Africa (Fenhann 2011). However, their influence in terms of development is an insufficiently explored research area. Most approaches are focused on the project's environmental objective, and the few assessments of their contribution to development tend to be partial, heterogeneous, and based on controversial qualitative approaches.

Our objective is to contribute a quantitative assessment of the macroeconomic impact of CDM investments on a developing economy, using the specific case of Peru. This country is particularly sensitive to environmental issues, considering its vulnerability to climate change, and it ratified the Kyoto Protocol in September 2002. Since 2005, CDM Peruvian projects have increased rapidly and broadly, representing a real investment opportunity for this developing country. In 2009, Peru was among the 10 most attractive countries for CDM investments (Point Carbon 2009).

This analysis is based on a computable general equilibrium (CGE) model that considers an essential characteristic of Peruvian underdevelopment, namely, its dualism. In the next section, we address the limits of the CDM assessment in terms of development. Then we explicate the CGE model and its database. Finally, we address the main results of the macroeconomic impact simulations of current and future CDM projects in Peru.

# 2 CDM contributions to development

In economic literature, CDM assessment analyses focus mainly on their capacity to reduce greenhouse gas emissions rather than on its development objective (Austin and Faeth 2000; Olsen 2007). The first explanation refers to the strong incentives to assess the environmental impact, especially because such assessments determine the level of revenues generated by each project with regard to the carbon market. On the other hand, the contribution to development is just one objective mentioned in the *project design document*<sup>3</sup> (PDD), designed to facilitate acceptance by the *designed national authority* (DNA) of the host country.

Since the signature of the Kyoto Protocol though, few analyses have managed to assess the potential impact of CDM on southern countries' development. Some studies adopt quantitative approaches (Banuri and Gupta 2000; Mathy et al. 2001), though the majority engage in qualitative

<sup>1</sup> These "Annex I" countries are subject to mandatory quantified emission reduction objectives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These "non-Annex I" countries receive CDM projects. They must have adopted the Kyoto Protocol and appointed a *designed national authority* (DNA), which is responsible for validating each project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Each CDM project requires a PDD. It gives information on the project's legislative aspects, its implementation, its baseline scenarios adopted, its funding sources and the local operators concerned. It should also describe its potential impact in terms of infrastructure, jobs, social project funding or environmental quality improvement.

analyses. But multicriteria evaluations suggest heterogeneous assessments, distinguished by the choice and respective weight of development criteria, as well as the nature or location of the project (Anagnostopoulos et al. 2004; Begg et al. 2003; Huq 2002; Kolshus et al. 2001; Olhoff et al. 2004; Sutter 2003). In such a context, many authors emphasize the need to apply an international standard to rate CDM projects, in accordance with their contribution to the various dimensions of sustainable development (Cosbey 2006; Cosbey et al. 2005; Schlup 2005; Sutter and Parreño 2007). Although these standardization efforts have contributed to clarifying the debate and homogenizing extant analyses, they do not address all the concerns linked to assessments of a CDM's development objective. In particular, their relevance is limited due to the arbitrary weight assigned to different indicators. The information pertaining to development listed in the PDDs also remains often incomplete and subject to discussion, at both quantitative and qualitative levels (Alexeew et al. 2010). Finally, the role played by national DNAs with regard to the selection of projects may bias assessments. Performed without ex post verification by independent studies (Subbarao and Lloyd 2011), this choice usually reflects national priorities and specific concepts of development (Boyd et al. 2009; Bumpus and Cole 2010) or even is guided by the competitive pressure of other DNAs that also try to attract CDM investments.<sup>5</sup>

In this context and despite these difficulties, we attempt an economic assessment of CDM impact in a developing country such as Peru. We work in turn to fit both quantitative and developmental approaches by modeling the ex post macroeconomic impact of CDM investment flows in this economy.

# 3 Multisectoral and multiregional CGE model of Peru

The model is based on a CGE framework. This kind of analysis has gradually imposed in development economics from the 80s (Dervis et al. 1982; Robinson 1989). Because it gives emphasis on global interactions among supply, income, and demand through market mechanisms, it allows simulating macroeconomic reactions of the economy in front of various external shocks. Here, CDM investments may be one of these shocks. On the other hand, it allows a wide range of choice of agents or productive structures in order to take into account the structural characteristics of the studied economy. In this spirit, we choose here to focus on a key characteristic of Peruvian underdevelopment: its dual-dual nature. The model equations appear in Appendix.

## 3.1. Peru: a dual-dual economy

As Lewis (1954) established long ago, *dualism* is a primary symptom of underdevelopment. In Peru, this dual character is doubly prominent. First, we find an urban productive dichotomy between formal and informal sectors. This informal sector consists of small non-capitalistic microenterprises that operate outside the institutional framework or modern production standards. It relates to the formal sector through the labor market, in that it serves as a shelter segment for workers excluded from formal employment and unprotected by an efficient social insurance system. In 2011, the Peruvian informal sector constituted 10.1% of the GDP and almost 50% of employment (MTPE 2004). Second,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Olsen and Fenhann (2008) show for example that the potential benefits of 744 CDM projects may be regrouped in five main principles: job creation, economic growth, air quality, access to energy and well-being of the people.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Brown et al. (2004) emphasize the need for strong and independent local institutions to analyze the socio-environmental consequences of the CDMs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For an analysis of a dual-dual economy in a CGE framework see Thorbecke (1997)

dualism stems from the geographic imbalance across Peru's three regions: Costa, Sierra, and Selva. In 2011, Costa accounted for 54% of national employment (33% for Selva, 13% for Sierra) and 70% of the national GDP (25% for Selva, 5% for Sierra) (see INEI 2011a, b). This regional disparity historically has been explained by the demographic, administrative, and commercial importance of Lima and its strong contributions to the development of Costa. In recent years, the disparity has been particularly reinforced, because dynamic sectors (transport, construction, industries) have been mostly developed in Costa, whereas declining sectors (agriculture and mining) are located inland.

#### 3.2 Model structure

The CGE model divides the national Peruvian economy into two regions: Costa and inland.<sup>8</sup> Each works as a whole economy, with its own agents and production sectors, linked through interregional commercial trade and, at the macroeconomic level, through a common balance of payments, a central public administration, a common job market, and a national capital market that ensures a savings—investment balance.<sup>9</sup> Each region hosts two groups of activities (formal and informal), two types of households (rural and urban), and two levels of labor qualifications (skilled and unskilled).

On the supply side, formal activities maximize profit by combining fixed capital with skilled and unskilled labor (see Eq. 1–4). Their products may be sold locally, to the rest of the world, or to other regions (Eq. 6–9); they are imperfectly substitutable with imported products (Eq. 10–13). Informal products can be obtained only from unskilled labor with a low fixed physical productivity (Eq. 5). They are only sold in regional markets for final household consumption.

On the demand side, urban and rural households perceive income from their initial endowments as input factors and their respective access to central Peruvian State transfer incomes (Eq. 14–20). Their consumption of formal products should to be a Linear Expenditure System function (Eq. 22-23). Their consumption of informal products is residual and allocated, according to budget coefficients assumed to be fixed in the short term (Eq. 24).

Regional price variations ensure the market balance of goods and services in each region (Eq. 37–38). The labor market closure is based upon a labor interregional mobility hypothesis. Skilled labor supply should be fixed in volume at the national level, and the real wage variations ensure the market balance (Eq. 41). A skilled wage differential between regions instead may be introduced to consider the differences in standards of living between the Costa region and the rest of the country (Eq. 42). For the non-skilled labor segment, supply is fixed at the national level (Eq. 43), and real wages should be rigid to increases. The market balance exists due to informal employment volumes. In each region, a worker who is not employed in formal urban activities creates an individual informal microenterprise. Inland, these workers have the additional option of migrating toward Costa, which occurs according to a Harris-Todaro specification, that is, by comparing the average earnings obtained through an inland informal sector and the probable gains expected in Costa (Eq. 44). At the end of the migration process, labor surplus distribution among informal activities reflects an exogenous key, calibrated from the base year database (Eq. 45). In each region, informal trade activity receives particular treatment, because the volume of demand is determined by the volume of economic activity. Its labor productivity parameter thus plays a role as an adjustment variable (Eq. 40) (also see Kelley 1994).

<sup>10</sup> These keys may be interpreted as indicators of barriers to entry for each informal branch.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Lima hosts 30.8% of the Peruvian population and centralizes its main administrations. Its proximity to Callao Harbor also makes it an essential location in foreign trade.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Combining the Selva and Sierra regions into a single, inland also reflects data availability, and particularly the existence of two regional social accounting matrices (Nin-Pratt et al. 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For a similar approach to Peru, see Nin-Pratt et al. (2009).

Foreign account closures and saving–investment balances refer to the national level (Eq. 48–49). CDM investments are taken into account at this level and considered as FDI that may be regionally and sectorally allocated across formal activities. Their impact on the Peruvian economy thus can be understood only in terms of demand shocks.<sup>11</sup>

## 3.3 Model database

The parametrical calibration of the model stems from two regional social accounting matrices (SAM), for Costa and inland (Nin-Pratt et al. 2011). For the purposes of our analysis, we reduced the number of formal activities to 17, while we also introduced 6 informal activities. This addition is possible because we use data from Peruvian employment surveys (MTPE 2004) and secondary sources (Loayza 2007; Robles 2003; Rodriguez and Higa 2010), which support an estimation of informal activities' contributions to employment and the GDP of each region. A restricted definition of informal employment, corresponding to individual entrepreneurs—with the main hypothesis that, in the absence of taxes or social charges, microentrepreneurs receive the full value added they generate—suggests that, at initial equilibrium, the informal sector represents 10.1% of the Peruvian GDP and 52.8% of total employment, with 30% of informal production found inland and 70% in Costa. <sup>12</sup>

# 4 Simulations and results

# 4.1 Baseline scenarios of CDM impact on the Peruvian economy

The data pertaining to the type, location, amount, and stage of completion of CDM investments in Peru come from the CDM pipeline (Fenhann 2012), supplemented by data from the UNFCCC<sup>13</sup> to detail each PDD and from FONAM (2010, 2011). We thus can identify three successive waves of CDM projects: 26 "registered" projects already validated by the CDM executive board, 31 projects still "at validation," and 90 pending projects, described only in the Peruvian DNA's portfolio. Each project analysis enables the identification of their impact in terms of demand on other economic activities and on the pertinent region. We summarize these data in Table 1. They constitute the reference framework for our numerical simulations.

The first simulation, which is the most restricted one, considers the impact of the 26 "registered" projects (i.e., US\$1079.3 million). The second one denotes the effect of the 31 CDM projects currently "at validation" (US\$2411.4 million). Finally, a last simulation offers a prospective scenario of the potential impact of the 90 pending projects (US\$8709.4 million).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> An analysis in terms of supply would imply a structural modification of the model's parameters and particularly of the Peruvian energy matrix. However, considering the diversity of CDM projects, their different temporary horizons, and the absence of reliable data about their impact on intermediate energy consumers, we chose not to consider this supply effect.

<sup>12</sup> To avoid upsetting the initial coherence of each regional SAM, we deducted the added value estimated of

To avoid upsetting the initial coherence of each regional SAM, we deducted the added value estimated of informal activities from the value of corresponding formal activities, as estimated in official national accounts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. [Available online from http://cdm.unfccc.int].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> In Peru, the MINAM (*Ministerio del Ambiente*) evaluates the quality of projects, whereas the FONAM's (*Fondo Nacional del Ambiente*) mission is to promote CDM.

Table 1 Regional and sectoral distribution of CDM investments to Peru by achievement stage (US\$ millions)

	Registered	At Validation	Portfolio
Region			
Costa	856,5	1784,9	6128,0
Inland	222,8	1705,8	6072,1
Total national	1079,3	3490,7	12200,1
Activities			
Machinery and equipment (act.9)			
Costa	231,3	481,9	1654,6
Inland	60,1	460,6	1639,5
Total national	291,4	942,5	3294,0
Construction (act.10)			
Costa	453,9	946,0	3247,8
Inland	118,1	904,1	3218,2
Total national	572,0	1850,1	6466,1
Transport and communication (act.14)			
Costa	68,5	142,8	490,2
Inland	17,8	136,5	485,8
Total national	86,3	279,3	976,0
Business services (act.15)			
Costa	68,5	142,8	490,2
Inland	17,8	136,5	485,8
Total national	86,3	279,3	976,0
Other private services (act.16)			
Costa	34,3	71,4	245,1
Inland	8,9	68,2	242,9
Total national	43,2	139,6	488,0

## 4.2 Results

Simulations are conducted according to a cumulative dynamic logic. The general equilibrium obtained after simulation 1 serves as initial reference equilibrium for simulation 2, which then does so for simulation 3. The results appear in Tables 2 and 3.

In the first simulation, the demand shock generated by the CDM investments accounts for 1.8% of the formal GDP, largely concentrated in the Costa region, which captures 79.4% of new investments. The results also reveal the globally positive impact of this shock at the national level, in the form of GDP growth of 0.37%. It increases inflation in both formal (+1.94%) and informal (+0.70%) sectors. The global increase of incomes for skilled and unskilled labor primarily benefits rural households. Urban households instead are more affected by reductions in informal employment, so they see their incomes decrease. The portion of public deficit in the GDP decreases (-4.36%) due to the effects of revenue and activity growth. The national volume of imports and exports also increases, improving the trade balance. This first simulation reveals an emphasis of Peruvian regional dualism in favor of the Costa region, whose dominance in terms of production, revenues, and employment increases. Its GDP grows 0.97%, whereas that of the inland region decreases by -1.22%. Inflation also is stronger in Costa (+2.12%) than inland (+1.53%), and the proportion of exports from this region, which grow relatively less competitive, also decreases. Therefore, productive dualism declines, and the informal sector effectively plays its role as a labor reservoir at the national level. The volume of informal employment decreases in both regions. Taking into account the compensation differentials between regions and labor migration movements, we recognize that this reallocation of resources basically moves in favor of unskilled formal employment in Costa (+2.09%), while unskilled formal employment inland slightly decreases (-0.62%).

The second simulation reveals an even more intense demand shock caused by the CDMs (about 5.9% of the formal GDP) and initiates a process of regional rebalancing because the inland region receives close to 49% of investments. These results reinforce the previous scenario: The impact of CDM investments is globally positive for the national economy. Supply, employment, and incomes increase, though the price effects grow stronger in both the formal and the informal sectors at this point. Regional imbalance tends to diminish in terms of non-skilled employment and production, as well as sectoral dualism, though the price effects ultimately mean an increase of the informal GDP as a proportion of the national economy.

Considering the importance of the demand shock envisaged in the third simulation, we note much more important price effects than in the previous two simulations (+12.49% in the informal sector, +13.79% in the formal sector, national level). These effects contribute to a general increase of income and GDP in both economic spheres. Productive dualism continues to decrease in volume, with a strong decrease of informal employment in favor of unskilled formal employment. Finally, the informal sector accounts for only 33.72% of total employment compared with 40.08% at the beginning. This evolution is particularly sensitive in the Costa region, whose part decreases by close to 10% (cf. 3% in the inland region). The contribution of the informal sector to total GDP increases, considering the pressure on prices. Finally, we note a catch-up effect inland in terms of employment, though it appears insufficient to threaten the hegemony of the Costa region.

Table 2 CDM investment effects on Peruvian economic variables (variation)

	COAST				INLAND	)	NATIONAL			
	SC1/SC0	SC2/SC1	SC3/SC2	SC1/SC0	SC2/SC1	SC3/SC2	SC1/SC0	SC2/SC1	SC3/SC2	
CDM investment	10.65	20.06	57.36	4.51	32.79	88.55	8.32	24.73	69.56	
INFORMAL SECTOR										
Employment	-0.10	-5.26	-21.52	-1.25	-3.12	-0.70	-0.65	-4.25	-11.57	
GDP (value)	0.48	5.38	41.71	-0.63	1.55	24.72	0.15	4.24	36.78	
Commission rate	5.53	11.23	80.58	8.35	4.82	25.60	0.80	8.86	54.67	
Informal price index	0.98	8.33	12.49	0.04	7.20	12.98	0.70	7.99	12.59	
FORMAL SECTOR										
Skilled employment	0.88	1.34	-4.41	-2.36	-3.71	12.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Unskilled employment	2.09	2.93	12.65	-0.62	3.72	5.50	0.52	3.38	8.53	
GDP (value)	1.02	4.09	8.44	-1.28	0.32	2.87	0.37	3.03	6.92	
Skilled labor income	0.78	21.06	-2.31	-2.46	15.04	15.32	0.17	19.95	0.80	
Unskilled labor income	2.09	2.93	12.65	-0.62	3.72	5.50	1.31	3.16	10.61	
Capital income	0.60	0.81	9.37	-1.43	-2.90	-0.09	-0.01	-0.29	6.64	
Income urban households	1.22	1.92	10.84	-1.15	-0.81	2.48	-0.59	-0.15	4.55	
Income rural households	1.24	5.56	8.39	-1.15	2.49	6.78	0.69	4.87	8.04	
Formal price index	2.12	7.14	13.79	1.53	12.96	41.53	1.94	8.88	21.81	
MACRO										
GDP	0.97	4.21	11.73	-1.22	0.45	5.2	0.34	3.15	9.94	
Part of public deficit/GDP (%)							1.02%	0.97%	1.89%	
Public savings	-3.71	40.63	-29.81	-3.08	-15.67	0.10	-4.36	99.76	-43.07	
Foreign savings	1		C C				161.2	172.9	173.5	

Sources: Numerical model simulations, using GAMS software.

Table 3 CDM investment effects on the dualism of Peruvian economy

Productive dualism: Evolution of the informal sector (%) in the national economy													
		NATIONAL				COAST				INLAND			
	Initial	SC1	SC2	SC3	Initial	SC1	SC2	SC3	Initial	SC1	SC2	SC3	
Employment	40.08	39.83	38.13	33.72	42.66	42.21	40.29	32.85	37.58	37.47	36.02	34.52	
Unskilled employment	44.62	44.33	42.45	37.54	50.20	49.66	47.58	38.74	39.74	39.59	37.97	36.56	
GDP	10.00	9.98	10.09	12.55	9.81	9.76	9.87	12.52	10.49	10.55	10.67	12.64	
Income urban households	11.50	11.47	11.50	14.19	11.91	11.83	11.84	14.91	10.65	10.70	10.75	12.51	

Regional dualism: Evolution of each region (%) in the national economy

	COAST/NATIONAL				INLAND/NATIONAL					
	Initial	SC1	SC2	SC3	Initial	SC1	SC2	SC3		
Imports	80.66	80.57	69.24	57.27	19.34	19.43	30.76	42.73		
Exports	58.13	53.59	51.12	52.26	41.87	46.41	48.88	47.74		
Skilled employment	72.83	73.47	74.46	71.17	27.17	26.53	25.54	28.83		
Unskilled employment	46.63	47.10	46.56	44.88	53.37	52.90	53.44	55.12		
GDP	71.36	71.80	72.54	73.72	28.64	28.20	27.46	26.28		
Skilled labor income	81.09	81.59	82.34	79.80	18.91	18.41	17.66	20.20		
Unskilled labor income	70.74	71.20	71.32	73.03	29.26	28.80	28.68	26.97		
Capital income	69.87	70.29	71.07	72.89	30.13	29.71	28.93	27.11		
Income rural households	23.80	24.23	24.74	26.22	76.20	75.77	75.26	73.78		
Income urban households	76.10	76.50	77.05	77.48	23.90	23.50	22.95	22.52		

Sources: Numerical model simulations, using GAMS software.

# **5** Conclusion

Faced with a lack of analysis in extant economic literature, this study proposes a macroeconomic assessment of the impacts of CDM investments on the Peruvian economy, which is characterized by both productive and regional dualism. The numerical simulations obtained with a CGE model capture the endemic features of Peruvian underdevelopment and effectively reveal the globally positive impact of the CDM investments and their demand shock on national growth, as well as on the reduction of productive dualism. Even if the regional unbalance may be reinforced at the first step of CDM process, it tends to diminish as the projects gradually reach completion.

Despite these interesting initial results, there is room to improve our approach. For example, an effective research extension would include the environmental dimension of the CDM impact, together with the macroeconomic dimension. Unfortunately, the current condition of Peruvian environmental accounting does not support such a joint analysis yet. Similarly, the integration of the effects of the technological transfer linked to the CDM in the production function of agents also could enrich our analysis, by allowing for the consideration of the supply effects that result from these investments.

## APPENDIX: Peruvian CGE Model

#### 1. Index and Sets

 $Regions - r \in R = \{Costa, Inland\}$ 

 $Households - h \in H= \{Urb: Urban\ households,\ Rur:\ Rural\ households\}$ 

Activities or commodities  $-a \in A = \{Act1: Agriculture \ and \ Forestry; \ Act2: \ Fisheries; \ Act3: \ Mining \ and \ oil; \ Act4: \ Food$ processing; Act5: Textiles and Clothing; Act6: Wood products; Act7: Paper products and Publishing industries; Act8: Chemicals, Pharmaceutical industries and Refined petroleum; Act9: Non-metallic minerals, Metals, Machinery and equipment; Act10: Construction; Act11: Water and electricity; Act12: Retail and wholesale trade; Act13: Hotels and catering; Act14: Transport and communication; Act15: Business services; Act16: Other private services; Act17: Government services; Act18: Informal manufacturing; Act19: Informal Construction; Act20: Informal Trade; Act21: Informal Restaurants; Act22: Informal Transport; Act23: Other informal services }

Formal activities - for (or i)  $\in$  FOR  $\subset$  A / FOR= {Act1,.., Act17} Formal trade services -  $Comf \in FOR / Comf = \{ act12 \}$ 

Informal activities -  $nf(or j) \in NF \subset A / NF = \{Act18,..., Act23 \}$ Informal trade services- Comnf  $\in$  NF ={ act20}

# 2. Equations

#### **Regional Production Block**

Formal production process

1. 
$$QX_{for}^{r} = Ax_{for}^{r} \left[ \delta x_{for}^{r} . LD_{for}^{r} - \mu x_{for}^{r} + \left(1 - \delta x_{for}^{r}\right) \overline{K}_{for}^{-\mu x_{for}^{r}} \right] \frac{1}{\mu x_{for}^{r}}$$

2. 
$$LD_{for}^{r} = QX_{for}^{r} \cdot \left[ \frac{\delta x_{for}^{r} \cdot PVA_{for}^{r}}{\left(Ax_{for}^{r}\right)^{\mu x_{for}^{r}} \cdot w_{for}^{r}} \right]^{\alpha x_{for}^{r}}$$

3. 
$$LD_{for}^{r} = Al_{for}^{r} \left[ \partial_{for}^{r} . LDS_{for}^{r} - \mu l_{for}^{r} + \left(1 - \partial_{for}^{r}\right) LDNS_{for}^{r} - \mu l_{for}^{r} \right]^{-\frac{1}{\mu l_{for}^{r}}}$$

4. 
$$\frac{LDS_{for}^{r}}{LDNS_{for}^{r}} = \left[\frac{\overline{w_{ns}}}{w_{s}} \cdot \frac{\partial l_{for}^{r}}{1 - \partial l_{for}^{r}}\right]^{\partial l_{for}^{r}}$$

Informal production process

5. 
$$QD_{nf}^{r} = \gamma_{nf} . LDNS_{nf}^{r}$$

Output transformation for locally sold and non-locally sold

6. 
$$QX_{for}^{r} = At_{for}^{r} \left[ \delta t_{for}^{r} \cdot QE_{for}^{r} - \mu u_{for}^{r} + \left(1 - \delta t_{for}^{r}\right) QD_{for}^{r} - \mu u_{for}^{r} \right]^{-\frac{1}{\mu_{for}^{r}}}$$

7. 
$$\frac{QE_{for}^{r}}{QD_{for}^{r}} = \left[ \frac{\delta t_{for}^{r}}{1 - \delta t_{for}^{r}}, \frac{PE_{for}^{r}}{PD_{for}^{r}} \right]^{\sigma t_{for}^{r}}$$

Export transformation for interregional and international formal

$$8. \quad QE_{for}^{r} = Ae_{for}^{r} \left[ \delta e_{for}^{r}.QER_{for}^{r}^{-\mu e_{for}^{r}} + \left( 1 - \delta e_{for}^{r} \right) QEW_{for}^{r}^{-\mu e_{for}^{r}} \right]^{-\frac{1}{\mu e_{for}^{r}}}$$

9. 
$$\frac{QER_{for}^r}{QEW_{for}^r} = \left[\frac{\delta e_{for}^r}{1 - \delta e_{for}^r} \cdot \frac{PER_{for}^r}{ER.PEW_{for}}\right]^{\delta e_{for}^r}$$

Substitution between local and non-local formal products

$$10. \quad QQ_{for}^r = Aq_{for}^r \left[ \delta q_{for}^r . QM_{for}^r + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right) QD_{for}^r \left[ \frac{1}{\mu q_{for}^r} \right]^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r}\right)^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left(1 - \delta q_{for}^r\right)^{-\mu q_{for}^r} \\ + \left($$

$$11. \quad \frac{QM_{for}^r}{QD_{for}^r} = \left[\frac{\left(PD_{for}^r + icd_{for}^r.PQ_{conf}^r\right)}{PM_{for}^r}.\frac{\delta q_{for}^r}{1 - \delta q_{for}^r}\right]^{\sigma q_{for}^r}$$

$$12. \quad QM_{for}^{r} = Am_{for}^{r} \cdot \left[ \delta m_{for}^{r} \cdot QMR_{for}^{r} + \left(1 - \delta m_{for}^{r}\right) QMW_{for}^{r} \right]^{-\frac{1}{\mu m_{i}}}$$

13. 
$$\frac{QMR_{for}^{r}}{QMW_{for}^{r}} = \left[ \frac{PMW_{for}^{r}}{PMR_{for}^{r}} \cdot \frac{\delta m_{for}^{r}}{1 - \delta m_{for}^{r}} \right]^{\sigma m_{for}^{r}}$$

### Regional Income, Demand and Savings Block

Formal incomes

14. 
$$YK^r = \sum_{for} \left( PVA_{for}^r . QA_{for}^r - w_{for}^r . LD_{for}^r \right)$$

15. 
$$YSL^r = \sum_{con} w_s .LDS_{for}^r$$

16. 
$$YNSL^r = \sum_{for} \overline{w_{ns}} . LDNS_{for}^r$$

Informal incomes

17. 
$$YINF^r = \sum_{nf} PVA_{nf}^r .QD_{nf}^r$$

18. 
$$inc_{nf}^{r} = \frac{YINF^{r}}{\sum_{nf} LDNS_{nf}^{r}}$$

Regional institutions incomes

19. 
$$Y_{rur}^r = \phi s_{rur}^r Y S L^r + \phi n s_{rur}^r Y N S L^r + \phi k_{rur}^r Y K^r + Pindex. Transf_{rur}^r$$

20. 
$$Y_{urb}^r = \phi s_{urb}^r Y S L^r + \phi n s_{urb}^r . Y N S L^r + \phi k_{urb}^r Y K^r + Pindex . Transf_{urb}^r + Y I N F^r$$

21. 
$$Y_{G} = \sum_{r} \sum_{for} ty_{h}^{r} Y_{h}^{r} + \sum_{r} tk^{r} . YK^{r} + \sum_{r} \sum_{for} tm_{for}^{r} . ER. \overline{PWM_{for}^{r}}. QMW_{for}^{r} + \sum_{r} \sum_{for} tq_{for}^{r} . PQ_{for}^{r}. QQ_{for}^{r}$$

Regional household's consumption demand

22. 
$$PQ_{for}^{r} CQH_{h,for}^{r} = \overline{c \min_{h,for}^{r}} PQ_{for}^{r}$$
  
+  $pmc_{h,for}^{r} \left[EH_{h}^{r} - \sum_{for} \overline{c \min_{h,for}^{r}} PQ_{for}^{r}\right]$ 

23. 
$$EH_h^r = (1 - ty_h^r - pmc_{h,nf}^r) \cdot Y_h^r - S_h^r$$

24. 
$$PD_{nf}^{r}.CQH_{h,nf}^{r} = pmc_{h,nf}^{r}.Y_{h}^{r}$$

Savings

25. 
$$S_h^r = mps_h^r \cdot (1 - ty_h^r) \cdot Y_h^r$$

26. 
$$S_G = Y_G - \sum_r \sum_{for} PQ_{for}^r . \overline{CQG_{for}^r} - Pindex. \sum_r \sum_h \overline{Transf_h^r}$$

27. 
$$S_K^r = (1 - tk^r - \sum_h \phi k_h^r).YK^r$$

#### Regional Price System Block

28. 
$$PX_{for}^{r} = PVA_{for}^{r} + \sum_{i} ica_{i,for}^{r} PQ_{i}^{r}$$

29. 
$$PD_{nf}^{r} = PVA_{nf}^{r} + \sum_{for} ica_{for,nf}^{r} PQ_{for}^{r}$$

30. 
$$w_{for}^r.LD_{for}^r = \overline{w_{ns}}.LDNS_{for}^r + w_s.LDS_{for}^r$$

31. 
$$PX_{for}^r QX_{for}^r = PD_{for}^r QD_{for}^r + PE_{for}^r QE_{for}^r$$

32. 
$$PE_{for}^{r}.QE_{for}^{r} = PER_{for}^{r}.QER_{for}^{r} + ER.\overline{PEW_{for}}.QEW_{for}^{r}$$

33. 
$$PQ_{for}^{r}.(1-tq_{for}^{r}).QQ_{for}^{r} = (PD_{for}^{r}+icd_{for}^{r}.PQ_{Comf})QD_{for}^{r} + PM_{for}^{r}.QM_{for}^{r}$$

34. 
$$PM_{for}^{r}.QM_{for}^{r} = PMW_{for}^{r}.QMW_{for}^{r} + PMR_{for}^{r}.QMr_{for}^{r}$$

35. 
$$PMW_{for}^r = ER.PWM_{for} + icm_{for}^r.PQ_{comf}^r + tm_{for}^r$$

36. 
$$PMR_{for}^{r} = \overline{PER_{for}^{r'}} + icr_{for}^{r'} \cdot PQ_{comf}^{r'}$$

#### Closure Rules Block

37. 
$$QQ_{for}^{r} = \sum_{h} CQH_{h,for}^{r} + \overline{CQG_{for}^{r}} + \sum_{for'} ica_{for,for'}^{r}.QX_{for'}^{r} + \sum_{inf} ica_{for,inf}^{r}.QD_{inf}^{r} + \overline{QINVP}_{for}^{r}$$

38. 
$$QD_{nf}^r = \sum_{r} CQH_{h,nf}^r$$

39. 
$$QQ_{comf}^r = \sum_i \left(icd_i^r.QD_i^r + icm_i^r.QMw_i^r + ice_i^r.QEw_i^r + icr_i^r.QEr_i^r\right)$$

40. 
$$QQ_{comnf}^r = \Theta_{comnf}^r \sum_i QD_i^r$$

National labor markets

41. 
$$\overline{LS} = \sum_{s} LDS_{for}^{r}$$

42. 
$$w_{*}^{Inland} = (1 + \rho)_{*} w_{*}^{Costa}$$

42. 
$$w_s^{Inland} = (1 + \rho)w_s^{Costa}$$
43. 
$$\overline{LNS} = \sum_r \sum_{for} LDNS_{for}^r + \sum_r \sum_{inf} LNS_{inf}^r$$

44. 
$$inc_{nf}^{Inland} = T.$$

$$\frac{\sum_{for} LDNS_{for}^{Costa}}{\sum_{for} LDNS_{for}^{Costa} + \sum_{nf} LDNS_{nf}^{Costa}} + \sum_{inf} LDNS_{nf}^{Costa}}{\sum_{inf} LDNS_{nf}^{Costa}} + \sum_{inf} LDNS_{nf}^{Costa} + \sum_{for} LDNS_{for}^{Costa} + \sum_{for} LDNS_{for}^{Costa} + \sum_{nf} LDNS_{nf}^{Costa}$$

45. 
$$LNS_{nf}^{r} = \Psi_{nf}^{r} \sum_{nf} LNS_{nf}^{r}$$

Interregional trade linkage

46. 
$$QM_{for}^{Costa} = QEr_{for}^{Inland}$$

47. 
$$QM_{for}^{Inland} = QEr_{for}^{Costa}$$

48. 
$$\sum_{r} \sum_{for} ER. \overline{PWM_{for}^{r}}. QMW_{for}^{r} = \sum_{r} \sum_{for} ER. \overline{PEW_{for}^{r}}. QEW_{for}^{r} + S_{row}$$

49. 
$$\sum_{r} \sum_{for} PQ_{for}^{r}.\overline{QINVP_{for}^{r}} + \sum_{r} \sum_{for} \overline{CDM_{for}^{r}} = \sum_{r} \left(\sum_{h} S_{H}^{r} + S_{G}^{r} + S_{K}^{r}\right) + S_{row}$$

50. 
$$Pindex^r = \sum_i \pi_i^r . PQ_i^r$$

51. 
$$ER = 1$$

#### 3. Variables and parameters

#### **VARIABLES**

ER	Exchange rate	L
$PD_a^r$	Demand price for local commodities	L
$Pindex^r$	Regional consumer price index	$\bar{L}$
$PE_{for}^{r}$	Composite export formal commodities price	$\overline{L}$
$PER_{for}^{r}$	Regional export formal commodities price	iı
$\overline{PEW_{for}}$	Foreign export formal commodities price	и
$PM_{for}^{r}$	Composite import formal commodities price	n
$PMR_{for}^{r}$	Regional import formal commodities price	и

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{LDNS}_{o}^{r} & \text{Quantity of unskilled labor in activity a} \\ \textit{LDS}_{for}^{r} & \text{Quantity demanded of skilled labor in formal sector activities} \\ \hline \textit{LS} & \text{Quantity supplied of skilled labor factor} \\ \hline \textit{LNS} & \text{Quantity supplied of unskilled labor factor} \\ \hline \textit{inc}_{nf}^{r} & \text{Average informal activities incomes} \\ \hline \textit{w}_{for}^{r} & \text{Average price of composite labor factor in formal activities} \\ \hline \textit{w}_{ns} & \text{Unskilled labor wage rate} \\ \hline \textit{w}_{s} & \text{Skilled labor wage rate} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$PMW_{for}^{r}$	Foreign import formal commodities price	$YK^r$	Firms' revenue
$PVA_a^r$	Value-added activity price	$Y_G$	Government revenue
$PQ_{for}^{r}$	Composite formal commodities price	$Y_h^r$	Household h income
PX for	Aggregate producer formal commodities price	YINF <sup>r</sup>	Informal incomes
$\frac{100}{PWM_{for}^{r}}$	Foreign import price in foreign currency units	$YNSL^r$	Unskilled labor incomes
$QD_a^r$	Quantity of domestic supply of commodity a	$YSL^r$	Skilled labor incomes
$QE_{for}^{r}$	Quantity of composite regional and foreign formal commodities exports	$S_h^r$	Household h savings
$QER_{for}^{r}$	Quantity of regional formal commodities exports	$S_G$	Government savings
$QEW_{for}^{r}$	Quantity of foreign formal commodities exports	$S_K^r$	Firm savings
$QM_{for}^{r}$	Quantity of composite regional and foreign formal commodities imports	$S_{row}$	Foreign savings in local currency units
$QMR_{for}^{r}$	Quantity of regional imports formal commodities	$EH_h^r$	Household h consumption expenditures
$QMW_{for}^{r}$	Quantity of foreign imports formal commodities	$CQH_{h,a}^{r}$	Quantity of consumption of commodities for household h
$QQ_{for}^r$	Quantity of formal composite domestic and import formal commodities	$\overline{CQG_{for}^r}$	Quantity of consumption of formal commodities for government
$QX_{for}^{r}$	Quantity of aggregate formal commodities output	$\overline{QINVP_{for}^r}$	Quantity of fixed investment demand for formal commodities
$\overline{K}_{for}$	Quantity capital factor in formal activities	Transf r	Transfers from government to households h
$LD_{for}^{r}$	Quantity demanded of composite skilled and unskilled labor from formal sector activities		
PARAN	METERS		
$Ax_{for}^{r}$	Formal production function efficiency parameter	$\delta m_{for}^{r}$	Armington regional-foreign function share parameter
$\delta x_{for}^r$	Formal production function share parameter	$\mu m_{for}^r$	Armington regional-foreign function exponent
$\mu x_{for}^r$	Formal production function exponent	$\sigma\!m^r_{for}$	Armington regional-foreign function substitution parameter
$\sigma x_{for}^r$	Formal production substitution parameter	$ty_h^r$	Direct tax rate on household h
$\gamma_{nf}$	Informal production productivity parameter	tk <sup>r</sup>	Direct tax rate on factor capital
$Al_{for}^{r}$	Composite formal labor function shift parameter	tm <sup>r</sup> <sub>for</sub>	Import tariff rate
$\delta l_{for}^{r}$	Composite formal labor function share parameter	$tq_{for}^r$	Sales tax rate
-	•	-	Quantity of intermediate input a' per unit of
$\mu l_{for}^{r}$	Composite formal labor function exponent	$ica^r_{_{a,a'}}$	product a
$\sigma \! l_{\it for}^{\it r}$	Composite formal labor function substitution parameter	$icd_{for}^{r}$	Quantity of trade input per unit of formal domestic product.
$At_{for}^{r}$	CET domestic-export function shift parameter	$icm_{for}^r$	Quantity of trade input per unit of formal foreign imported product
$\delta t_{for}^{r}$	CET domestic-export function share parameter	$icr_{for}^{r^{\prime}}$	Quantity of trade input per unit of formal regional imported product
$\mu t_{for}^r$	CET domestic-export function exponent	$\phi s_h^r$	Share of household h in income of skilled labor factor
$\sigma t_{for}^{r}$	CET domestic-export function substitution parameter	$\phi ns_h^r$	Share of household h in income of unskilled labor factor
$Ae_{for}^{r}$	CET regional-foreign function shift parameter	$\phi k_h^r$	Share of household h in income of capital factor
$\delta e_{for}^{r}$	CET regional-foreign function share parameter	$\overline{c \min_{h,for}^r}$	Subsistence consumption of formal commodity for household $\boldsymbol{h}$
$\mu e_{for}^{r}$	CET regional-foreign function exponent	$pmc_{h,a}^r$	Marginal share of consumption spending on commodity a for household h
$\sigma\!e_{for}^{r}$	CET regional-foreign function substitution parameter	$mps_h^r$	Marginal propensity to save for household h
$Aq_{for}^{r}$	Armington domestic-import function shift parameter	$\Theta^{r}_{comnf}$	Informal trade activity parameter
$\delta q_{for}^{r}$	Armington domestic-import function share parameter	T	Migration function parameter
$\mu q_{for}^r$	Armington domestic-import function exponent	$\rho$	Skilled wage premium between Costa and Inland
$\sigma q_{for}^r$	Armington domestic-import function substitution parameter	$\Psi_{nf}^{r}$	Informal labor surplus distribution key
		-	Weight of commodity a in the consumer price
$Am_{for}^{r}$	Armington regional-foreign function shift parameter	$\pi^r_{_a}$	index

## References

- Anagnostopoulos K, Flamos A, Kagiannas Ag, Psarras J (2004) The impact of clean development mechanism in achieving sustainable development. Int J Environ Pollut 21 (1):1–23
- Alexeew J, Bergset L, Meyer K, Petersen J, Schneider L, Unger C (2010) An analysis of the relationship between the additionality of CDM projects and their contribution to sustainable development. International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics, 10(3), 233-248. doi:10.1007/s10784-010-9121-y
- Austin D, Faeth P (2000) How much sustainable development can we expect from the clean development mechanism? An overview. In: Austin D, Faeth P (eds.) Financing sustainable development with the clean development mechanism, World Resources Institute, pp 1–12
- Banuri T, Gupta S (2000) The clean development mechanism and sustainable development: an economic analysis. In Manila GP (ed.), Implementation of the Kyoto Protocol, Asian Development Bank
- Begg K, Parkinson S, v. d. Horst D, Wilkinson R, Theuri D, Gitonga S, Mathenga M, Amissah-Arthur H, Atugba S, Ackon S, Ageby S, Meena H, Mwakifwamba S, Mwakasonde S (2003) Encouraging CDM energy projects to aid poverty alleviation. Final report of project R8037 under the DFID KAR programme, Centre for Environmental Strategy, University of Surrey, pp 1–113.
- Boyd E, Hultman N, Roberts J, Corbera E, Cole J, Bozmoski A, Ebeling J, Tippman R, Mann P, Brown K, Liverman D (2009) Reforming the CDM for sustainable development: lessons learned and policy futures. Environmental Science & Policy, 12(7), 820-831. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2009.06.007
- Brown K, Adger WN, Boyd E, Corbera-Elizalde E, Shackley S (2004) How do CDM projects contribute to sustainable development? Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, pp 1–53.
- Bumpus AG, Cole JC (2010) How can the current CDM deliver sustainable development? Climate Change, 1(4), 541-547. doi:10.1002/wcc.57
- Cosbey A (2006) Defining and measuring the development dividend. Meeting of the Expert Task Force of the IISD, International Institute for Sustainable Development, 12
- Cosbey A, Parry JE, Browne J, Babu YD, Bhandari P, Drexhage J, Murphy D (2005) Realizing the development dividend: Making the CDM work for developing countries. Phase 1 Report Prepublication Version, International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD), pp 1–72
- Dervis K, De Melo J, Robinson S (1982) General equilibrium models for development policy. Cambridge University Press, 520 pp.
- Fenhann J (2011) UNEP Risø CDM Pipeline 01 September 2011. [Available online from http://www.CD4CDM.org]
- Fenhann J (2012) UNEP Risø CDM Pipeline 01 February 2012. [Available online from <a href="http://www.CD4CDM.org">http://www.CD4CDM.org</a>]
- FONAM Fondo Nacional del Ambiente/ National Environmental Fund (2010) Carbon Portfolio Peru 2010, Lima. [Available online from http://www.fonamperu.org]
- FONAM Fondo Nacional del Ambiente/ National Environmental Fund (2011) Carbon Portfolio Peru 2011, Lima. [Available online from http://www.fonamperu.org]
- Huq S (2002) Applying sustainable development criteria to CDM projects: PCF experience. Prototype Carbon Fund, World Bank, pp 1–37.
- INEI-Instituto Nacional de Estadística e Informática (2011a) Perú: Evolución de los Indicadores de Empleo e Ingresos por Departamentos, 2001-2010. Lima, Perú, Octubre. pp 147
- INEI- Instituto Nacional de Estadística e Informática (2011b). Producto bruto interno por departamentos, 2001-2010. Dirección Nacional de Cuentas Nacionales del Instituto Nacional de Estadística e Informática (INEI), Lima, Perú, Octubre, pp 24
- Kelley B (1994) Informal sector and the macroeconomy: A computable general approach for Peru, in World Development, 22, 9, 1393-1411.
- Kolshus HH, Vevatne J, Torvanger A, Aunan K (2001) Can the clean development mechanism attain both cost-effectiveness and sustainable development objectives? CICERO Working Paper 2001: 8. Oslo, Norway.
- Lewis WA (1954) Economic development with unlimited supplies of labour. The Manchester School of Economic and Social Studies, 22, 139–191.
- Loayza N (2007) The causes and consequences of informality in Peru, Banco Central de Reserva del Perú (BCRP), Working Papers 2007–018

- Mathy S, Hourcade J-C, de Gouvello C (2001) Clean development mechanism: leverage for development? Climate Policy 1:251–268
- MTPE Ministerio del Trabajo y Promoción del Empleo (2004). Magnitud y características de la economía informal en el Perú. Boletín de economía laboral, 28-29 Ano 7, diciembre 2004. [Available online from http://www.mintra.gob.pe]
- Nin-Pratt A, Thurlow J, Morley S (2009) Lagging regions and development strategies: The case of Peru. IFPRI discussion papers 898, International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI).
- Nin-Pratt A, Thurlow J, Morley S (2011) A 2002 national social accounting matrix (SAM) for Peru and subnational matrices for the Coastal and Sierra/Selva regions. Washington, D.C.: International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) (datasets).
- Olhoff A, Markandya A, Halsnæs K, Taylor T (2004) CDM sustainable development impacts. UNEP Risø Centre, pp 1–88
- Olsen KH (2007) The clean development mechanism's contribution to sustainable development: a review of the literature. Climatic Change, 84(1), 59-73. doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9267-y.
- Olsen KH, Fenhann J (2008) Sustainable development benefits of clean development mechanism projects. A new methodology for sustainability assessment based on text analysis of the project design documents submitted for validation. Energy Policy, 36(8), 2819-2830. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2008.02.039
- Point Carbon (2009) CDM Country Rating January 2009. [Available online from http://www.pointcarbon.com]
- Robinson S (1989) Multisectoral models. Handbook of Development Economics, in: Hollis Chenery & T.N. Srinivasan (ed.), edition 1, volume 2, chapter 18, pages 885-947 Elsevier.
- Robles JL (2003) Medición del sector informal a partir de encuestas de Hogares. Instituto Nacional de Estadística de Perú (INEI), Taller de Encuestas MECOVI, CEPAL, Chile.
- Rodríguez J, Higa M (2010) Informalidad, empleo y productividad en el Perú. In Desafíos laborales en América Latina después de dos décadas de reformas estructurales. Bolivia, Paraguay y Perú 1997-2008, 2010. Departamento de Economía Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú (PUCP), Chapter 3, pp 117–181
- Schlup M (2005) The gold standard: linking the CDM to development and poverty reduction, climate or development? Hamburg Institute of International Economics, Hamburg, Germany
- Subbarao S, Lloyd B (2011) Can the clean development mechanism (CDM) deliver? Energy Policy, 39(3), 1600-1611. Elsevier. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2010.12.036
- Sutter C (2003) Sustainability check up for CDM projects. How to assess the sustainability of international projects under the Kyoto Protocol. WVB, Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Berlin. doi:10.3929/ethz-a-004674122.
- Sutter C, Parreño JC (2007) Does the current clean development mechanism (CDM) deliver its sustainable development claim? An analysis of officially registered CDM projects. Climatic Change, 84(1), 75-90. doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9269-9
- Thorbecke E (1997) A dual-dual framework to analyze the process of development. Paper prepared for a conference in memory of John C.H. Fei, The Economics and Political Economy of Development at the Turn of the Century, Taipei.